The authors have done a great job addressing many points of clarifications and editorial comments.

Thank you very much for your positive response, please see below for our responses to the two still outstanding comments.

Some of the previous major comments were not addressed, please consider addressing these in this penultimate draft.

1. Please review the figshare table. There is a missing column (though this may be a function of the table where the missing title is a second example, but that is currently not clear), check consistency of punctuation and capitalization, etc. I would also recommend trying to find a couple more examples just to strengthen your argument and illustrate that there isn't a "just one" example.

We apologise for the confusion in the table. We have updated the column heading to show there are actually at least two examples presented for anthropogenic impacts. Punctuation and capitalization of quotes is a result of the quotes themselves. We note that there are several quotes with capitals in the middle of sentences but they are just to denote shouting in the game.

2. Consider adding a column to elucidate how you find/incorporated the content in "A Hopeful World" in your analysis, right now it feels detached. There's no clear link between how your analysis in the previous section(s) link to this new direction and it starts to move away from the driving questions posed in the introduction. Alternatively, adding a sentence addressing this would meet the requirements to explain to the readers how you did your analysis and came to these conclusions. The second paragraph in this section feels like a departure from the purpose of this piece. Briefly linking it to the clash between real-life climate anxiety can link back to your driving questions, but it starts to drift. Rather than pursuing a new thesis through the exploration of nihilistic games starting with "These hopeful scenarios", consider using this space to link to real life discourse. That would lead well into the proceeding sentence. Same sentiment with "Games and global pheno..." rather than introducing new media, land the Pokémon thesis because the resolution of these questions should be stronger.

In the second paragraph, claims were made but the link to the rest of the text is missing. Is this confirmed or discussed elsewhere? This is a point made in the intro, but not throughout the middle of the manuscript and in the analysis. Similarly, the main take home lesson is a claim that isn't backed up with citations or your analysis, which would be a great way to tie these things together for the reader.

We have now provided additional "hopeful world" examples from the games including images to support the discussion in the second part of the manuscript. We have also added additional text throughout to better orientate the reader to the efforts we are making in discussing both negative and positive environmental issues and approaches.

We have now removed a large proportion of the concluding paragraph, now ended with a short summary addressing both the negative and positive representations.