The weather today rocks or sucks for my tree: Exploring the understanding of climate impacts on forests at high school level through tweets

Thomas Mölg[1*], Jan. C. Schubert[2*], Annette Debel[1], Steffen Höhnle[2], Kathy Steppe[3], Sibille Wehrmann[1], Achim Bräuning[1]

These authors contributed equally to this work

[1]Institute of Geography, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), 91058 Erlangen, Germany

[2]Lehrstuhl für Didaktik der Geographie, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), 90478 Nürnberg, Germany

[3]Laboratory of Plant Ecology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Correspondence to: thomas.moelg@fau.de or jan.christoph.schubert@fau.de

Abstract. With the progression of global warming, impacts on the human sphere will undoubtedly increase. One prominent example at mid latitudes is the stress of forests under climate change, which the project "BayTreeNet" (https://baytreenet.de/) addresses from an interdisciplinary viewpoint. Scientists from physical climatology, dendroecology, and educational research collaborate to examine how long-term changes in weather patterns affect the state of trees, and how the atmosphere/tree relation can be used to the advantage of improving the communication of climate change effects to, in particular, high school students. This article presents a 1-week case study from summer 2021, when a distinct variability in weather patterns induced significant tree responses. The students of seven selected partner schools commented on the measured tree and weather data, which were available in real-time, in the form of tweets and in conjunction with their educational geography program. The analyses of the tweets reveal the result that the students succeed in verbalizing the measured weather and, furthermore, manage to draw linkages to the stem diameter changes of trees. Problems arise with the use of less perceivable variables like the sap flow in the trees; also, the student posts exhibit shortcomings in establishing causal connections. Hence, the case study points to a discrepancy between describing basic environmental information and appreciating, or understanding, the underlying mechanistic links. This point will serve to refine future classroom concepts and, moreover, to enhance the communication of climate change effects on forests for the general public.

1 Introduction and general motivation

With the arrival of evening, TV prime time approaches and one show with it – the daily weather forecast. "The world's most watched science show" is, therefore, a statement that has appeared in the public and in the media. The implied importance of weather news has even increased with the rise of new, internet-based technologies over the past 20 years, which have led to a multiplication of weather information services; a related scientific study for the U.S. concluded, unsurprisingly, that "weather forecasts are a daily part of the lives of the vast majority of the U.S. public" (Lazo et al., 2009, p. 775). The roots of this circumstance go far back in time – let's think of Aristoteles' treatise "Meteorologica" or the century-long association of weather with the divine, which reflect the general desire of humans to understand weather. The side effect of this deeply-anchored interest is a familiarity of the public with

some central (scientific) terms like *high pressure*, *front*, and *cyclone*, and a sense of what they mean in practice for local weather phenomena, or generally speaking for impacts (e.g., on one's own daily life, on health, or on the environment). The scientific synthesis of these terms is expressed in the systematic study of large-scale daily patterns in the atmosphere, which was mostly developed for central Europe in the 1940s/50s, and has to become known by the German term "Großwetterlage" (see, for example, James (2007) for a short historical overview). Although the scientific concept as well as the daily consumption of the topic in public media refer to *weather*, meaning the state of the atmosphere ranging from a short moment in time to a few days, there is also a *climate* dimension; consider that certain weather patterns become less or more frequent over decades and longer. The consequences will be a change in the seasonal or annual characteristics of air flow patterns (which we call the large-scale *atmospheric circulation*), and hence a change of the surface climate at locations in the reach of the weather patterns. This relation is the starting point for connecting weather patterns to our changing climate, which has been studied extensively in recent research (see Sect. 2). Importantly, the scientific weather pattern term mostly encapsulates the distribution of air pressure centres and the resultant large-scale circulation modes, which are also embodied by the elements that appear on weather maps in the daily news or on our cell phones as "H" or "L" and wind arrows, feeding the public with the basics of an important climate change aspect. The present article originates from a transdisciplinary project that aims to exploit the omnipresence of weather information in today's world, and the increasing public awareness of climate change impacts on the environment, ecosystems, and human health.

In this context, a prominent impact case is the response of forests to climate change. Trees are important organisms for forest ecosystems and society as they provide ecological services, and tree-ring research (dendrochronology) is a well-established discipline to assess the impacts of climate on tree growth and to reconstruct climate conditions of the past. Although a number of concepts and tools have been developed for training the basics of tree-ring research in different formats to the public, such as articles or videos (e.g., Davi et al., 2022), there is hardly any tool to monitor the effects of weather on tree performance in real time, and to get the relevant explanations of the climatic background. Here, we target this gap by making real-time weather and tree data available to high schools in conjunction with their geography programs, in order to investigate characteristics of climate change communication and education at the high school level. While this provides the general motivation, Sect. 2 will explain the specific circumstances as well as the structure of the research and the article.

2 Specific context and goals

The transdisciplinary project mentioned is called *BayTreeNet* and was described in a recent publication from a conceptual viewpoint (Bräuning et al., 2022). The project was established in response to the evidence of increasing drought stress on forests in Bavaria in the most recent decades (Debel et al., 2021) and, hence, presents an effort to generate more knowledge of this threat with regard to future climates. *BayTreeNet* tackles the topic from the perspectives of three special fields. Physical climatology, dendroecology, and educational research collaborate under the overarching goal of increasing public awareness and preparedness for stress on forest ecosystems under climate change, which must build on a solid communication and education of the problem. Besides the transdisciplinary basis, a novel facet of *BayTreeNet* is to look at the climate/forest interaction at the scale of

weather patterns (henceforth GWL, according to the German term "Großwetterlage" introduced in Sect. 1), which adds value to the traditional approach of relating forest responses to more aggregated climate data (e.g., monthly or annual mean values). The GWL resolution, in turn, provides the connecting link to the public weather information (Sect. 1), and is therefore a promising starting point for enhancing dissemination and education in the given context.

In the physical climatology sub-project, GWL in the past and in the future, and their manifestation at the local level, are examined with the help of meteorological observations and climate modelling. An important initial result was the generation of a new climate data set for Bavaria (1987-2018) with a very high resolution in space (Collier and Mölg, 2020). The dendroecology sub-project relies on a network of measurement sites over Bavaria (Sect. 3.1), where important tree growth variables are monitored. A first study using these data concluded that the tree growth/climate relationships show an elevation dependency and, moreover, have not been constant over the past five decades (Debel et al., 2021). The core of the educational sub-project, in turn, is a collaboration with high schools in the vicinity of the measurement sites. Their students (grades 10 and 11 and 15-17 years old) observe the weather and tree growth data in real-time, which is enabled by an accessible interface on the project webpage (https://baytreenet.de/), and subsequently translate their interpretation of the trees' physiological responses to simple Twitter (now known as X) messages. This translation embodies the educational aspect and provides the data for the communication research of the present study.

If the synthesizing character is neglected, the three mentioned scientific fields can build on a rich literature with regard to the present topic. Investigations in climatology have, for instance, targeted the role of GWL for health, extreme events, hydrological and ecological processes (Bissolli, 2001; Post, 2002; Clark and Brown, 2013; Loikith et al., 2017; Pisistaki et al., 2020; Zong et al. 2022). Given the concept's history (Sect. 1), central Europe has always been a focus (e.g., Riediger and Gratzki, 2014; Herrera-Lormendez et al., 2021). In dendroecology, studies of the dependence of tree growth on climatic variables in central Europe have an equally long history; some major recent focus points were, for example, how summer temperature and rainfall variability affect the growth of European tree species (Debel et al. 2021; Dulamsuren et al. 2017; Friedrichs et al. 2009; Kraus et al. 2016). And finally, contributions from the field of educational research on climate change deal, among other things, with the incorrect or insufficient ideas of students. For example, several conceptual models of the causes of the anthropogenic greenhouse effect have been identified among students, which contradict scientific explanations (including the wrong explanation of the greenhouse effect via the hole in the ozone layer) and can hinder learning processes (Choi et al. 2010). With respect to consequences of climate change, it was shown that students can name a variety of examples but have major problems with spatial differentiation (Boyes, Stanisstreet 1993; Leiserowitz 2021). Similar results were shown to concern many adults as well (Dove 1996).

However, to our knowledge no scientific project has brought together the three disciplines for the purpose of fostering communication and education, regarding the important topic of forest response to climate change. In the present article, we make a first effort to synthesize the multidisciplinary data through a 1-week case study for early summer 2021. The main goal is to examine what level of understanding exists in high school students about the forest/atmosphere interactions, by considering the associated Twitter data. Our working hypothesis is that students are basically successful at verbalizing weather data, yet more difficulties exist with verbalizing tree data and, in

particular, with correctly describing the relationships between weather and tree data. This hypothesis is derived from the considerations that weather reports and conversations about weather are a part of the students' everyday life (cf. Sect. 1), while the terms related to the state of trees are much less known, so students have less practice in verbalizing information on tree growth. Hence, linking weather and tree data represents the greatest challenge and involves a comparatively high complexity.

To realize our approach, we selected a week with obvious variability in weather patterns and tree responses, and at the same time, with a relatively high number of student tweets. Since identifying such a week involved screening the physical-science data as well, we first present the climatological and dendroecological input from our project in Sect. 3; this is kind of a preparatory step that is needed to comprehend the entire study. The actual communication (educational) research is then presented in Sect. 4, before the conclusions in Sect. 5.

3 Physical science basis

3.1 Data and methods

Weather pattern classification. In climatology, daily values of sea-level pressure and geopotential height at 500 hPa (roughly, the mid-troposphere level) are the typical input variables for the classification of GWL. The most common and popular classification was introduced and published by Hess and Brezowsky in 1952 in the Catalogue of GWL in Europe (see James, 2007), containing daily weather patterns since 1881. The national German Meteorological Service (DWD) has been using this classification since then. The manual classification of Hess and Brezowsky yields 29 types of GWL, which are characterized by the air pressure pattern, cyclonicity, the main direction of large-scale air flows, and vorticity (Werner and Gerstengarbe 2010). The distribution, intensity, and characteristics of high- and low-pressure systems define the different types of GWL, which need to last at least three consecutive days. GWL types show different occurrence probabilities during the year and vary among the seasons, and each GWL can bring significantly different weather to certain regions and locations in Europe. Table 1 summarizes some relevant aspects of the traditional GWL scheme, which is the basis for the present study.

Table 1: Some examples of the 29 GWL (frequent ones and relevant ones for our study) in original German and translated into English definitions after James (2007) and their mean annual probabilities (1881-2008) after Werner and Gerstengarbe (2010).

GWL	Original definition (German)	Translated definition (English)	Probability
WZ	Westlage, zyklonal	Cyclonic Westerly	15.70 %
HM	Hoch Mitteleuropa	High over Central Europe	8.89 %
BM	Hochdruckbrücke (Rücken) Mitteleuropa	Zonal Ridge across Central Europe	7.72 %
NEa	Nordostlage, antizyklonal	Anticyclonic North-Easterly	2.18 %
HNFa	Hoch Nordmeer-Fennoskandien, antizyklonal	High Scandinavia-Iceland, Ridge C. Europe	1.14 %

Wood formation detection. In the dendroecology sub-project, we have established a dendroecological network of eleven study sites (initially ten) to monitor responses of forest ecosystems to changing climate dynamics at

different temporal resolutions. While long-term growth changes, adaptations of the wood anatomical structure and adaptation of intrinsic water use efficiency are assessed at decadal to annual time scales, cambial growth dynamics and stem diameter variations are monitored at interannual to even hourly resolution. While these dendroecological sample sites are located inside forests, an additional monitoring method detects tree responses to changes in the environment in real-time. For this purpose, single tree individuals close to each sample site were equipped with an internet-based logger and sensors to measure sap flow and stem diameter variations. The sap flow sensor measures the sap flow transport rates (cm^3 $hour^{-1}$) from the roots to the crown (Smith and Allen, 1996; Steppe et al., 2010, 2015; Vandegehuchte and Steppe, 2013). The point dendrometer registers stem diameter changes (mm) caused by the reversible shrinking and swelling of living stem cells in 20 minute intervals. These are visible as daily cyclic stem diameter variations, the amplitudes of which inform us about the water status of the tree (Deslauriers et al. 2007; Drew and Downes 2009; Steppe et al., 2015). In addition, the dendrometer records the irreversible growth of the xylem and phloem by the formation of new xylem (wood) and phloem (bark) cells, which becomes visible as a long-term trend of increasing stem diameter superimposed on the daily radial variations. While under humid conditions, the long-term trend is positive, tree stems may shrink in diameter to a certain extent under drought conditions. The mentioned sensors were developed by the research group at Ghent for the initiative "TreeWatch.net", details of which can be found in Steppe et al. (2016).

Data preparation. These two high-precision instruments indicate the individual trees' responses to varying GWL and the associated weather conditions like, for example, a heavy rain event or a heatwave. The measured data are transmitted real-time to the PhytoSense Cloud service, which is a tool for data storage, analysis, processing, and running model simulations to visualize the trees' hydraulic function and carbon status (Steppe et al., 2016). Finally, the processed data are sent to the project homepage (https://baytreenet.de/), where the physiological data of each "talking tree" are graphically displayed. At the same time, information about the current weather at each site, e.g., precipitation and temperature, is available on the homepage. This includes a map of central Europe with the current flow patterns at the 500hPa (~5km) and 850hPa (1.5km) geopotential height levels, and a weather map indicating the location of fronts. Therefore, the short-term responses of trees to weather and long-time tree growth become detectable and displayable.

3.2 Results: Weather variability and tree response

The calendar week 22 in early summer 2021 (May 31st to June 6th) was detected appropriate for this case study. In this week, two GWL were present: NEa (Antycyclonic North-Easterly) on Monday, Saturday, and Sunday (May 31st, June 5th and June 6th) and HNFa (High Scandinavia-Iceland, Ridge Central Europe) from Tuesday to Friday (June 1st to June 4th). Both GWL are typical weather types for the early summer season and show their maximum likelihood in May (HNFa) and June (NEa). Measured against the full year they occur with a probability of 1.41 % (NEa) and 2.18 % (NEa) (cf. Table 1). The two GWL belong to anticyclonic types and typically induced the highest average air temperatures in the recent past. For example, HNFa shows a deviation of +4.36 °C in the daily mean air temperature with reference to the period 1951-1978 (Werner and Gerstengarbe 2010). Considering precipitation, both GWL coincide with drier conditions on average, however, the drying signal is not as significant as the warming signal.

187   These average anomalies are consistent with the air temperature characteristics in June 2021, as seen in the
188   measurements at the official DWD weather stations. In this month, particularly high temperatures were recorded
189   throughout Germany and daily anomalies of up to +4.5°C (compared to the 1961-1990 mean) occurred locally.
190   For Southern Germany the situation was very similar. In the case study week, the air temperature anomaly
191   increased over time until June 3rd and June 4th, when it reached the maximum of +4.9°C departure (same reference
192   period), and subsequently decreased until the end of the week. These characteristics are also reflected in four
193   stations close to our tree sites (Fig. 1), which were selected on the basis of reliable tree data and sufficient Twitter
194   messages. Precipitation at the 35 DWD weather stations in Southern Germany shows a steep increase from June
195   3rd with its maximum on June 5th and a subsequent decrease on June 6th. At three of the four sites investigated,
196   a precipitation event is recorded on June 5th with values up to 20 mm (Wunsiedel), while at the fourth site
197   (Veitshöchheim) this occurs one day later with more than 30 mm (Figure 1).

198

199   To summarize the case study week, strong positive air temperature anomalies were measured in Southern Germany
200   and Bavaria (after cold phases in May), reaching their maximum roughly in the middle of the week. Shortly after
201   this temperature maximum, precipitation occurred after several days of drought. Thus, the selected 1-week window
202   was characterized by a transition to warmer and wetter conditions.

203

204
205   **Figure 1:** Two-meter air temperature (dot symbols) and precipitation (rectangles) at four selected weather stations
206   in Bavaria during the calendar week 22 of the year 2021 (data basis: DWD).

207

208   How did these atmospheric conditions affect the sample trees? Generally speaking, most talking trees showed
209   similar responses to the prevalent weather. The tree responses only differed in the timing between different
210   locations, since the rain events happened asynchronously between sites, as discussed above.

211

212 From June 1st to 4th, the influence of the HNFa weather pattern (with its dry and warm weather conditions) led to
213 characteristic tree physiological response patterns (e.g., Steppe et al., 2015) that indicate sufficient water supply
214 without water shortage (Fig. 2). Initiated by transpiration and regulated by the stomata, the water transport from
215 the roots to the crown started shortly after sunrise. Consequently, the sap flow rate increased rapidly in the early
216 morning, peaked around noon, and remained at high level until the evening. After sunset, the sap flow rate dropped
217 to pre-dawn level, showing minimum values in the early morning hours before the beginning of the subsequent
218 day's cycle.

219

220 The dendrometers recorded daily cycles of diameter changes, mainly due to the reversible shrinking and swelling
221 of the stem caused by the depletion and replenishment of the stem water stored in wood and bark tissues. Stem
222 diameters decreased in the morning shortly after sunrise, since photosynthesis started and water transpired through
223 the open stomata. As long as transpiration persisted and water flowed from the roots to the crown, the water
224 reserves in the stem depleted and the stem diameter shrank. After sunset, stem diameter began to increase again
225 due to the cessation of transpiration and the refilling of water reserves in the stem (Steppe et al., 2006). Beside
226 these daily cycles, the maximum stem diameters increased from day to day because of irreversible stem growth
227 driven by turgor and formation of new xylem cells.

228

229 With the occurrence of rather strong rain events later in the week, both data series show a significant change (Fig.
230 2). On the one hand, the sap flow rate of most (7 out of 10) trees did not increase to the same degree as in the days
231 before, and furthermore, the sap flow of all talking trees decreased earlier around midday. A high cloud cover
232 fraction and high air humidity reduced transpiration, hence the stem water transport declined during and after the
233 rain event. On the other hand, the talking trees overwhelmingly showed a sharp increase in diameter shortly after
234 the rainfall. Moreover, the diameter curve showed no decline during the daytime, pointing to continuous refilling
235 of the internal water storage pools that were emptied during the preceding dry period. Thus, higher air humidity
236 and less vertical water transport caused intensified water storage in stem cells. As a result, the cells got water-
237 saturated, and the depletion effect (causing a decrease in diameter) was mitigated.

238
239 **Figure 2:** Stem diameter variations and sap flow rates of *Tilia platyphyllos* at Burghausen as an example of tree
240 response during the period May 31st to June 6th, 2021. Note that from June 5th to 6th, precipitation occurred,
241 leading to a strong increase in stem diameter.

4 Communication and educational research

4.1 Methodology

We developed a half-day introductory workshop for the participating students and held it on site at each school. When the Covid19 pandemic began, we converted the workshop into a self-study course that could be completed online. The participating groups of students changed every school year; each group took part in the course at the beginning. In terms of content, the course aimed to build up a basic understanding of GWL as well as of tree growth conditions, as these aspects play a marginal role in the curriculum and, therefore, there was little previous knowledge to refer to. In addition, exercises were included on how to interpret tree data, in particular, what does (high/low) sap flow mean and what is meant by the variation in stem diameter of a tree? Together with the available information on current weather patterns, the students at each participating school were asked to translate the information on the tree's state as well as the connections with the weather situation into linguistic messages in the form of tweets: short, concise, in everyday language. To practise this, several exercises and examples were included in the introductory workshop.

The participating schools dealt with the challenge of organizing the work with the "talking trees" beyond the regular (curriculum-related) classes in different ways, depending on the local situation. For this reason, the mentoring of the students after the end of the introductory workshop as well as the organization (e.g. when which students at the respective school took over the tweeting) was carried out by supervising teachers at each school. At some schools, a selected class was responsible for tweeting, while at other schools a group of voluntary students form different classes formed to write the tweets. In addition, at some places individual students were responsible for the tweets for a short period of time and there was a change after two weeks, while at other schools individual students tweeted for much longer. In this context, it should be noted that we asked the students to tweet as regularly as possible; as the school year progressed, the mentoring teachers tried to motivate the students. However, it was always the case that tweeting was an additional activity that was not part of the regular lessons, was not included in the grading and was voluntary. Many students wrote the tweets in their free time, and tweeting continued at many schools even during school vacations.

The fundamental decision to use Twitter in this project was based on several reasons. Firstly, the central task was to convert data into language, which fits well with Twitter as a primarily language-based medium. Secondly, the limited number of characters per message that Twitter had implemented at the time of the project required concise writing with a focus on the central aspects. At the same time, Twitter enables simple, low-threshold communication with each other, which fitted in well with the idea of letting the trees communicate with each other. In addition, it seemed easier to attract the attention of a wider public via Twitter than via conventional websites. Other social media such as TikTok would probably have been closer to the students' everyday lives, but the focus on visual or auditory content would have been less suitable for the project's objectives.

For the present case study, we analyzed the students' tweets during the carefully selected week in early summer 2021 (Sect. 3) with one guiding question: To what extent are the tweets (as linguistic translations of tree data and weather data) appropriate to the subject? The methodological procedure for evaluating the tweets involved several steps. As part of the preparation for the data analysis, the tree data as well as the tweets for all sites were reviewed, and sites where central data were missing were excluded. For the investigated period, one site was excluded due to missing tree data (Bad Reichenhall, sensor error) and another site where no tweets were made (Ettal). Another

site (Immenstadt) could not be considered because tweets were only available for three days and, in addition, the tree data provided only limited meaningful information as the tree on site was dying.

For the remaining seven sites, the weather data and the tree data were prepared in the form of diagrams (e.g., Sect. 3) and the students tweets were converted into an editable version suitable for coding. Emojis that did not influence the statement but were merely illustrative in nature were not considered in this process. Several evaluation or analysis steps followed, oriented along the principles of a "thematic analysis" (Braun and Clarke, 2006):

(1) Becoming familiar with the data: Through repeated and close reading of the tweets, also against the background of weather data and tree data (as described in Sect. 3.2), an overview and a deeper understanding of the tweets were created by the researchers. This also involved description of the frequencies of tweets per site and per day.

(2) Coding with initial codes: The data material was coded according to five deductive categories. These included statements about (i) weather, (ii) growth/stem diameter, and (iii) sap flow. In addition, statements in which students (iv) combined two or more of these categories (for example, effects of weather on tree growth/stem diameter) were coded in a separate category. The same was true for (v) statements in which there was an exchange between students from different tree sites.

(3) Search for patterns and salient features in the categorized data: Within the categories, statements were screened for characteristic commonalities or patterns. In addition, conspicuous features were examined. The guiding principle here was a comparison with the scientific basis, i.e. the weather data and tree data in the form available to the students on our homepage. This interpretative step was initially undertaken independently by two researchers, who then compared the interpretations and attempted to reach a consensual interpretation in the event of discrepancies. The results of this step were, in turn, presented and discussed in the working group, which is linked to the goal of reducing subjectivity that is present in interpretative steps.

(4) The results of the analyses and interpretation were summarized, written down and supplemented in many places with illustrative quotes from the tweets (see Sect. 4.2).

4.2 Results: Interpretations by high school students

The initial analysis of the tweets showed that three of the seven schools had at least one tweet for each of the seven days of the study period. For the four other schools, tweets were not available for every day, but for at least five days of the study period. In total, the data corpus included 43 tweets (Tab. 2). All 43 of the tweets contained statements about growth or stem diameter changes, 39 contained statements about sap flow, and 37 contained statements about weather. In 13 tweets, connections were made between at least two of the three elements. A sample tweet is shown in Fig. 3.

Table 2: Overview of tree locations and tweets.

Locations of the "Talking Tree"	Number of tweets	Number of days with tweets
(1) Neuschönau	7	7
(2) Bad Neustadt	7	6
(3) Veitshöchheim	7	7
(4) Wunsiedel	7	7

(5) Tennenlohe	5	5
(6) Burglengenfeld	5	5
(7) Burghausen	5	5

Figure 3: Sample tweet for illustrative purposes at the location Neuschönau, meaning "Hello. As hoped for yesterday, today was great weather, sunshine all day. My diameter has grown by quite a bit and my sap flow has also increased. I hope you had a great day like me." (BayTreeNet Neuschönau, 2021).

The following conspicuous features and characteristics were identified during the more in-depth analyses of the tweets.

(1) Statements about the weather. The statements about the weather are almost universally in line with the weather data; even the precipitation events that occurred after previous sunshine are correctly recognized and named ("Today was another sunny day" [Heute war wieder ein sonniger Tag] // "In any case, it rained and thundered for all it was worth at my place" [Bei mir hat es jedenfalls geregnet und gewittert, was das Zeug hält], Wunsiedel). In general, with regard to the weather, it can be seen that not all weather elements that are recognizable for the students are consistently addressed in the tweets and, moreover, relevant for the tree responses. Regarding basic weather elements, references to sunshine and cloud cover, to dryness and precipitation, and to cold and warmth would have been possible. In the tweets, however, predominantly only one of these elements was addressed, only in individual cases at least two weather elements were cited together ("Since it was pleasantly warm again today, I was in a good mood despite Monday, even though it rained today and it was quite cloudy.", Tennenlohe). In addition, at some locations, different weather elements were mentioned when comparing the days. Thus, on some days there were comments (only) about the temperature, on other days (only) about the sunshine.

(2) Statements on stem diameter. The growth of the trees or changes in the stem diameter were consistently taken up in all tweets. The central common feature of most tweets is that a statement was made about the (thickness) growth of the tree stem or, more generally, that the tree had grown. However, the recognition or interpretation of the diurnal fluctuations of the stem diameter seems to be problematic. With very few exceptions ("Well, my diameter always goes steeply downhill during the course of the day, but I've grown a bit anyway" [Also so im Laufe des Tages geht es ja mit meinem Durchmesser immer steil bergab, aber ich bin trotzdem ein bisschen gewachsen], Veitshöchheim), these fluctuations were not discussed at all; instead, a single value of the stem diameter was often compared with a single value from the previous day. In some cases, there were incorrect interpretations from a scientific point of view, because it was not the minimum and maximum stem diameter of

347   one day that were compared with those of the previous or next day, but individual values at different times of the

348   day.

349

350   **(3) Statements on sap flow.** There are a similar number of tweets on sap flow as on weather. It is noticeable that

351   the sap flow was more often described in a relatively vague and superficial way compared to the weather and the

352   stem diameter. A typical example of what was frequently found is "The sap flow is good" [Der Sapflow ist gut].

353   With very few exceptions ("My sap flow was greater today at noon than yesterday, only dropping over the

354   afternoon" [Mein Saftfluss war heute Mittag größer als gestern, nur über den Nachmittag hin ist er gefallen];

355   Neuschönau), diurnal variations in sap flow were not picked up in the tweets. Instead, individual values or

356   maximum values of different days were compared (similar to stem diameter).

357

358   **(4) Links between weather, stem diameter and sap flow.** Linkages in the sense of causal relationships between

359   weather, growth/stem diameter, and sap flow were rarely the subject of tweets. In most cases, the information on

360   the three areas was rather unrelated to each other ("Hey friends. Today was finally awesome weather. My sap flow

361   is shooting through the roof and I'm thinning out a bit. I hope you're all well" [Hey Freunde. Heute war ja mal

362   endlich Bombenwetter. Mein Saftfluss schießt durch die Decke und ich werde ein bisschen dünner. Ich hoffe euch

363   geht's gut], Burglengenfeld // "Hello. As hoped yesterday, today was great weather, sunshine all day. My diameter

364   has grown by quite a bit and my sap flow has also increased" [Hallo. Wie gestern erhofft war heute ein super

365   Wetter, den ganzen Tag Sonnenschein. Mein Durchmesser ist um einiges gewachsen und auch mein Saftfluss ist

366   mehr geworden], Neuschönau). If links were made, the typical pattern was to start with the weather and go to the

367   diameter or the sap flow ("But at least I was able to grow due to the rain" [Aber durch den Regen konnte ich

368   wenigstens wachsen], Burglengenfeld // "My diameter has grown a gigantic amount due to the great weather"

369   [Mein Durchmesser ist durch das tolle Wetter nochmal ein gigantisches Stück gewachsen], Tennenlohe). Links

370   between sap flow and diameter were not made.

371

372   **(5) Communication between the tree sites.** In many cases, the other sites or the other trees were addressed in the

373   salutation or the closing words ("Hello friends" [Servus Freunde], "Hello my dear fellow trees ["Hallöchen meine

374   lieben Mitbäume]" as well as "Well, we'll hear each other tomorrow" [Naja wir hören uns dann morgen],

375   Burghausen). In some cases, questions were also addressed to the other tree sites ("How was everything going

376   with you?" [Wie war es denn bei euch?], Wunsiedel). In contrast, an explicit take-up of such questions as well as

377   of content-related information on tree data and weather data shared by other tree sites occurred with very few

378   exceptions (" Hi Karl. I think your wish for rain came true." [Hi Karl. Ich glaube, dein Wunsch nach Regen ist in

379   Erfüllung gegangen], Wunsiedel). An exchange on measured values on the level of tree data was not detectable at

380   any site.

381

382   In terms of summary and discussion, it can be stated that the students implemented the content of the online

383   introductory course appropriately and well, at least on the formal or surface level. The number of tweets was

384   relatively high (= daily) for most schools during the analysed period, and the tweets commented relatively

385   consistently on weather, stem diameter/tree growth, and sap flow (as the three key metrics). The change in weather

386   on June 5th was recognized and commented on. Thus, the central goal of having students verbalize measured data

387   was achieved. With a detailed look at the tweets as well as in comparison with the tree data and weather data

available for the respective location and period, it became clear that the tweets were not comprehensive (weather) or only considered parts of the measurement data (growth, sap flow). In particular, the fluctuations in stem diameter and sap flow over the course of the day, considered individually, seem to be too complex for the students to be addressed in their tweets. The connections between sap flow and stem diameter, which are even more complex, were not addressed by the students at any point. In contrast, weather elements were used (although only in a few instances) to show effects on the trees. This approach is scientifically correct and in line with the students' perceptions: Weather and weather elements are known to students from their own experience and from weather reports, see Sect. 1, and they can also feel them with their own senses. In contrast, changes in stem diameter are not perceptible with their own senses, at least within the resolution that occurred in the case study period. The same applies to the sap flow of trees. Both are only accessible via the sensors and graphical illustrations of the measured values. Starting from the weather, which is familiar and perceptible from everyday life, the students have tried to explain the tree data.

The goal of initiating a communicative exchange between students from different tree locations was only partially successful. Although there was almost always an address to other locations, the exchange was almost without exception on a superficial level. However, there was almost no exchange or discussion of weather data or measurement data between the sites. One reason could be that even understanding one's own tree data is challenging and not always successful. Thinking through data from other trees would mean an additional increase in demand and effort.

4.3 Limitations

The results presented are subject to a number of limitations. Firstly, this is a case study in which we looked at a limited time period, which is not necessarily characteristic of other times. The decision for the period examined was deliberately made on the basis of weather and tree data, as the situations at the schools were not homogenous (see Sect. 4.1), the tweeting students changed over time, and the tweets could not be assigned to individual students. For this reason, the data basis does not allow for an analysis of learning gains over the course of a school year or for similar analyses, which is why we opted for a case study based on the physical-science observations. So while the tweets can be seen as a random selection to some extent, it remains unclear whether the study period is characteristic of other time periods. In addition, there were technical problems (sensor failure at one site) and not all schools sent out tweets during the week studied.

Besides the fundamental questions about the significance of Twitter in comparison to other social media in students' everyday lives, the medium Twitter and the associated restriction to a maximum of 140 characters per tweet played a special role. On the one hand, this allowed the measured values to be verbalized by the students in a condensed manner and an accessible one for the interested public. At the same time, discussing profound causal links between tree and weather data as well as a communicative exchange on the measurements between the sites are made more difficult by this format alone. In retrospect, it would have been useful to explicitly encourage students to submit multiple tweets within one day. At the same time, from a realistic perspective, it must be stated that looking at the tree data and tweeting already meant an additional task for the students. This made it difficult to increase the requirements further.

Overall, therefore, the fact that links between weather, stem diameter, and sap flow, as well as between tree locations, occur very infrequently cannot be clearly or solely attributed to comprehension problems or the like;

one reason may also be the format (140 characters). Although in some places it can be assumed that there were indeed difficulties in comprehension, it can be seen as positive that the students succeeded in transferring the tree data into short tweets in everyday language.

5 Conclusions and Outlook

If we close the circle to the introduction of this article (Sect. 1), the 1-week period in summer 2021 was a good choice in the sense that it showed ample weather variability; warming in the first half was followed by strong rain events at our study sites, which induced significant tree responses. These were characterized by a growth in stem diameter and a high sap flow rate, while pronounced diurnal cycles were superimposed on these weekly tendencies. In connection with the tweet data, a first idea of how high school students understand the relationship between atmospheric variability and tree responses can be shaped.

The results demonstrate that students put a focus on weather elements, which underlines the connection of people to daily weather information emphasized in the prologue. Regarding the tree variables, it seems that they pick up the stem diameter changes more easily than sap flow variability. This is not uncommon, and may have several reasons: compared to sap flow, stem diameter is easier to perceive, whereas sap flow is more elaborate and abstract. In addition, trees with trunks of different thicknesses are part of our lifeworld context, presumably more prior knowledge is available for stem changes than for sap flow. New information can be embedded in existing mental models more easily as long as the new information is consistent with the existing mental model. Such an importance of contexts and prior knowledge is well known in cognitive educational science (for example Podschuweit and Bernholt, 2020; Witherby and Carpenter, 2022). One common problem irrespective of the tree variable was the appreciation and understanding of the distinct diurnal cycles in stem diameter change and sap flow. In the authors' experience from teaching at university level, understanding the meaning of such daily cycles (as a form of internal climate variability) appears to be hard in general. Another common problem was that causal relationships between the various variables were hardly made, except some basic characteristics of how weather tendencies affect the tree responses. While this represents without doubt a more complex question, the deficiency also suggests that teaching the climate as a physical cause/effect system could probably be enhanced at school level. Altogether, the results confirm our working hypothesis and point to a discrepancy between verbalizing environmental information and drawing mechanistic links.

In the near future, it is planned to complete the study of systematic GWL changes over many decades, which will allow the students to relate the topic more strongly to the climate change aspect. We will also attempt to maintain, and even to extend, the tree network in the future, either by a follow-up research project or by other means of public education. For future classroom sessions, teaching materials that focus on the relationship between weather conditions and the responses of trees are currently developed and evaluated. These connections are to be worked out both in general and in concrete case studies. For the latter, exemplary periods with tree data and tweets of the students will be included. In addition, further time periods with relevant weather changes and the corresponding tree reactions will be analyzed in order to better assess the robustness of the findings in this case study.

Data availability. Weather data are openly available from the German Weather Service (DWD). The tree data and associated tweets can be obtained from our website at https://baytreenet.de/.

Author contributions. AB, TM and JCS designed the study and arranged the project funding. AD, JCS, SH and SW analyzed the data. KS supported the tree sensor data collection. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript, which was coordinated by TM.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical statement. Ethical approval for this research was given by the Friedrich-Alexander-University (FAU) Erlangen-Nürnberg ethics committee.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded by the Bavarian State Ministry of Science and Arts, as part of the Bavarian Climate Research Network (bayklif). We thank Katrien Schaepdryver, supported by the BOF research project TreeWatch (grant no 01J07919), and Erik Moerman from Ghent University for their technical support. We also thank the teachers and students of the partner schools for their big engagement in this project. And finally, big thanks to the two reviewers and executive editor John K. Hillier for very helpful comments on earlier versions of this manuscript.

References

BayTreeNet Neuschönau [BayTreeNet]: "Hello. As hoped for yesterday, today was great weather, sunshine all day. My diameter has grown by quite a bit and my sap flow has also increased. I hope you had a great day like me." Twitter, available at: https://x.com/6baytreenet?s=43 (last access: 23 November 2023), posted: 19:30, 2 June 2021.

Bissolli, P. and Dittmann, E.: The objective weather type classification of the German Weather Service and its possibilities of application to environmental and meteorological investigations, Meteorol. Z., 10, 253–260, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2001/0010-0253, 2001.

Bräuning, A., Debel, A., Collier, E., Höhnle, S., Mölg, T., Schubert, J.C., Thieroff, B., and Wehrmann, S.: BayTreeNet: Sprechende Bäume als Schnittstelle von Klimadynamik, Dendroökologie und Bildung für nachhaltige Entwicklung in Bayern. Mitt. der Fränk. Geogr. Ges., 67, 177–188, 2022.

Braun, V. and Clarke, V.: Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual. Res. Psychol. 3 (2), 77–101, doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa, 2006.

Boyes, E., and Stanisstreet, M.: The 'Greenhouse Effect': children's perceptions of causes, consequences and cures. Int. J. Sci. Educ. 15 (5), 531–552, doi:10.1080/0950069930150507, 1993.

Choi, S., Niyogi, D., Shepardson, D. P., and Charusombat, U.: Do Earth and Environmental Science Textbooks Promote Middle and High School Students' Conceptual Development About Climate Change? Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 91 (7), 889–898, doi:10.1175/2009BAMS2625.1, 2010.

Clark, R. T. and Brown, S. J.: Influences of Circulation and Climate Change on European Summer Heat Extremes, J. Climate, 26, 9621–9632, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00740.1, 2013.

Collier, E. and Mölg, T.: BAYWRF: a high-resolution present-day climatological atmospheric dataset for Bavaria, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 3097–3112, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-3097-2020, 2020.

503 Davi, N., Pringle, P., Fiondella, F., Lockwood, J., and Oelkers, R.: Online labs to introduce undergraduate students
504   to scientific concepts and practices in tree-ring research, J. Geosci. Educ., 70:1, 73–84,
505   doi:10.1080/10899995.2021.1927567, 2022.

506 Davis, C.C., Lyra, G.M., Park, D.S., Asprino, R., Maruyama, R., Torquato, D., Cook, B.I., and Ellison, A.M.: New
507   directions in tropical phenology. Trends Ecol. Evol., 37(8), 683-693, doi:10.1016/j.tree.2022.05.001, 2022.

508 Debel, A., Meier, W. J. H., and Bräuning, A.: Climate signals for growth variations of *F. sylvatica*, *P. abies*, and
509   *P. sylvestris* in southeast Germany over the past 50 years. Forests, 12, 1433,
510   doi:https://doi.org/10.3390/f12111433, 2021.

511 Deslauriers, A., Rossi, S., and Anfodillo, T.: Dendrometer and intra-annual tree growth: What kind of information
512   can be inferred? Dendrochronologia, 25 (2), 113–124, doi:10.1016/j.dendro.2007.05.003, 2007.

513 Dove, J.: Student Teacher Understanding of the Greenhouse Effect, Ozone Layer Depletion and Acid Rain.
514   Environ. Educ. Res., 2 (1), 89–100, doi:10.1080/1350462960020108, 1996.

515 Drew, D:, and Downes, G. M.: The use of precision dendrometers in research on daily stem size and wood property
516   variation: A review. Dendrochronologia 27 (2), 159–172, doi:10.1016/j.dendro.2009.06.008, 2009.

517 Dulamsuren, C.,, Hauck, M., Kopp, G., Ruff, M., and Leuschner, C.: European beech responds to climate change
518   with growth decline at lower, and growth increase at higher elevations in the center of its distribution range
519   (SW Germany). Trees, 31 (2), 673–686, doi:10.1007/s00468-016-1499-x, 2017.

520 Friedrichs, D. A., Trouet, V., Büntgen, U.,Frank, D. C., Esper, J.,Neuwirth, B., and Löffler, J.: Species-specific
521   climate sensitivity of tree growth in Central-West Germany. Trees, 23 (4), 729–739, doi:10.1007/s00468-009-
522   0315-2, 2009.

523 Herrera-Lormendez, P., Mastrantonas, N., Douville, H., Hoy, A., and Matschullat, J.: Synoptic circulation changes
524   over Central Europe from 1900 to 2100: Reanalyses and Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 6, Int.
525   J. Climatol., 42, 4062–4077, doi:10.1002/joc.7481, 2022.

526 James, P. M.: An objective classification method for Hess and Brezowsky Grosswetterlagen over Europe, Theor.
527   Appl. Climatol., 88, 17–42, doi: 10.1007/s00704-006-0239-3, 2007.

528 Kraus, C., Zang, C., and Menzel, A.: Elevational response in leaf and xylem phenology reveals different
529   prolongation of growing period of common beech and Norway spruce under warming conditions in the
530   Bavarian Alps. Eur. J. Forest Res., 135 (6), 1011–1023, doi:10.1007/s10342-016-0990-7, 2016.

531 Lazo J. K., Morss R. E., and Demuth J. L.: 300 Billion served. Sources, Perceptions, Uses, and Values of Weather
532   Forecasts. Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc., 90, 785-798, doi:10.1175/2008BAMS2604.1, 2009.

533 Leiserowitz, A., Roser-Renouf, C., Marlon, J., and Maibach, E.: Global Warming's Six Americas: a review and
534   recommendations for climate change communication. Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci., 42, 97–103,
535   doi10.1016/j.cobeha.2021.04.007, 2021.

536 Podschuweit, S., and Bernholt, S.: Investigating network coherence to assess students' conceptual understanding
537   of energy. Educ. Sci., 10(4), 1–20, doi:10.3390/educsci10040103, 2020.

538 Loikith, P. C., Lintner, B. R., and Sweeney, A.: Characterizing Large-Scale Meteorological Patterns and
539   Associated Temperature and Precipitation Extremes over the Northwestern United States Using Self-
540   Organizing Maps. J. Climate, 30, 2829–2847, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-16-0670.1, 2017.

541 Post, P., Truija V., and Tuulik, J.: Circulation weather types and their influence on temperature and precipitation
542   in Estonia, Boreal Env. Res., 7, 281–289, 2002.

543 Psistaki, K., Paschalidou, A. K., and McGregor, G.: Weather patterns and all-cause mortality in England, UK, Int.
544   J. Biometeorol., 64, 123–136, doi:10.1007/s00484-019-01803-0, 2020.

545 Riediger, U., and Gratzki, A.: Future weather types and their influence on mean and extreme climate indices for
546   precipitation and temperature in Central Europe, Meteorol. Z., 23 (3), 231–252, doi:10.1127/0941-
547   2948/2014/0519, 2014.

548 Steppe, K., De Pauw, D. J. W., Lemeur, R., and Vanrolleghem, P. A.: A mathematical model linking tree sap flow
549   dynamics to daily stem diameter fluctuations and radial stem growth. Tree Physiol., 26, 257–273, 2006.

550 Steppe, K., De Pauw, D. J. W., Doody, T. M., and Teskey, R. O.: A comparison of sap flux density using thermal
551   dissipation, heat pulse velocity and heat field deformation methods. Agric. For. Meteorol., 150, 1046–1056,
552   doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.04.004, 2010.

553 Steppe, K., Sterck, F., and Deslauriers, A.: Diel growth dynamics in tree stems: linking anatomy and
554   ecophysiology. Trends Plant Sci., 20, 335–343, doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2015.03.015, 2015.

555 Steppe, K., von der Crone, Jonas S., and de Pauw, D. J. W.: TreeWatch.net: A Water and Carbon Monitoring and
556   Modeling Network to Assess Instant Tree Hydraulics and Carbon Status. Frontiers Plant Sci., 7, 993,
557   doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00993, 2016.

558 Smith, D. M., and Allen, S. J.: Measurement of sap flow in plant stems. J. Exp. Bot., 47 (12), 1833–1844.
559   doi:10.1093/jxb/47.12.1833, 1996.

560 Vandegehuchte, M. W., and Steppe, K.: Sapflow+: a four-needle heat-pulse sap flow sensor enabling nonempirical
561   sap flux density and water content measurements. The New Phytologist, 196 (1), 306–317, doi:10.1111/j.1469-
562   8137.2012.04237.x, 2012.

563 Werner, P. C., and Gerstengarbe, F. W.: PIK Report. Katalog der Großwetterlagen Europas (1881-2009), 2010.

564 Witherby, A. E., and Carpenter, S. K.: The rich-get-richer effect: Prior knowledge predicts new learning of domain-
565   relevant information. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 48(4), 483–498,
566   doi:10.1037/xlm0000996, 2022.

567 Zong, L., Yang, Y., Xia, H., Gao, M., Sun, Z., Zheng, Z., Li, X., Ning, G., Li, Y., and Lolli, S.: Joint occurrence
568   of heatwaves and ozone pollution and increased health risks in Beijing, China: role of synoptic weather pattern
569   and urbanization, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 6523–6538, doi:10.5194/acp-22-6523-2022, 2022.