Many thanks for refining your work based on the reviewers (and editor) comments. However there are still a few comments made by the handling editor that need to be addressed before acceptance for publication. Please review and address these comments which were sent to you on August 12, 2022. The concerns raised on page 7 and 8 (result sections 3.2 and 3.3) are particularly important as they relate to the number of articles you have reported.

Thank you. I’ve added and made changes based on the handling editor technical notes, I’ve added an equation to the first time a proportional result is presented in each result section and updated the figure caption to the same effect. For example Line 136 “Overall, on average for each individual weather hazard (Total number of articles for all hazards in Figure 2/Total number of reported hazards in figure 1).”

A few additional (but minor) edits:

1. Please place a comma every third digit to the left of the decimal point when reporting large numbers to help the reader. I see you have done this mainly in the manuscript text and not in the figures.
   I’ve now done this across the text and figures.

2. Is it possible to present the data in Table 1 and 2 similarly to what you have done in Figure 1 and 2? It is not easy to read and immediately see patterns in numbers in Table 1 and 2, hence the suggestion to present them differently.
   I agree and I’ve formatted Table 1 and 2 to be figures as suggested, I hope this aids with presenting the patterns.

3. Use the word "heat wave" consistently throughout the manuscript. There are places where you write it as "heatwave".
   Thank you, I’ve now changed this throughout the body of the manuscript.

4. Why did you select the google search engine for this analysis (as opposed to other search engines)? How does your selection of google search engine impact your results? Perhaps explain this in your method section so that it is clear.
   This is a good reflection, I’ve now added to the method section to account for this:” Google was chosen as it has the most comprehensive results in comparison to other search engines (i.e. Bing) and tools that assisted with advanced search. “ Line 66

5. Your analysis included articles with the word "climate change". How about articles that use different terms such as "climate crises, global warming or climate warming" to refer to "climate change"? Did you take these into accounts, and if not, how would omitting these terms impact your analysis?
   Another good reflection the method used here uses the term that when I evaluated which key words to use returned the most results and I’ve now added this to the method section.” Each
term was assessed to consider whether it captured the most articles, for example using heat wave not heatwave and climate change not climate crisis or global warming.”