GC Insights: Space sector careers resources need a greater diversity of roles

Martin O. Archer¹, Cara L. Waters¹, Shafiat Dewan¹, Simon Foster¹, and Antonio Portas²

¹Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London, UK
²NUSTEM, Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK

Correspondence: Martin O. Archer
(m.archer10@imperial.ac.uk)

Abstract. Educational research highlights that improved careers education is needed to increase participation in STEM. Current UK careers resources concerning the space sector, however, are found to perhaps not best reflect the diversity of roles present and may in fact perpetuate misconceptions about the usefulness of science. We, therefore, compile a more diverse set of space-related jobs, which will be used in the development of a new space careers resource.

1 Introduction

Educational research shows participation issues across Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) are not due to school students’ disinterest, but whether students see these fields and their potential career opportunities as for “people like me” (Archer and DeWitt, 2017, and references therein). These perceptions form early and remain relatively stable with age, which has led to recommendations for increased provision and quality of careers education/engagement at both primary and secondary levels (Archer et al., 2013; Holman, 2014; Davenport et al., 2020). Careers education provision in the UK specifically, however, is still not universal (despite mandates being in place) and that which is provided can often be patterned by societal inequities, unfortunately leaving some students’ aspirations “dampened” (Abrahams, 2016; Archer and Moote, 2016; Moote and Archer, 2018a,b). It is therefore fair to say that high quality careers-related materials are in demand by schools now more than ever.

A key problem in STEM participation is the perception that studying science is only for those that aspire to become scientists (Archer and DeWitt, 2017). This is in contrast with the wide range of careers both related to and beyond science that further STEM education can enable. Therefore, this “science = scientists” link needs to be broken by highlighting to young people and their key influencers (e.g. teachers, parents/carers, community leaders) the prevalence and relevance of STEM subjects to everyday life and a diverse selection of potential career paths (Archer and DeWitt, 2017; Davenport et al., 2020; Archer et al., 2021).

Good practice towards diversity in communications more generally may be gleaned from the numerous efforts aimed at improving the diversity within STEM fields, due to the under-representation of women, disabled people, and those from ethnic-minorities or socially-disadvantaged groups (Campaign for Science and Engineering, 2014). One common approach is to strive for equal representation of minority demographics, in for instance role models, so that those aspiring towards STEM can see
“people like me” in those fields which may help tackle damaging stereotypes (e.g. Huntoon and Lane, 2007; Prinsley et al., 2016; González-Pérez et al., 2020). In other words, equal weight should be given to all categories, irrespective of whether they constitute a majority or minority within society.

In this paper, we investigate the representation of the space sector within current careers resources to ascertain whether they align with these educational recommendations.

2 Current space careers resources

The space sector involves a wide range of activities which are conventionally split into upstream (making and sending objects to space), e.g. space manufacturing and operations), downstream (using these objects to deliver products/services for exploitation, e.g. space applications), and ancillary services (providing specialised support), roles, with scientific activities potentially spanning all three (Sadlier et al., 2019; Sant et al., 2021; know.space, 2021). Reports suggest that In the UK alone there are 45,100 space-related roles employed in industry (not including academia), constituting (0.14% of the total workforce), with these roles supporting 126,300 jobs across the supply chain and generating £6.6 billion to the UK economic output, (0.30% of the gross domestic product know.space, 2021). The UK space sector is currently undergoing rapid development with many emerging opportunities (e.g. spaceports) that aim to drive further economic benefits. However, this can only be realised if there is a workforce trained and willing to undertake these new roles, highlighting the need for representation of space sector roles in Space-related roles should thus be rife for inclusion in careers education resources.

The 2020 Space Census was the first national survey of the UK space workforce (Thiemann and Dudley, 2021), inclusive of both industry and academia. It provides, to our knowledge, the best current classification scheme and breakdown of the diverse roles present within the UK space sector. These are shown to the right of Figure 1a. This scheme and data are used as a benchmark for assessing current space careers resources.

We undertook desk research to find what careers resources for young people currently exist within the UK that aim to raise awareness and describe a range of roles across the space sector. Our search criteria meant that we could not include resources targeted at other countries (e.g. USA; Angeles and Vilorio, 2016), which promoted careers within specific organisations (e.g. Serco, 2022), focused on only one aspect of the sector (e.g. Royal Academy of Engineering, 2018), simply listed current vacancies (e.g. Careersin.space, 2022), or just direct readers to other organisations (e.g. UK Space Agency, 2019). This revealed four only five sets of space careers resources with at least 59 roles (i.e. 1 per space census category if evenly distributed) were found: Edge Barrow School (2017), European Space Education Resource Office (2021), Royal Astronomical Society (2017), SpaceCareers.uk (2021), and University of Edinburgh Careers Service (2016). If other resources within our criteria exist, they likely do not have considerable reach or impact. The jobs featured in each of the resources were then classified using the space census scheme. This was performed independently by multiple coders with overall two people finding 91% agreement (and a Cohen’s κ = 0.9 of 0.9, see Appendix A) (where 0 would be expected at random and 1 indicates perfect agreement; McHugh, 2012). Breakdowns of each set of resources by category are shown as the first four stacked plots in Figure 1a. We tested whether the resources either had an even split of categories, which would best reflect diversity, or were representative of
a) Breakdown of space careers resources by role categories
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b) Statistical tests on space career resources by role categories

Hypothesis: Categories are uniformly distributed

\[ \chi^2(df=8) = 18.000 \quad 22.250 \quad 16.800 \quad 37.724 \quad 27.143 \quad 5.500 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Edge Barrow School (n=18)</th>
<th>ESERO (n=16)</th>
<th>RAS (n=15)</th>
<th>SpaceCareers.uk (n=29)</th>
<th>University of Edinburgh (n=14)</th>
<th>This Work (n=36)</th>
<th>Space Census 2020 (n=1540)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ^2(df=10) = 59.773 , p = 4 × 10^-9

Hypothesis: Classifications are distributed as per Space Census 2020

\[ \chi^2(df=8) = 22.791 \quad 5.907 \quad 20.773 \quad 31.038 \quad 10.288 \quad 69.604 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Edge Barrow School (n=18)</th>
<th>ESERO (n=16)</th>
<th>RAS (n=15)</th>
<th>SpaceCareers.uk (n=29)</th>
<th>University of Edinburgh (n=14)</th>
<th>This Work (n=36)</th>
<th>Space Census 2020 (n=1540)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>18.000</td>
<td>22.250</td>
<td>16.800</td>
<td>37.724</td>
<td>27.143</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>0.7419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>0.0346</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16.800</td>
<td>22.250</td>
<td>16.800</td>
<td>37.724</td>
<td>27.143</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>0.7419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>20.773</td>
<td>5.907</td>
<td>20.773</td>
<td>31.038</td>
<td>10.288</td>
<td>69.604</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>31.038</td>
<td>20.773</td>
<td>31.038</td>
<td>10.288</td>
<td>69.604</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>10.288</td>
<td>69.604</td>
<td>10.288</td>
<td>69.604</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.2247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60%</td>
<td>69.604</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
<td>69.604</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.2247</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ^2(df=10) = 33.178 , p = 3 × 10^-4

Significantly different (reject hypothesis at α=0.05 level), Consistent (do not reject hypothesis at α=0.05 level)

Figure 1. a) Breakdown of current UK space sector careers resources compared to the UK Space Census 2020 (Thiemann and Dudley, 2021). b) The outcomes of chi-squared statistical tests from these distributions are also shown. c) Word cloud of the space roles chosen for a new resource, where colours relate to the categories in panel a.
the space census. This was done through chi-squared statistical tests (see Appendix A) for each resource were also performed under two different null hypotheses. The first of these was for a uniform distribution, which would best highlight the diversity of the space sector as mentioned earlier. The second corresponded to the distribution of the space census, which would indicate resources were at least representative of the sector. In both cases, due to small numbers, \( p \)-values were computed via 10,000 Monte Carlo simulations of the \( \chi^2 \) statistic for each resource’s sample size under the null hypothesis. These are, with the outcomes listed in Figure 1b below each stacked plot.

Our results show that, to high confidence, none of the current space careers resources have a near-even split of 11% per category adopt a uniform distribution of the different categories—(expected 11% for each, corresponding to 1–3 roles). Indeed, between 2–4 of the categories are missing in each resource. Combining these tests into an overall result (see Appendix A) shows this conclusion is highly robust. Therefore, current resources they are perhaps not best representing the diversity of space-related careers available. Indeed, several of the categories are not included at all: management and administration in Edge Barrow School; education, policy, and administration in ESERO; sales and education in SpaceCareers.uk; management, computing, education, and administration in the University of Edinburgh.

Comparing the resources’ distributions to that of the space census, we find that all of them over-represent academic/research scientific careers. Given the low levels of young people aspiring towards being a scientist from an early age (Archer and DeWitt, 2017), it appears that these resources may perpetuate misconceptions about the usefulness of science. On the other hand, the large proportions of “Other” careers across almost resources sets means that several less traditional career options related to space are being highlighted, which is advantageous. The statistics indicate the Edge Barrow School, RAS, and SpaceCareers.uk resources are highly unrepresentative of the UK space sector. We cannot confidently claim this for the others, though they have relatively small numbers of roles, whereas for ESERO and the University of Edinburgh we cannot confidently reject this null hypothesis (though these two sets have the smallest number of roles). Nonetheless, combining the results again yields a highly significant conclusion that current space careers resources are generally unrepresentative of the sector.

Finally, we note that all these resources are tend to be targeted at upper-secondary and university students. Therefore, there appears to be a lack of space-related careers material aimed at the ages most in need of engagement, i.e. primary and lower-secondary students (Archer et al., 2013; Holman, 2014; Davenport et al., 2020).

3 Developing a new resource

Given these findings, we endeavoured to create a more diverse set of UK-based space careers for a new resource to be aimed at younger ages. This was achieved by contacting Imperial Space Lab’s industrial partners, reading reports on the UK space sector (e.g. Sadlier et al., 2019; Sant et al., 2021; know.space, 2021), finding advertised vacancies, and more general online research. The list of roles was iterated several times until it was felt the final set of 36 careers displayed in Figure 1c, greater in number than current resources, well captured the diversity of the sector. The roles chosen were:

- Artist
- Astrobiologist
Astrophysicist
Business Development Communications
Data Scientist
95 Earth Observation Environmental Engineer
Finance
Flight Software
Flight Surgeon
100 Geologist
Ground Software
Human Resources
Independent Cost Estimator
Innovation Manager
105 Journalist
Museum Curator
Policy Maker
Product Assurance
Project Manager
110 Risk Management
Satellite Sales
Science Communicator
Space Command
Space Lawyer
115 Space Nutritionist
Space Operations Nurse
Space Psychologist
Space Travel Agent
Structural/Mechanical Engineer
120 Supply Chain
Systems Engineer
Teaching Fellow
Technical Recruiter
Weather Data Analyst
Our aim was that this set would have near-equal weight in each classification, numbers in each job category. The breakdown is shown as the fifth stacked plot in Figure 1a along with results of the statistical tests (panel b). These reveal that our set is indeed consistent with a uniform distribution; this aim, hence better representing the sector’s diversity. Consequently, it is significantly different from the space census, though importantly no majority classification category from the census is over-represented. As with existing resources, “Other” careers form a significant fraction of the set thereby highlighting less traditional paths. It is also worth noting that the high number of roles in administration, i.e. relating to the running of a business or organisation, was deliberate since “business” is by far the most popular aspiration amongst young people (Archer et al., 2013). The application of these compiled roles into the design of a new careers resource is beyond the scope of this paper.

4 Conclusions

Educational research has highlighted revealed that improved careers education, particularly for younger ages, may be required to improve participation in STEM. This needs to highlight the diversity of career options STEM subjects can enable, breaking the misconception that science is only for scientists. Focusing on space-related careers, we have found that currently available UK resources perhaps do not best represent the diversity of roles present in the sector. In particular, there is an over-representation of scientists within them, which may instead perpetuate stereotypes. We have, therefore, compiled a more diverse set of space-related careers which does not appear to suffer from these issues. These roles will form the basis of a new space careers resource for primary and lower-secondary students, which we hope will better align with the recommendations from recent educational research.

Appendix A: Statistical methods

Cohen’s $\kappa$ is a measure of reliability for coding categorical items (McHugh, 2012). It is calculated as

$$\kappa = \frac{p_0 - p_e}{1 - p_0}$$

where $p_0$ is the proportional agreement among coders and $p_e$ is that expected by chance. $\kappa$ ranges between 0 (consistent with random) and 1 (perfect agreement).

A chi-squared test compares observed frequencies $O_i$ within $k$ categories to those expected $E_i$ under some (null) hypothesis. The statistic is given by

$$\chi^2 (df = k - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

where df are the degrees of freedom. Due to small numbers, $p$-values (the probability of obtaining test results at least as extreme as those observed) were computed via 10,000 Monte Carlo simulations of $\chi^2$ for each resource’s size under the hypotheses. If $p < 0.05$ then the observations are considered significantly different.
\( p \)-values of \( n \) independent tests for the same hypothesis can be combined using Fisher’s (1925) method to arrive at an overall chi-squared statistic

\[
\chi^2 (df = 2n) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln (p_i)
\]

whose \( p \)-value can be calculated.

Data availability. Data supporting the findings are derived from listed public domain resources.

Author contributions. MOA was involved in the conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, formal analysis, visualization, and writing of this work. CLW and SD designed the methodology, performed the investigation, and undertook data curation. SF contributed to project administration and supervision. AP provided resources and assisted with validation.

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank the Jonathan Eastwood for his support in this project and research. This work has been made possible by Imperial College London’s Undergraduate Research Opportunity Programme, through funding from the Department of Physics and Space Lab. M.O. Archer holds a UKRI (STFC / EPSRC) Stephen Hawking Fellowship EP/T01735X/1. We are also thankful for funding from STFC (ST/W00545X/1).
References


