Geosci. Commun. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-9-RC3, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Developing the hertz art-science project to allow inaudible sounds of the Earth and Cosmos to be experienced" by Graeme J. Marlton and Juliet Robson

Sydney Lancaster (Referee)

sydney.lancaster@gmail.com

Received and published: 9 April 2020

The manuscript outlines the development and presentation of an installation artwork, the goal of which was to enable infrasound from various sources to be experienced in tactile and audible ways but the general public. Marlton and Robson focus their discussion on the process of their collaboration, from the first trials of software and hardware for the installation work, through to the public reception and tour of the project and its reception by several audiences in a variety of venues. Moreover, the presentation of processed infrasound in real time in touring locations of the project provides a tangible and immediate connection for the audience. Inaudible and unseen aspects of our plan-

C₁

etary environment, in effect, become 'real' and provoke both emotional and intellectual responses from the public, and often, a desire to learn more. This is an admirable and positive outcome to the project, and entirely relevant to the goals of Geoscience Communication. Moreover, the attention paid by the authors to the process of their constructive art-science collaboration is particularly relevant to the mission of Geoscience Communication, as it provides insights into the benefits and difficulties of such work for others interested in projects of this type.

This paper would benefit from a thorough proofreading for minor typos and some awkwardness in phrasing, but is generally readable and provides a solid overview of project development and the incorporation of feedback from public presentations.

Further, I feel the inclusion of more detailed information regarding the specifics of presenting the project is warranted. For example, it would be useful to know the volume (dB) at which the processed infrasound was presented; this is relevant both in terms of some of the negative responses (one of which was "scary," as conveyed by the authors), and in relation to the aspect of inclusivity/accessible design mentioned in the paper. It would be both instructive to those wishing to pursue a similar project, and informative to those seeking more detail with respect to accessibility - or simply practical considerations of venue - to include the details of all the hardware, software, and specifics (such as volume, mentioned above) in the paper, or in an appendix to it.

I would like to see more space devoted to the issues around accessible design overall, as this aspect of the project sets it apart from many art-science collaborations, and raises very important considerations in the transmission of both scientific and artistic/aesthetic information. Considerations around who our audiences are, and what are appropriate means of conveying ideas and information to them should be a first priority in this type of work, if we are to make inroads in communicating the relevance of both science and art to a wide audience. I commend the authors for raising this issue - but feel they could have addressed it more thoroughly, especially in relation to the user experience in the installation.

It would also be of benefit to contextualize the project further; framing hertz in relation to both research in infrasound and in the context of contemporary sound art would allow readers to better situate the project's relevance to developments in both disciplines, and highlight the benefits of such collaborations. Examples of this work may be found at Gupfinger, Ogawa, Sommerer, and Mignonneau (2009), Esquerro and Simon (2019), Sussman (2012), Hope (2009), Cranshaw (2014). In addition, there are other artists working with chladni plates; referencing their work would also assist in contextualizing the is aspect of the project, and strengthen the case for the relevance of this portion of the project here, and in future articles.

I commend the authors for including commentary on their own experiences of working collaboratively, across disciplines. This is challenging work, and can only be truly successful if everyone involved approaches the work with openness, and a desire to learn and work in new ways. There is tremendous value in this approach to the explication of both complex scientific concepts and artistic creation alike, and much to be learned on both 'sides.'

Specific comments related to the above are listed by line number here:

Line 93 The relationship (if any) between the data collected by the CTBTO and the data collected through the INFRA 20 is not clearly stated here.

Line 65 Although you are not detailing this part of the project here, it is part of your documentation of installations, and the statistics on visitor interaction with the works (Page 8, line 250). As such, would be useful to readers to cite and/or refer to work in cymatics, perhaps in particular reference to contemporary art, to further explicate the notion of making the invisible visible. The work of both Nigel Stanford and Gary James Joynes come to mind.

Line 120 -121 Could the sound emitted form the subwoofer also be felt physically? Worth noting one way or the other, as the secondary physical impact of the sound would contribute to the immersive quality. This seems to be the case given what you

СЗ

say in the next sentence about playing Dark Side of the Moon through the subwoofer & transducer in the first trial, and with respect to the subwoofer in the public iterations of the project. More detailed specifications for the subwoofer and transducer, and dB for both initial test and subsequent installations would be extremely helpful.

Line 160 You could make more of the immediacy of the experience - it is an important factor in work of this nature that strives to connect people both emotionally and intellectually to natural phenomena such as this. This experience cannot be duplicated on the web, and cannot be simply listened to or watched: it needed people to be physically present. This becomes even more relevant in later iterations of the work, in which you draw on infrasound from the locations of presentation, where place and the experience of the work are inextricably linked.

Line 170 Was there feedback from the participants that was negative? Given the range of abilities in the audience for this, some may have had a negative experience; it would be useful to know this, what those less-positive responses were, and how they were factored in to further development of the project. For example, for some individuals with chronic pain and/or migraine and/or disabilities that affect balance, this installation may have been difficult to engage with, depending upon the volume or level of vibration physically experienced.

References Cited above:

Gupfinger, Reinhard & Ogawa, Hideaki & Sommerer, Christa & Mignonneau, Laurent. (2009). INTERACTIVE INFRASONIC ENVIRONMENT: A New Type of Sound Installation for Controlling Infrasound.

Ezquerro, L., and J. L. Simón. "Geomusic as a New Pedagogical and Outreach Resource: Interpreting Geoheritage with All the Senses." Geoheritage 11, no. 3 (September 1, 2019): 1187–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-019-00364-3.

Sussman, M. "Hearing with your Body: Infrasound.

https://www.artpractical.com/feature/hearing_with_your_body_infrasound/# Accessed 8 April 2020.

Hope, Cat. "Infrasonic Music." Leonardo Music Journal 2009 Vol. 19, 51-56.

Hope, Cat. "Earth pulse: Vibrational data as artistic inspiration." Re:Live Media Art histories 2009 Refereed Conference Proceedings (pp. 73-77), The University of Melbourne, 2009.

Crawshaw, Alexis Story. "Towards Defining the Potential of Electroacoustic Infrasonic Music." ICMC (2014).

Nigel Stanford. https://nigelstanford.com/Cymatics/Behind_the_Scenes.aspx
Gary James Jones. http://www.clinkersound.com/frequency-painting/?page_id=347

Please also note the supplement to this comment: https://www.geosci-commun-discuss.net/gc-2020-9/gc-2020-9-RC3-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-9, 2020.