

## ***Interactive comment on “Developing the hertz art-science project to allow inaudible sounds of the Earth and Cosmos to be experienced” by Graeme J. Marlton and Juliet Robson***

**Charlie Hooker (Referee)**

charlie.hooker234@btinternet.com

Received and published: 8 April 2020

Journal: GC Title: Developing the hertz art-science project to allow inaudible sounds of the Earth and Cosmos to be experienced Author(s): Graeme J. Marlton and Juliet Robson MS No.: gc-2020-9 MS Type: Research article Special Issue: Five years of Earth sciences and art at the EGU (2015–2019)

In essence, this article aims to record the creative collaborative process between an artist and a scientist by documenting the research development of a project and its dissemination, with a particular focus on public engagement and a lay-person's interpretation of a potentially awe-inspiring science-based art installation. The central pivot

C1

to the project is the use of infrasound to encourage individuals from diverse age groups and backgrounds to consider the continual ‘invisible’ movement and vibrations generated by natural and man-made activity within our planet – to reveal the imperceptible. This ambitious idea for an interactive installation is detailed by the authors as follows: Drawing on the premise that everything vibrates, from the smallest atom to the furthest star, their frequencies surround us and yet leave no imprint, hertz would enable people to feel their bodies resonating to the inaudible symphony of our own planet and experience the stars singing and see their sound made visible. hertz's ultimate goal would aim to reconnect us to our planet and place in the cosmos. (68-71) From an artistic perspective, the conceptual structure underpinning the gallery installations created through the project is rooted in ideas of ‘the uncanny’ and ‘the sublime’, postulated by philosophers such as Edmund Burke and Immanuel Kant, and demonstrated by artists such as Walter de Maria, Bruce Nauman, Cornelia Parker and James Turrell. From a scientific perspective, the project is clearly aimed at furthering ways of achieving public engagement and refining research already begun using STEM expertise and the ARISE project, based largely at the Meteorological Department of the University of Reading.

Although the article contains some minor grammatical typos throughout (no full-stop, end of 72; were/was, 113; capital To, 150; comma after 1st word, 182 etc. . . .therefore needs full proof reading throughout) it is an interesting account of an innovative project and gives good information regarding its public presentation and outreach feedback. However, although the ongoing collaborative process is, in general, well documented, there is an implication within the article that the scientists are often problem-solving the artist's practical needs, with no in-depth interrogation and analysis by the team of the visual, audible and physical aesthetic of the objects and installations generated by the overall process and how this informs the scientists' own research and insights. It would be interesting, for instance, to have (280-344) much more detail about how the collaborative process altered each member of the team's initial ideas and approaches to his/her own subject. I believe that the article would also benefit from a more in-depth

C2

description of how and why these particular individuals from these specific disciplines began working collaboratively in the first place – what their original expectations were with regard to research - and how they intend to incorporate aspects of the public feedback they gathered to develop this extremely interesting project further. This could be more fully developed in Section 6, where common methodologies (347) would benefit from being described in much more depth, to reveal the successes, failures and critical analysis of each discipline's methodologies and how the combined methodologies systematically achieved the final outcomes and, potentially, a new methodology.

The article explores an intriguing topic, but could give a more rigorous record of the positive and negative surprises generated when two disciplines come together. The art/science project itself seems to offer the public a potentially poetic experience and to be physically engaging. However, from the article, I do not quite understand the gallery context of the immersive audience participation. If I walked into the gallery, exactly what would lead me to sit on the chair and how would I understand the implications of the uncanny and mysterious source that I was listening to? Is it a feature of the work that there should always be information sheets or 'exhibit demonstrators' available for the public, or does the installation reveal its meaning in another more subtle way - more akin to, say, a Joseph Beuys installation? The article would therefore benefit from a passage describing the team's views regarding public engagement methodology – the pros and cons of installing an object which emanates a scientific principle through its construction and physical location without the principle needing to be contextualised by an additional means - as this does not appear to be fully documented or analysed.

Professor Charlie Hooker.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-9>, 2020.

C3

Journal: GC  
Title: Developing the hertz art-science project to allow inaudible sounds of the Earth and Cosmos to be experienced  
Author(s): Graeme J. Mariton and Juliet Robson  
MS No.: gc-2020-9  
MS Type: Research article  
Special Issue: Five years of Earth sciences and art at the EGU (2015–2019)

In essence, this article aims to record the creative collaborative process between artist and a scientist by documenting the research development of a project and its dissemination, with a particular focus on public engagement and a lay-person's interpretation of a potentially awe-inspiring science-based art installation.

The central pivot to the project is the use of **infrasound** to encourage individuals from diverse age groups and backgrounds to consider the continual 'invisible' movement and vibrations generated by natural and man-made activity within our planet – to reveal the imperceptible. This ambitious idea for an interactive installation is detailed by the authors as follows: *Drawing on the premise that everything vibrates, from the smallest atom to the furthest star, their frequencies surround us and yet leave no imprint, hertz would enable people to feel their bodies resonating to the inaudible symphony of our own planet and experience the stars singing and see their sound made visible. hertz's ultimate goal would aim to reconnect us to our planet and place in the cosmos.* (68-71)

From an artistic perspective, the conceptual structure underpinning the gallery installations created through the project is rooted in ideas of 'the uncanny' and 'the sublime', postulated by philosophers such as Edmund Burke and Immanuel Kant, and demonstrated by artists such as Walter de Maria, Bruce Nauman, Cornelia Parker and James Turrell. From a scientific perspective, the project is clearly aimed at furthering ways of achieving public engagement and refining research already begun using STEM expertise and the ARISE project, based largely at the Meteorological Department of the University of Reading.

Although the article contains some minor grammatical typos throughout (no full-stop, end of 72: were/was, 113: capital To, 150: comma after 1<sup>st</sup> word, 182 etc. ... ~~these/are~~ ~~needs full proof reading throughout~~) it is an interesting account of an innovative project and gives good information regarding its public presentation and outreach feedback. However, although the ongoing collaborative process is, in general, well documented, there is an implication within the article that the scientists are often problem-solving the artist's practical needs, with no in-depth interrogation and analysis by the team of the visual, audible and physical aesthetic of the objects and installations generated by the overall process and how this informs the scientists' own research and insights. It would be interesting, for instance, to have (280-344) much more detail about how the collaborative process altered each member of the team's initial ideas and approaches to his/her own subject. I believe that the article would also benefit from a more in-depth description of how and why these particular individuals from these specific disciplines began working collaboratively in the first place – what their original expectations were with regard to research - and how they intend to incorporate aspects of the public feedback they gathered to develop this

Fig. 1.

C4

Journal: GC  
Title: Developing the hertz art-science project to allow inaudible sounds of the Earth and Cosmos to be experienced  
Author(s): Graeme J. Marlon and Juliet Robson  
MS No.: gc-2020-9  
MS Type: Research article  
Special Issue: Five years of Earth sciences and art at the EGU (2015–2019)

In essence, this article aims to record the creative collaborative process between an artist and a scientist by documenting the research development of a project and its dissemination, with a particular focus on public engagement and a lay-person's interpretation of a potentially awe-inspiring science-based art installation.

The central pivot to the project is the use of **infrasound** to encourage individuals from diverse age groups and backgrounds to consider the continual 'invisible' movement and vibrations generated by natural and man-made activity within our planet – to reveal the imperceptible. This ambitious idea for an interactive installation is detailed by the authors as follows: *Drawing on the premise that everything vibrates, from the smallest atom to the furthest star, their frequencies surround us and yet leave no imprint, hertz would enable people to feel their bodies resonating to the inaudible symphony of our own planet and experience the stars singing and see their sound made visible. hertz's ultimate goal would aim to reconnect us to our planet and place in the cosmos.* (68-71)

From an artistic perspective, the conceptual structure underpinning the gallery installations created through the project is rooted in ideas of 'the uncanny' and 'the sublime', postulated by philosophers such as Edmund Burke and Immanuel Kant, and demonstrated by artists such as Walter de Maria, Bruce Nauman, Cornelia Parker and James Turrell. From a scientific perspective, the project is clearly aimed at furthering ways of achieving public engagement and refining research already begun using STEM expertise and the ARISE project, based largely at the Meteorological Department of the University of Reading.

Although the article contains some minor grammatical typos throughout (no full-stop, end of 72; were/was, 113; capital To, 150; comma after 1st word, 182 etc. therefore needs full proof reading throughout) it is an interesting account of an innovative project and gives good information regarding its public presentation and outreach feedback. However, although the ongoing collaborative process is, in general, well documented, there is an implication within the article that the scientists are often problem-solving the artist's practical needs, with no in-depth interrogation and analysis by the team of the visual, audible and physical aesthetic of the objects and installations generated by the overall process and how this informs the scientists' own research and insights. It would be interesting, for instance, to have (280-344) much more detail about how the collaborative process altered each member of the team's initial ideas and approaches to his/her own subject. I believe that the article would also benefit from a more in-depth description of how and why these particular individuals from these specific disciplines began working collaboratively in the first place – what their original expectations were with regard to research - and how they intend to incorporate aspects of the public feedback they gathered to develop this

Fig. 2.

C5