A Flexible, Open and Interactive Digital Platform to Support Online and Blended Experiential Learning Environments: Thinglink and thin sections

Adam J. Jeffery[1], Steven L. Rogers[1], Kelly L. A. Jeffery[2], Luke Hobson[1]

5 [1] School of Geography, Geology, and the Environment, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, U.K.
[2] School of Life Sciences, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, U.K.

Correspondence to: Adam J. Jeffery (a.j.jeffery@keele.ac.uk)

Abstract. This study investigates the potential value of, and provides a method for the creation of, flexible, digital and asynchronous platforms to create student-centered materials for use in an online and/or blended learning environment. We

10 made use of Thinglink to create a virtual microscope resource for geology and associated courses in higher education. This is achieved through the dissemination of a simple learning resource comprising interactive imagery and audio.

The visual analysis of rocks under the microscope, termed thin section petrography, is a fundamental component in geology programmes in higher education, with key skills which are transferable with other fields such as material science, biology and forensic science. However, learning environments and activities in this field are often dictated by the requirement

15 for access to microscope facilities, and supplementary resources which are highly variable in their academic level, availability, design, and scale, ranging from traditional textbooks to online resources.

A resource was created which allows individuals to experience some of the aspects of petrographic microscopy in a digital manner. In particular, specific features of the materials observed, and how microscopes work were included. The resource was disseminated to a population of learners and educators who provided responses to a questionnaire. Responses

20 were overwhelmingly positive and indicate considerable interest from learner and teacher alike. Critical areas for improvement include the need for clarity in the user interface, and the inclusion of recorded human voice rather than automated text narration. This study highlights the need for, and benefits of, interactive online learning resources in petrology and associated fields. This type of resource has positive implications for the flexibility, inclusivity and accessibility of teaching materials. Such resources may prove particularly valuable when distance-learning is unavoidable (e.g. the COVID-19 crisis) and/or hybrid, blended

25 learning environments are being deployed. The method and platform used in this study are highly transferable to other subject areas (or other areas of the geosciences).

1 Introduction

The fields of petrology, mineralogy, and geochemistry (PMG fields), which collectively deal with the origin, classification,
30   and composition of rocks, form an integral component in the broad field of geology or geoscience (e.g. Reinhardt, 2004; Mogk,
2007). To achieve competence in these academic fields, individuals need to acquire key skills, such as the ability to accurately
describe and classify rocks based on their mineralogical and geochemical composition. Arguably one of the most fundamental
techniques available for this purpose is thin section petrography, a branch of geology which originated with Henry Clifton
Sorby in the mid-19th century (Folk, 1965), and which relies heavily on techniques such as optical microscopy and, in the
35   modern day, scanning electron microscopy. Of these, the latter is dependent upon expensive, specialist facilities, which
introduce some limitations on its potential for teaching due to purchase and running costs, as well as availability of
instrumentation for individual learning (i.e. resource management). By contrast, optical microscopy requires comparatively
cheap facilities (polarising light microscopes), and it is common for individual learners in large group classes to be able to
undertake independent study with their own microscope. Specimens for study are cut and polished, typically to a thickness of
40   30 microns, and although this invariably has its own costs, prepared samples will not deteriorate with due care, and will be
suitable for use for many future student cohorts. As such, optical microscopy remains one of the most fundamental analytical
techniques which is available to geoscientists, beginners and experts alike (Reinhardt, 2004), providing vital skills which are
applicable not only to petrology, but also to forensic science, biological sciences, medical technology, material science,
metallurgy, economic geology, environmental science, and the increasingly-recognised and valued field of environmental
45   geology (Gunter, 2004) amongst others.

Over the past few decades (and in some cases prior to that), individuals involved in the development of educational
materials across a range of academic fields have sought to implement novel technologies available to them at the time
(Manduca, 2007). This is also true of PMG fields, where educators have supplemented their teaching with additional resources,
such as: manuals of microscope images (photomicrographs) (e.g. Riley Brice and Hawkins Lint, 1987; Choh and Milliken,
50   2004), digital tutorials (e.g. Milliken *et al.*, 2003), computer programmes and packages such as the Open University's Virtual
Microscope Project (www.virtualmicroscope.org), among many others (e.g. Frost, 1977; Ariskin and Nielsen, 1993; Emley
et al., 1998; Palmer *et al.*, 1999), analogue experiments (e.g. Brady, 2009), integration of published research materials (e.g.
research-led teaching, Peck, 2004) as well as fundamental changes to course design and structure (e.g. Reinhardt, 2004;
Perkins, 2005). The overall aim of this project is to design an inclusive, interactive learning resource which can supplement
55   existing resources and contribute to the diversification of teaching techniques within the geoscience fields, including traditional
and environmental geology. This adheres to the concept of blended and/or hybrid learning (i.e. the combining of instructional
modalities (Bonk and Graham, 2012), providing multiple forms of teaching that can better support a range of learning styles
within the cohort (e.g. Graham, 2006 and references therein). This approach is beneficial to the learner, who can access the
resource with comparative ease relative to expensive textbooks, or classrooms and associated facilities which can only be
60   accessed at certain times. Learners can also access the resource from their own home, at a time of their own choosing, and can

undertake the activities provided at their own pace and with no time restrictions. It is similarly valuable for the educator, due to the potential pedagogic benefits of moving from transmissive to interactive teaching (e.g. Domagk *et al.*, 2010), and also the enhanced cost effectiveness compared with traditional teaching techniques.

As such, the learning resource presented here aims to provide not only considerable accessibility, inclusivity, and interactivity but also a level of in-built guidance and support that is typically unavailable in classical petrology teaching (and certainly outside of the traditional class setting), and which often represents one of the greatest difficulties associated with distance-learning (e.g. Waddoups & Howell, 2002). The resource focusses on creating a user-friendly environment in which users can employ different learning styles at their own pace to an area of geoscience which is often regarded as one of the most challenging. Although the construction of this resource predates the current (at time of writing) COVID-19 health crisis, it may help to demonstrate the benefits of such resources during times when alternatives to distance learning are limited.

2 Background and Context

The fundamental aim of teaching petrography (and similar activities in other STEM disciplines) is to allow trained specialists to accurately describe, classify, and interpret rocks (or their equivalent in other disciplines) using hand specimens and thin sections. As such, the intended learning outcomes of higher education modules incorporating petrography (typically petrology, mineralogy, or geochemistry-based modules) frequently include the following:

- Develop experience in the correct use of a polarized light microscope
- Identify the common rock-forming minerals and their relative abundances in rock hand specimens and thin sections, creating detailed scientific sketches that adequately summarise the key features of the sample
- Identify key microstructural/textural relationships between different minerals and/or clasts
- Interpret the geological history of rocks based on mineral, sediment and clast assemblages and textures
- Assign names/classifications to rock samples based on mineralogical and textural characteristics using the appropriate classification scheme

In practice, these skills are typically taught via practical classes in which learners are provided with a polarizing microscope and a variety of hand specimens and thin sections, and are tasked with describing and sketching the samples, identifying key features, and allocating an appropriate name. Additional support typically comes in the form of assistance from teaching staff and laboratory demonstrators, handouts prepared by the course designer(s), and direction to an external resource such as an online resource or a key textbook, which are not necessarily easily accessible to all students, particularly those requiring screen readers or speech recognition software. As such, a great deal of the available support is local and specific to the teaching institution, and dependent upon the time available for staff to generate materials. This inevitably leads to considerable diversity between educational institutions, with some educators preferring highly 'experimental' or innovative approaches to

petrography teaching, whereas others prefer highly traditional or 'classical' approaches. Below, we describe some of the external learning resources that are currently (or have been) available to the modern student of petrography, such as key

95   textbooks, freely available literature and teaching activities, and online resources.

2.1 Currently Available Learning Resources

As discussed above, the field of petrography is not without supplementary resources which are available to all learners across the field (i.e. not created within and exclusively available to, individual educational institutions). These can be broadly

100   categorised into textbooks, online resources, and interactive online resources. The former represents the oldest and most traditional approach, and generally forms the staple form of support provided, via reading lists and book recommendations, for petrography courses. However, recommended texts frequently suffer from problems associated with their academic level, either being too complex and detailed, or lacking in detail. For example, frequently recommended classic texts such as MacKenzie & Guildford (1980), Gribble & Hall (1992), MacKenzie & Adams (1994), and Barker (2014), to name a few,

105   commonly suffer from a range of intrinsic problems, despite their superb quality and content. For example, students may struggle to access them due to the costs involved in their acquisition, their potentially limited availability in libraries, or the need for books to be converted into accessible formats for students with print disabilities. Once published the books cannot be updated unless another edition is published; the examples chosen are (understandably) some of the best examples globally available, but may not represent a true reflection of the natural diversity of specimens. Furthermore, the costs of publishing

110   have historically limited the overall size of such materials, as well as the availability of colour images, restricting the number of examples that could be provided for each topic (e.g. the number of images of a specific mineral in thin section). In the authors experience, a key problem in the learning of petrography is the over-dependence of learners on single thin section images during mineral identification; a learner might struggle to appreciate the diversity of appearances, colours, and textures that could be exhibited by the same mineral in thin section when a recommended text is only able to provide one or two

115   example images. Similarly, the disconnect between text and static imagery introduces further complications; a learner who cannot understand what the text is describing will not be able to recognise the feature in the image. By contrast, some textbooks may appear too complex for learners due to their high density of information, some of which may be too specialist for the learner. For example, the widely utilised textbook by Deer, Howie, & Zussman (1966), now in its third edition, is rightly recommended for learners on PMG courses, and contains a wealth of information relating to optical mineralogy and mineral

120   identification. However, this abundance of information, much of which is aimed more at the professional user, can be off putting and indeed overwhelming to student learners. In short, textbooks offer a fundamental, if somewhat generic resource with clear benefits in terms of information density and images but represent a ridged approach to supporting learning due to accessibility issues, as well as their relatively (and unavoidably) static nature.

Online resources offer a complementary alternative to textbooks, generally lacking the overall scale found in

125   textbooks but being easy to update and access. They typically take the form of electronic documents, slide show presentations,

video demonstrations, animations, and websites, typically created and maintained by educators and researchers in higher education and shared online. The 'Teach the Earth' portal of the website for the Science Education Resource Centre at Carlton College, U.S.A. (www.serc.carleton.edu/teachearth) is a particularly good example of a compilation of online resources and teaching materials, including comprehensive PDF documents, PowerPoint presentations, animations, and innovative pre-built

130   teaching exercises. These resources offer a variety of forms that complement a range of learning styles, are frequently much more user-friendly than textbooks, and are easier to share, collaborate on, and update than standard textbooks, enhancing their accessibility. However, they can be somewhat specific to the curriculum at the designer's host institution, and even the largest and most comprehensive atlases of thin section images remain inevitably static, which may have implications for fostering student engagement.

135   Interactivity in learning activities is widely regarded to be beneficial to deep learning (e.g. Hannafin & Peck, 1988; Moreno *et al*., 2001; Mayer *et al*., 2003; Evans & Gibbons, 2007; Wrigth, 2007; Domagk *et al*., 2010). The most prominent example of an interactive petrography learning resource is the Virtual Microscope for Earth Sciences Project (VMESP), hosted by the Open University, U.K (e.g. Anand *et al*., 2012). The resource comprises more than four hundred rock samples from across the world, which can be viewed in hand specimen via high quality photographs, or in thin section via high resolution

140   photomicrographs. Summary information of the origin and make-up of each sample is provided, as well as links to external sources, and user-interactivity is provided by allowing users to navigate to find samples on Google Maps, as well as zooming in and out of thin section images and changing from Plane Polarised Light (PPL) to Cross Polarised Light (XPL). In some cases, the interactive experience is enhanced with pre-built 'hotspots', which allow the user to zoom in on a specific feature and rotate a virtual stage to observe key effects. The VMESP has generated a superb resource which greatly enhances the

145   accessibility of geological samples for educational purposes. One area in which this study aims to build upon the Virtual Microscope is to enhance interactivity and provide a component of more direct instructional support and guided learning. This is achieved through the addition of multiple 'hotspots' (see *Method and Implementation* below) which provide key images, information, and links to external information, as well as the addition of a voice over narrative, effectively providing audio instruction and support, thus catering to different approaches to learning and student needs.

150

2.2 The Thinglink Platform

The Thinglink web resource (Thinglink, 2018) is an education and recreational technology platform based around the augmentation of images and videos to create interactive, visual learning experiences. The platform allows the user to upload images and add a variety of 'hotspots' which, when clicked, can provide additional images, text, audio files, or links to other

155   images. The user may also upload 360° images or videos, which can be augmented in the same manner and viewed with Google goggles or VR headsets. The final product is a flexible and navigable resource with multiple images and/or videos linked together in a (hopefully) coherent manner. When a resource has been completed, it can be disseminated to potential learners via a link (alternatively the resources can be shared as visual links or embedded within other online platforms), which

will provide immediate access. Although there are some restrictions on the maximum number of visitors to an individual

160 project, this is dependent upon the form of license held, which needs only to be held by the educational establishment, not the learner (a free licence, with reasonably restricted numbers of permitted viewers, is available). As a learning platform, Thinglink has numerous applications and has gained attention in recent years in teaching and outreach (e.g. Appasamy, 2018; Nakatsuka, 2018/2019). There are particularly powerful applications for Thinglink in the geosciences and associated subjects (e.g. STEM disciplins), particularly for virtual fieldwork and visual based topics (anatomy, for example). The application of Thinklink to

165 virtual fieldwork, in particular, has considerable potential for increasing accessibility of the field, and which has gained particular relevance in light of the limitations exacerbated by the COVID-19 health crisis (and similar situations) (e.g. Granshaw and Duggan-Haas, 2012; Pringle, 2015; Cliffe, 2017; Stokes *et al*., 2019). Resources can be as generic or as specialised as required, and their application to other areas of the geosciences which are dependent upon students being physically present in a given location at a specific time (e.g. petrography, laboratory-based geochemistry) would have similarly

170 considerable benefits to teaching in this field.

3 Method and implementation

3.1 Creating digital learning resources in Thinglink

Three geological thin sections were selected for use in the prototype (Fig. 1). To maximise user engagement the selected sections (basalt, andesite, and rhyolite) were chosen from a number of different rock types that are frequently used for

175 introductory petrography courses, and which are frequently considered to be the 'standard set' for volcanology-themed teaching. Considerable effort was made to ensure that the images were high quality, due to the highly visual nature of the resource (Dutrow, 2007). These thin sections were imaged under PPL and XPL using a Nikon Eclipse LV100N POL microscope with a camera attachment. In each case, approximately 80 individual images were collected per sample, which were then stitched together using Photoshop to form high resolution images. The final images were then uploaded to Thinglink

180 where they were used to construct an interactive learning environment (Fig. 2). Additional images were collected via photography to provide material for a 'workstation-themed' title page (Fig. 2a), and an additional page to provide key information of the features of a petrological microscope. Each thin section image was populated with 'hotspots' which either provide information and enhanced imagery relating to a specific feature of the sample or act as a link (Fig. 2b). The links were used in multiple ways; a link back to the home page was added to act as a 'home' button. Similarly, a link was added to each

185 page to allow movement between a PPL and XPL image of the same sample, effectively allowing users to toggle between the two forms of light, which is an important feature of petrographic microscopy. Finally, a link was added to each sample which provided summary information on the rock sample itself, as well as a link to a more comprehensive external information source, hosted by Geology.com. Where considered beneficial, video footage of specific petrographical features (e.g. mineral colour changes during rotation of the microscope stage) was collected using the same Nikon Eclipse microscope alongside a

190 freely available screen-recording software package (Fig. 2c). Information was added to the images in the form of clickable

icons which led to text, images, and videos highlighting key features to be observed in the sample, as determined by the author. All of the text was converted to audio using the text-to-voice function within the Thinglink platform, recorded separately, and added to the relevant interactive elements within the learning environment to provide automatic audio commentary for the key features of each sample. The audio commentary was attributed to a created character, named 'Petra', to enhance inclusivity

195 and promote meaningful learning (Moreno *et al*., 2001). A simple map displaying the overall structure and layout of the resource is shown in Fig. 3. The prototype resource can be found here.

The structure of the learning resource is designed to adhere to the concepts of 'experiential learning' (e.g. Kolb *et al*., 2001; Kolb & Kolb, 2005; Kolb, 2014) and 'scaffolding' (e.g. Young, 1993). By maximising the degree of simulation in the design of the resource, for example by creating a 'workbench' home page, the learner gains critical experience in navigating a

200 rock sample in thin section. As such, the learner is actively involved in the identification of minerals and textures in thin section, rather than being reliant upon the comparatively cumbersome application of text-based theory, such as optical properties. This has the added benefit of allowing the learner to work backwards if they wish, confirming their own theory-based conclusions by seeing the example first. The addition of a strongly guided element effectively provides scaffolding, preventing the learner from being faced with the daunting task of interpreting and classifying an image alone. Instead, they

205 can be instructed by clicking on the bespoke interactive elements, whilst being able to navigate freely through the resource in their own time. With this in mind, the learners should be able to achieve the first two levels of Bloom's Revised Taxonomy (Anderson *et al*., 2001; Krathwohl, 2002), namely 'Remember' and 'Understand'. The user should be able to *recognise* various common microscopic features of rocks, *identifying* different minerals and textures. They should then be able to *compare* the different samples, *classifying* them based on their observations, and *explaining* to some extent what the features could mean.

210 The transition to the next step of the taxonomy (Apply) could be easily achieved with the next logical step in this resource, namely the addition of quiz-style elements in which the learners can test their knowledge, *implementing* their prior experience to undertake some simple identification of classification tasks without direct aid.

215 **3.2 Collection of Feedback**

The prototype learning resource was disseminated to the potential users via a link provided in an e-mail invitation. The provided link gave potential users direct access to the learning resource via personal computer, laptop, or tablet, without any requirement for payment, licence, or registration. The invitation provided information on the nature of the resource, as well as the reasons for its development, and offered an opportunity to provide anonymous feedback that would be used to further

220 develop the resource. Alongside the invitation, an additional link was provided which gave access to a Google form comprising nine questions for feedback. The questions were selected to cover a range of specific topics relating to the overall benefit of using such a learning resource, including applicability, accessibility, relevance to the target degree courses, and overall quality. The final question permitted users to provide any further qualitative information, comments, or suggestions via a text input

box. It was made clear that no personal data would be collected, that all responses would be treated anonymously, and that
225 engagement was on a strictly voluntary basis. All data collected in the form as of June 15th 2020 were compiled and are
presented below. To facilitate a critical comparison of student and staff users, two parallel Google forms were used, retaining
user anonymity.

4 Results

230 In this section the results of the user feedback survey will be described. The data collected in the survey are summarised in
Fig. 4 and Table 1. In total, there were 26 responses, divided between 6 students and 20 staff members. Although this is not a
large, or evenly distributed cohort, it does serve as a preliminary dataset and a proof of concept, particularly considering the
predominantly positive responses received. For clarity, question responses of 'agree' or 'strongly agree' will be termed
positive, responses of 'disagree' or 'strongly disagree' will be termed negative, and responses of 'neither agree nor disagree'
235 will be termed neutral. From this feedback an in-depth version of the resource has been created, with more examples of thin
sections, and a wider range of information and content.

4.1 Question 1: I was able to access this learning resource easily (e.g. from home or on my phone)

Responses for question 1 were exclusively positive, with an overall response of 85 % in strong agreement with the statement
240 and 15 % in agreement. Responses from the students were arguably slightly more critical, with only 4 of the 6 users in strong
agreement, compared with 18 of 20 staff users.

4.2 Question 2: The learning resource was easy to navigate

The results for question 2 were broadly positive, with an overall response of 65 % in strong agreement, 31 % in agreement,
245 and 4 % neither agreeing nor disagreeing. Staff users were more critical than students, with 12 responses in strong agreement,
7 in agreement, and 1 neither agreeing nor disagreeing. By contrast, 5 of the 6 students were in strong agreement and one in
agreement.

4.3 Question 3: The information given was clear, easy to understand, and relevant

250 Question 3 yielded unanimously positive responses, with 73 % of the entire cohort in strong agreement with the statement, and
27 % in agreement. Of the staff users, 14 were in strong agreement and 6 in agreement, compared with 5 student users in strong
agreement and 1 in agreement.

4.4 Question 4: The images and videos used were useful and of sufficient quality for my needs

255 Responses to question 4 were almost exclusively positive, with an overall response of 69 % in strong agreement, 27 % in agreement, and 4 % neither agreeing nor disagreeing. Of the student users, 4 were in strong agreement and 2 were in agreement, compared with 14 of the staff users in strong agreement, 5 in agreement, and 1 neither agreeing nor disagreeing.

4.5 Question 5: This learning resource would be applicable to learning within the context of a geology/geoscience degree
260 **programme**

The results for question 5 were similar to the preceding question, with 77 % of the responses being in strong agreement with the statement, 19 % in agreement, and 4 % neither agreeing nor disagreeing. For the student respondents, 5 were in strong agreement and 1 in agreement, compared with 15 staff users in strong agreement, 4 in agreement, and 1 neither agreeing nor disagreeing.

265

4.6 Question 6: This prototype was limited to a handful of igneous rocks as examples. Which of the following would you like to see in a resource like this?

Question 6 gave users the opportunity to indicate any other fields of petrography (other than the igneous samples which were included in the prototype), which they felt would be equally suitable for a learning resource such as the one provided. As a
270 whole, the cohort indicated that 81 % (21 users) were in favour of including both metamorphic and clastic sedimentary rocks in the resource, 88 % (23 users) were in favour of including carbonate sedimentary rocks, and 85 % (22 users) were in favour of including microfossils. Student users were arguably slightly more interested in diversifying the materials in the resource, with all 6 of the respondents favouring the inclusion of metamorphic and carbonate sedimentary rocks, and 5 of the 6 users advocating the addition of clastic sedimentary rocks and microfossils.

275

4.7 Question 7: I feel that this type of learning resource would be useful during times when a petrological microscope is not available (e.g. outside of ordinary class times or during revision periods)

The results for question 7 were the most positive of the study, with 92 % of the overall cohort indicating strong agreement with the statement, and 8 % indicating agreement. In total, 19 of 20 staff responses were in strong agreement and 1 was in
280 agreement, compared with 5 of 6 student users in strong agreement, and 1 student user in agreement.

4.8 Question 8: Overall, I feel that this style of learning resource would be of benefit to the understanding of thin section petrography

Responses for question 8 were predominantly positive, with 77 % of the entire cohort indicating strong agreement with the
285   statement, 15 % indicating agreement, 4 % neither agreeing nor disagreeing, and 4 % marking 'not applicable'. Responses
remain comparable between staff and students, with 4 of 6 students in strong agreement and 2 of 6 in agreement, compared
with 16 of 20 staff users in strong agreement, 2 in agreement, 1 neither agreeing nor disagreeing, and one marking 'not
applicable'.

290   **4.9 Question 9: Do you have any other comments or suggestions relating to this learning resource?**

Question 9 gave the users the opportunity to provide any other feedback, comments, or suggestions that they felt had not been
adequately handled through the other questions (Table 1). As observed for the other questions, the responses were broadly
positive, although there are two key recurring themes amongst the suggestions: 1) User interface-related problems such as the
purpose and placement of the different 'hotspot' icons, and 2) problems associated with the audio narration ('Petra'), such as
295   finding the computer-generated voice and accent grating or annoying.

5 Discussion

In this section, the results of this study will be discussed and reflected upon within the context of four major themes: 1)
Accessibility and Inclusivity, 2) Clarity & Quality, 3) Applicability & Pedagogic value, and 4) Continued Development.
300

5.1 Theme 1: Accessibility and Inclusivity

For a technology-based learning activity such as this to function correctly, it needs to be accessible and inclusive (Selwyn,
2007). Educators have a duty to provide reasonable adjustments under the guidelines of the Equality Act 2010
(Legislation.gov.uk, 2010), and so any learning resources used must be accessible for those with additional needs such as print
305   disabilities (e.g. visual impairment, cerebral palsy, paralysis), and dyslexia, or easily adaptable to make them so. This can be
achieved through the application of assistive technology tools, such as screen readers which can highlight and read text out to
the user (e.g. JAWS, Dolphin Supernova), text-to-audio converters which convert documents into alternative media including
audio books, e-books, and digital Braille (e.g. SensusAccess), and programmes which add text to images, providing better
image context (e.g. ALT text in pictures).
310   This theme was evaluated using questions 1 and 2, considering how accessible the learning resource is for its users.
This includes not only the direct accessibility of the resource (e.g. ability of different users to access via a number of different

media and locations), but also its overall user-friendliness and ease of use for different users with a range of abilities. User response data for this theme were positive, with an almost exclusively positive response to both questions. However, a number of users highlighted key areas for improvement relating to the user interface, typically relating to either the placement and design of the 'hotspots' (lack of clarity over use of different symbols), or the academic level of the material (e.g. use of specific terminology and abbreviations). For example, users no. 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, and 17 identified confusion with the use of question mark and magnifying glass symbols (Table 1). Ultimately, these issues which were identified are easily resolvable via the integration of 'keys' or introductory sections which make clear the structure and format of the resource.

Overall, the resource has clear potential to provide a level of accessibility and inclusivity that cannot necessarily be achieved through traditional classroom-based microscopy and associated text-based supplementary materials. Resources such as this represent a critical step towards digital, blended learning environments. The selected platform (Thinglink) offers a positive resolution to a number of inclusivity issues, such as access to a microscope. The learning resource can be made freely available via public dissemination of the appropriate link and, with some minor user interface changes, can be easily accessed and navigated. Furthermore, the resource allows users to undertake the exercise at their own pace without the time constraints and associated pressures of the classroom environment (response 4, Table 1). The only potential limiting factor to accessibility is the general requirement for internet access. However, there are options with this platform to download resources and view them offline which may, with further exploration, provide a mitigation to this problem. The incorporation of similar technologies typically applied to assistive technology tools (e.g. audio narration) should greatly enhance the inclusivity of the resource.

5.2 Theme 2: Clarity and Quality

The second theme includes questions 3 and 4, and is focussed on the actual quality of the materials used in the learning resource, including the visual elements (images, videos), the audio elements (voiceovers), and the actual academic quality (scientific content). User responses indicate that the general perception of the resource's clarity was highly positive. One area identified for improvement is the title screen itself, which currently contains no information other than an explanatory voiceover. An easy improvement would be the incorporation of the introductory material as text on the title image. This ties in with the addition of materials aimed at clarifying the scientific terms used in the resource, as well as the standard layout employed for the thin section images. Another potential challenge regarding clarity is the incorporation of audio narration. This is a powerful tool for inclusivity but was felt to potentially detract from the quality of the resource due to the limitations of using computer-generated speech (e.g. accent, pacing, and pronunciation). Despite this, there exists a motivation for retaining this functionality due to the potential benefits of interaction with a pedagogical agent (e.g. Moreno *et al*., 2001; Craig *et al*., 2002; VanLehn, 2011), as well as the support it provides for students with additional needs to access the resource. The removal of this functionality on the grounds of moderate inconvenience for able learners is arguably negated by the clear need to support those with additional needs (e.g. visual impairment), and so alternative options such as human speech recordings

345 and a clear means of deactivating the audio may be required. Overall, the feedback indicates that the images and videos used were of sufficient quality for user needs, and the information provided was disseminated clearly.

5.3 Applicability and Pedagogic Value

The third theme comprises questions 5, 7 and 8, and focusses on how applicable the learning resource is in the context of
350 geology and geoscience in higher education (i.e. is the resource applicable to the subject and does it actually add any real value to the learning experience within the context of experiential and scaffolded learning?). The user responses indicate that there is genuine demand for this style of learning resource, among both learners and teachers. More than 95 % of the combined responses for these questions were positive, and numerous users expressed considerable enthusiasm for the continued development and application of the resource, as well as potential diversification into other areas of geoscience and geography.
355 Student users appear to be particularly interested in an alternative form of learning within the area of petrography, indicating that this style of resource has real value. Although classroom-based petrography undoubtedly constitutes experiential learning, the scaffold is arguably far less prominent, due to the nature of the supplementary materials and educator/learner ratios. The positive responses from the students in this area likely indicate a high demand for alternative learning strategies in this field. The responses for question 7 were particularly positive, indicating that one key issue with this field is the access to a
360 microscope, which limits learning to a classroom environment at specific times. A means of undertaking guided learning for thin section microscopy could be a powerful pedagogic supplement for existing curricula and could act as a valuable educational component in the context of a blended learning environment.

5.4 Continued Development

365 The fourth and final theme is based around areas of the learning resource which could be improved or expanded and is evaluated via questions 6 and 9. This study indicates that there is a demand amongst students and teachers for an educational resource such as the resource presented here. The majority of users were interested in the inclusion of additional areas of thin section petrography, such as metamorphic rocks, highlighting the potential for this resource to be developed and expanded. It is interesting that the student users were arguably more positive about this potential development, suggesting that demand for
370 new supplementary materials in this field may be particularly strong amongst learners. This is consistent with anecdotal evidence experienced by the authors, which implies that students are regularly over-challenged by the field of thin section petrography and are subsequently deflected into other areas of geoscience that they find more academically-accessible. It is very encouraging that so many users would advocate this learning resources continued development. The feedback provided in this study indicate that the user interface is probably the most significant target for improvement.

375

6 Conclusions and Moving Forward

The responses from the participants of this study suggest that there is both interest and enthusiasm amongst learners and teachers alike for Thinglink-based interactive online learning resources for thin section petrography. The resource presented in this study is by no means the first attempt to create a 'virtual microscope', but it is innovative in its guided approach to learning, effectively acting as a virtual teacher. Users found the platform to be accessible, the images to be of high quality, and the information clearly disseminated and relevant. Many of the staff users expressed interest in applying Thinglink to their own teaching materials, whereas student users were particularly interested in the visual and 'hands-on' style (compared with written notes) and the potential to complete thin section study at their own pace. Some staff even referred to their own undergraduate degree and how useful this form of resource would have been. Key criticisms relate primarily to the user interface, which many found to be slightly confusing as it was not made sufficiently clear what the various interactive icons would do. For some users, the automated narration (termed Petra) was a major negative point, although others felt it was fundamental for increasing inclusivity and accessibility. The majority of the responses indicate that a resource of this type would be beneficial to learning, and that there is both scope and demand for expansion of the project.

This study offers some preliminary insight into the needs of students engaged with thin section petrography, and acts as a foundation to potential larger studies on the use of image-based guided virtual petrography. Based upon the results of this study, the interactive petrography resource presented here will be developed further, taking into consideration the feedback provided. As such, the key areas for further improvement are as follows:

- Provision of a tutorial page which explains the various icons that are used throughout.
- Repositioning of the icons to minimise interface difficulties such as overlapping hotspots.
- Review of audio narration and potential recording of alternative 'human' or 'authentic' voice.
- Detailed review of accessibility relating to specific learning requirements aiming to maximise inclusivity.
- Addition of new material to expand existing thin section images.
- Potential inclusion of 360° imagery to create virtual classroom/laboratory.
- Expansion of academic material into additional areas, including other rock types, and the addition of theoretical course materials (e.g. explanation of polarizing light microscope, definitions of scientific terms used throughout).

Overall, this study highlights an exciting opportunity to provide an innovative learning resource which compliments existing resources and fills an academic niche. The platform facilitates free, highly adaptive, inclusive, and distance-learning, mitigates many of the pedagogical challenges that exist within an academic area which is dependent upon access to microscopes, and hopefully goes some way towards bridging the gap between the classical scientific field of petrography and the modern learner. Although considerable focus in recent years has been given to the potential use of Thinglink for virtual fieldwork, incorporating 360° imagery, we feel that augmented two-dimensional imagery has just as much potential for laboratory-based virtual

learning. Resources such as the one presented here have potential for use as standalone resources, or as supplements to other

410 virtual learning experiences, such as virtual fieldwork, allowing learners to gain virtual access not only to the field, but also the laboratory (e.g. being able to investigate rocks in the field, and then in the virtual lab, under a virtual microscope). Based upon the study presented here, a new resource is currently being developed, taking into account the feedback provided, as well as adding new functionality (Fig. 5). This resource includes enhanced images, and a distinct homepage from which a variety of modules can be reached. For example, a number of additional sections have been added providing guidance on topics such

415 as mineral identification, optical properties, a glossary of terms, and guidance on sample description. Furthermore, the resource includes a considerable range of rock types, aiming to cover the entire spectrum of lithologies and textures that might be required for a PMG-based course in higher or further education.

Author contribution

420 The project was conceived, designed, and implemented by AJ, SR, and KJ. The learning resource was created by AJ, with assistance from LH. The manuscript was prepared by AJ, with contributions from all co-authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare that author SR is a member of the editorial board of the journal. The remaining authors declare no conflict

425 of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are extremely grateful to those who participated in the project and provided feedback on the presented learning resource. Facilities required for this project were provided by the School of Geography, Geology, and the Environment, Keele University. This study was undertaken for module EDU-40092 as part of the lead author's MA in Teaching and Learning in

430 Higher Education.

References

435   Anand, M., Pearson, V., Kelley, S., Tindle, A., Whalley, P., Koeberl, K. (2010) Virtual microscope for extra-terrestrial samples. *43rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, European Planetary Science Congress*, **10.**

Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D. R., Airasian, P. W., Cruikshank, K. A., Mayer, R. E., Pintrich, P. R., Raths, J., Wittrock, M. C. (2001) A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives.
440   New York: Pearson, Allyn & Bacon

Appasamy, P. (2018) Fostering student engagement with digital microscopic images using Thinglink, an image annotation program. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, **47**: 16-21. doi: 10.2505/4/jcst18_047_05_16

445   Ariskin, A. A., Nielsen, R. L. (1993) Application of computer simulation of magmatic processes to the teaching of petrology. *Journal of Geological Education*, **41**: 438-441. doi: 10.5408/0022-1368-41.5.438

Barker, A. J. (2014) A key for identification of rock-forming minerals in thin section. Boca Raton: CRC Press. doi: 10.1201/b17437
450

Bonk, C.J. and Graham, C.R., 2012. *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. John Wiley & Sons.

Brady, J. B. (2009) Magma in a beaker: Analog experiments with water and various salts or sugar for teaching igneous
455   petrology. *The Canadian Mineralogist*, **47**: 457-471. doi: 10.3749/canmin.47.2.457

Choh, S. -J., Milliken, K. L. (2004) Virtual carbonate thin section using PDF: new method for interactive visualization and archiving. *Carbonates and Evaporites*, **19**: 87-92. doi: 10.1007/bf03178472

460   Cliffe, A. D. (2017) A review of the benefits and drawbacks to virtual field guides in today's Geoscience higher education environment. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, **14**: 28. doi: 10.1186/s41239-017-0066-x

Deer, W. A., Howie, R. A., Zussman, J. (1966) An introduction to the rock-forming minerals. Longman Group Limited,
465   London. doi: 10.1180/DHZ

Domagk, S., Schwartz, R. N., Plass, J. L. (2010) Interactivity in multimedia learning: An integrated model. *Computers in human behaviour*, **26**: 1024-1033. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2010.03.003

470 Dutrow, B. L. (2007) Visual communication: Do you see what I see? *Elements*, **3**: 119-126. doi: 10.2113/gselements.3.2.119

Emley, D. W., Rowbotham, G., Lees, G.J. (1998) Optical Mineralogy (Version 2), UK Earth Science Courseware Consortium, University of Manchester

Evans, C., Gibbons, N. J. (2007) The interactivity effect in multimedia learning. *Computers and Education*, **49**: 1147-1160.
475 doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2006.01.008

Folk, R. L. (1965) Henry Clifton Sorby (1826-1908), the founder of petrography. *Journal of Geological Education*, **13**: 43-47. doi: 10.5408/0022-1368-xiii.2.43

480 Frost, M. J. (1977) Two computer programs for teaching igneous petrology. *Journal of Geological Education*, **25**: 148-149. doi: 10.5408/0022-1368-25.5.148

Graham, C. R. (2006) Blended learning systems, Definition, Current Trends, and Future Directions. In: Bonk, C. J., Graham, C. R. (Eds) The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs, pp.3-21.
485

Granshaw, F.D., Duggan-Haas, D. (2012) Virtual fieldwork in geoscience teacher education: Issues, techniques, and models. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, **492**: 285-303. doi: 10.1130/2012.2492(20)

Gribble, C. D., Hall, A. J. (1992) Optical mineralogy: Principles & practice. UCL Press. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4615-9692-9
490

Gunter, M. E. (2004) The polarized light microscope: Should we teach the use of a 19th century instrument in the 21st century? *Journal of Geoscience Education*, **52**: 34-44. doi: 10.5408/1089-9995-52.1.34

Hannafin, M. J., Peck, K. L. (1988) The design, development, and evaluation of instructional software. New York: MacMillan
495

Kolb, D.A. (2014) Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. FT press.

Kolb, D. A., Boyatzis, R. E., Mainemelis, C. (2001) Experiential learning theory: Previous research and new directions. *Perspectives on thinking, learning, and cognitive styles*, **1**: 227-247. doi: 10.4324/9781410605986-9
500

Kolb, A. Y., Kolb, D. A. (2005) Learning styles and learning spaces: Enhancing experiential learning in higher education. *Academy of management learning & education*, **4**: 193-212. doi: 10.5465/amle.2005.17268566

Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. Theory into Practice, 41: 212-218. doi:
505 10.1207/s15430421tip4104_2

Legislation.gov.uk (2010) Equality Act 2010. [online] Available at: www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/15/contents [Accessed 27th August 2020].

510 Mackenzie, W., Adams, A. (1994) Rocks and minerals in thin section. London: Manson Publishing. doi: 10.1201/9781315116365

Mackenzie, W., & Guildford, C. (1980) Atlas of rock forming minerals in thin section. London: Long Man. doi: 10.4324/9781315837413

515 Manduca, C. A. (2007) Improving instruction in mineralogy, Petrology, and Geochemistry – lessons from research on learning. *Elements*, **3**: 95-100. doi: 10.2113/gselements.3.2.95

Mayer, R. E., Dow, G. T., Mayer, S. (2003) Multimedia Learning in an Interactive Self-Explaining Environment: What Works in the Design of Agent-Based Microworlds? *Journal of Educational Psychology*, **95**: 806–812. doi: 10.1037/0022-
520 0663.95.4.806

Milliken, K. L., Barufaldi, J. P., McBride, E. F., Choh, S. -J. (2003) Design and assessment of an interactive digital tutorial for undergraduate-level sandstone petrology. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, **51**: 381-386. doi: 10.5408/1089-9995-51.4.381

525 Mogk, D. W. (2007) Teaching mineralogy, petrology, and geochemistry. New directions at the intersection of research about Earth and research on learning. *Elements*, **3**: 93-94. doi: 10.2113/gselements.3.2.93

Moreno, R., Mayer, R. E., Spires, H. A., Lester, J. C. (2001) The case for social agency in computer-based teaching: do students learn more deeply when they interact with animated pedagogical agents? *Cognition and Instruction*, **19**: 177-213. doi:
530 10.1207/S1532690XCI1902_02

Nakatsuka, K. (2018/2019) Making History Come to Life: ThingLink Virtual Museums
Social Studies Review, **57**: 47-52

535   Palmer, D. C., Tindle, A. G., Crompton, S. (1999) Digital Microscope, CD S260 Geology Block 2, The Open University, Milton Keynes.

Peck, W. H. (2004) Teaching metastability in petrology using a guided reading from the primary literature. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, **52**: 284-288. doi: 10.5408/1089-9995-52.3.284

540

Perkins, D. (2005) The case for a cooperative studio classroom: teaching petrology in a different way. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, **53**: 101-109. doi: 10.5408/1089-9995-53.1.101

Pringle, J. K. (2015) Virtual geology special issue: developing training, teaching and research skillsets for geoscientists.
545   *Geology Today*, **31**: 213-215. doi: 10.1111/gto.12118

Reinhardt, J. (2004) Optical mineralogy in a modern earth sciences curriculum. *Journal of Geoscience Education*, **52**: 60-67. doi: 10.5408/1089-9995-52.1.60

550   Riley Brice, W., Hawkins Lint, B. (1987) A manual of photographs as a teaching tool in petrography. *Journal of Geological Education,* **35**: 206-207. doi: 10.5408/0022-1368-35.4.206

Selwyn, N. (2007) The use of computer technology in university teaching and learning: a critical perspective. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, **23**: 83-94. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2729.2006.00204.x

555

Stokes, A., Feig, A. D., Atchison, C. L. Gilley, B. (2019) Making geoscience fieldwork inclusive and accessible for students with disabilities. *Geosphere*, **15**: 1809-1825. doi: 10.1130/ges02006.1

Teach the Earth (2020) *Teach The Earth*. [online] Available at: www.serc.carleton.edu/teachearth [Accessed 18 June 2020]

560

Thinglink.com. (2018). *Annotate images and videos — ThingLink*. [online] Available at: www.thinglink.com

VanLehn, K. (2011) The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. *Educational Psychologist*, **46**: 197-221. doi: 10.1080/00461520.2011.611369

565

Waddoups, G. L., Howell, S. L. (2002) Bringing online learning to campus: The hybridization of teaching and learning at Brigham Young University. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, **2**: (2). doi: 10.19173/irrodl.v2i2.52

570 Writh, K. R. (2007) Teaching for deeper understanding and lifelong learning. *Elements*, **3**: 107-111. doi: 10.2113/gselements.3.2.107

Young, M. F. (1993) Instructional design for situated learning. *Educational technology research and development*, **41**: 43-58. doi: 10.1007/BF02297091

575

580

585

590

595

600

605

610

Figure 1: Thin section samples selected for use in the prototype learning resource. a) Basalt, b) Andesite, c) Rhyolite

615

620

Figure 2: Images taken from the Thinglink-based learning resource created for, and utilised by, this study. a) the title page of the learning resource designed to mimic a typical workstation for a petrography student. b) an example high-resolution thin section image with multiple clickable 'hotspots'. c) an example of a text and video description which can be activated by clicking on a hotspot

630

635

640 **Figure 3: A simplified map highlighting the overall structure of the Thinglink-based learning resource used in this study. Individual interactive images are marked with their titles in a coloured box, and clickable links between images, as well as their direction of travel, are marked with coloured arrows. PPL = plane polarised light, XPL = cross polarised light**

645 **Figure 4: Summarised results of the survey feedback. The number of responses is marked on each section of the charts**

Figure 4 continued

650

Figure 5: Representative screenshots of new microscopy resource currently being constructed based on the feedback of this study.
a) Main title screen b) Home page with various clickable icons leading to learning materials c) Example learning material with various interactive elements d) Example sub-menu in which users can select the type of rock samples to view under the microscope e) Example information screen prior to viewing sample under microscope f) Example thin section image with interactive elements

655   **Table 1: Additional user comments and suggestions**

Response number	Role	Comments/suggestions
1	Student	Great idea, very helpful and informative! An interactive exercise where students can identify the rock, minerals and key features would also be helpful.
2	Student	I think it's a really good idea for a resource
3	Student	This would have been really useful during the modules requiring mineral identification in thin sections as notes can't explain it as well as this visual aid
4	Student	This would have been very useful as an undergraduate as it consolidates information learnt in class, and it allows you to go at your own pace. It has been well put together and presents everything clearly. This resource would be particularly helpful for metamorphic petrology as it can be difficult to understand what you're looking at in the classroom with time restraints/ differing samples etc.
5	Staff	Abbreviations (e.g. XPL) used in the introduction should be expanded the introduction to make sure all users know what is available. Could this also be put next to the button that polarises the light? I love the home page (LOVE IT!) - replicates what your workstation might look like in real life (ish) but one thing to note is that the text on the slide might be difficult to see for some users, or if you are using a phone. Can you have something where a larger text box pops up when you put the mouse over the coloured button? It might also be helpful for the rock type name to be on the screen when you go to the slide itself. I know it's up on the 'i' button but that might not be clear straight away. Also, on my MacBook (maybe it's different on a PC?), the 'i' button is lying really closely behind the '+' button. This might be an issue for some users if they keep accidentally clicking polarise rather than the info button. Also it's kind of hidden, so might be overlooked. Could the 'i' button be moved over to the middle? Or maybe the polarising button into the middle so that you keep the symmetry of the yellow buttons on each side. I think this would be a fantastic resource! It would be great to see this used for microfossil analyses and also to teach about tephra (microtephra slides).
6	Staff	The introductory sound track should have a text description / closed captioning for those without sound / hearing impaired. Having it autorun returning from viewing a thin section would get annoying with a lot of specimens. There are a few UI/UX issues I think - what is the significance of the magnifying glass vs question mark symbol - would a numbered sequence work better ? (I would click on a '1' but don't know where to start or what I'm supposed to be doing at present). Images should be clearly labelled Plain Polarised Light (PPL) and Cross Polarised Light (XPL) and have the +/- icon clearly labelled as a PPL/XPL switch. As well as explaining what PPL/XPL maybe 'technical' terms like relief and interference should be clarified to help the student to know what to look for (e.g. the bright reds and blues of second order interference colours) . Does Petra's voice actually add anything? It might for visually impaired students but they won't be able to see the thin section photos or know where to click on them. Don't get me wrong - I think this will turn out to be a very good resource but i think the UI need some tweaking.
7	Staff	Viewing the thin section slides under the microscope reveals some icons that identify and explain features when clicked on. Why are there two different icons (question mark vs magnifying glass)? There appears to be no functional difference. For the question mark icons I was thinking there would be a question explained, or a question posed to the viewer at the end of the explanation (i.e. the ? indicates there is a question). But the question mark vs magnifying glass icons seem to be used interchangeably, whether or not a question is involved. When viewing a slide, it would be good to know what pressing the '+' button does. I can see it changes something, but exactly what I am not sure (light source?). The microscope image with markers that identify key features is very good. Can Petra be given a British accent? Or maybe French ...
8	Staff	This is fantastic! This will be a great resource to help students when working remotely or for revision purposes. Some minor points/suggestions would be to have a bit more text on the main screen as too me a while to find the microscope part which is really useful to know the difference between XPL and PPL. For each thin section it would also be useful to have some blurb about each rock time form which the thin section originated i.e. photo of the rock and where it was taken from, this might help students to put it into context? I really liked the descriptions provided, clear and just enough text too. This has endless potential to be rolled out to other disciplines and equipment too, great work on a valuable and usable resource.
9	Staff	Great stuff. Well done! Just some observation...when opening the first thin section a "+" symbol appears in the upper right corner. I first thought this is to change the zoom but realized it is for switching to crossed nicols. On the slides where are some questions and some magnifying glass symbols. When clicking on a magnifying glass symbol a mineral or other phase is explained in detail. I even learned something about pyroxene which I had forgotten. Thank you, Adam, for putting this together. When clicking on a question mark symbol also some information appears. Is there any difference between the question mark and magnifying glass symbols in regard to what content they show?
10	Staff	The +/- to switch between PPL and XPL wasn't obvious as a feature when first entered (thought it was magnification). Perhaps some intro guide or pop up on how to navigate / use features? Would be useful to have an arrow on the pop up images for the microscope slides to show exactly what crystal / point is being referred to e.g. "olivine" pop up on

		the basalt slide - highlight which is the olivine and which is the feldspar as talk about both being clear. Maybe too have yourself narrating the text rather than immersive reader? Appreciate it might be an unreasonable amount of work though - and unwieldy as you'd need to record if you edited any text. Great stuff, enjoyed it. Thanks
11	Staff	Some other feedback: 1) The start isn't clear, maybe some introductory text or a header? 2) the voice is a little grating!; 3) Some phrases probably need explaining to be useful for the very basics ie XPL, PPL, and some links for key terms would be useful; 4) It is not clear what the difference is between the search icon and the question mark icon on the slides; 5) the XPl and PPL symbols are not clear as to how to change between and it is positioned on the info button - I wouldn't normally click on an info button but this was important intro material - make clearer as a first point of access? 6) Love the rotation under XPL - plag discusses rotation but doesn't do it.
12	Staff	I really struggled with thin section work during my degree. The main problem was that everyone was looking at different things. This learning resource over comes that problem and would be great for introductory microscope work. It could be used as part of a flipped learning approach allowing student to come to a practical more prepared, and would help relieve anxiety for those who struggle with the topic. It would also be really useful for revision and recap and would fit in nicely with anyone's schedule (as it doesn't require any equipment or access to physical thin sections). Really impressive, good work.
13	Staff	This resource was not only informative, the format was really clear and engaging. It would be great to use it to present how samples are presented and what the same minerals look like in the bulk form. I am not a geologist but I found the material interesting. As a non-specialist I would need to look up some of the technical terms - but I guess if this was aimed at me, the terms could be described in more detail in the descriptions with a "more information" option somewhere.
14	Staff	- It's not clear what the difference between the question mark and the hand lens is - both symbols lead to additional information in a similar format. - On my computer, the i symbol with information about the rock type was somewhat hidden underneath the + and - symbols; information about the rock type could perhaps we placed in a more accessible position?
15	Staff	Definitely useful for both UG/MSc teaching, revision and even transitioning from FE to HE. Audio also helps it make more understandable and reinforces learning. I would recommend changing accents, Irish accents are positively received from GPS navigation in cars apparently.
16	Staff	Not keen on Petra, should replace with Adam instead! Consider making the voice-over optional as most individuals can read faster listening to a narrative. Has the potential to make an excellent resource for on-line Geology teaching, Well done!
17	Staff	Not sure if it is possible, but it would be useful that the bits that have been already seen change colour or shape so students know what they have already seen. Also, what is the difference between the magnifying glass and the question mark?
18	Staff	Fascinating introduction to microscopy! Engaging and easy to navigate. This is an excellent online resource - wider use on the Geography programmes would be most welcome.
19	Staff	Fantastic resource which has huge applications across Geoscience and Geography programmes.
20	Staff	Amazing resource, really well thought through with excellent interactive elements
21	Staff	The inclusion of audio in addition to text is really good inclusive practice.
22	Staff	A most attractive resource, easy to use, and well presented.