Geosci. Commun. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-4-RC1, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



GCD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "St. Francis and Giotto: the saint and the artist who started the ecological movement" by Ann C. Pizzorusso

Martin Bohle (Referee)

martin.bohle@skynet.be

Received and published: 15 April 2020

The paper "St. Francis and Giotto: The Saint and The Artist Who Started the Ecological Movement" by Ann C. Pizzorusso builds on the study of paintings of the Italian painter Giotto (c.1270 – 1337) as seen by a geologist. Ann C. Pizzorusso puts these painting in a context of shifting perceptions of man and nature; first, at times of the artist's life (and following centuries), and second, at contemporary times. The paper illustrates communication between the geological reading of artistic presentations of landscapes that were painted seven hundred years ago and contemporary veneration of a famous theological practitioner (St. Francis, 1181/82 - 1226). The strength of the paper is found in the first element, its weakness in the second element. Notwithstanding that this dichotomy must be adjusted for a scientific paper, the cognitive, affective and spir-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



GCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



they have little function in a scientific paper. Nevertheless, they illustrate nicely the cen-

tral hypothesis of the author, namely that 'that Giotto's (and others) artistic style was a powerful communication vehicle'. Notwithstanding that this hypothesis seems valid (and likely can be proven), the author states "[t]he unlikely partnership of St. Francis and Giotto, two revolutionaries, changed Western piety, art history and natural philosophy (line 456-457)". This claim goes a little far for two persons who never met because they lived a generation apart. Such a strong claim – already presented in the title that possibly can be improved - would need correspondingly compelling evidence; a little more than mentioning a political move of an ecclesiastic representative in the twentieth century (line 441 ff). Nevertheless, this political move may be interpreted as identifying St. Francis as a/the pioneer of ecological thinking within catholic traditions. Summarising, sections 1.2, 1.4 and 1.12 should be reviewed in great depth if kept as part of the paper. Overall, the corpus of literature added at the end of the paper seems too limited for the subject. The reader should benefit from a robust corpus of references. The language and the style read well. The essay-like style of the paper is suitable for this piece of scholarly work that is more explorative than affirmative. The paper should be publishable after revision.

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-4, 2020.

GCD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

