

**Dear Executive Editor Iain Stewart**

**Dear Editor Stephanie Zihms:**

We thank you the final review of our manuscript. We have included all technical corrections and improved the manuscript language. We also added screenshots with higher resolution from PhET™ Interactive Simulations and upload it as a supplement.

We expect that this final improvements in the manuscript are in line with the editors' suggestions and we remain at your disposal for any necessary clarification.

# Using PhET™ Interactive Simulation Plate Tectonics on Initial Teacher Education

Bento Cavadas<sup>1</sup>, Sara Aboim<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Science, Polytechnic Institute of Santarém/School of Education, Santarém, 2001-902 Santarém, Portugal;

CeiED – Interdisciplinary Research Centre for Education and Development, University Lusófona, Portugal.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Science and Technologies, Polytechnic Institute of Porto/School of Education, Porto, 4200-465 Porto, Portugal

inED - Centre for Research and Innovation in Education, Polytechnic Institute of Porto/School of Education, Portugal

*Correspondence to:* Bento Cavadas (bento.cavadas@ese.ipsantarem.pt)

**Abstract.** Using digital educational resources in science education is an effective way of promoting students content knowledge of complex natural processes. This work presents the usage of the digital educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics, designed for exploring the PhET™ Plate Tectonics simulator, in the context of **pre-service teachers** (PST) education in Portugal. The **PST** performance was analysed on the five tasks in which the DER was organized. Results show that the DER contributed for the successful achievement of PST of the following learning outcomes: describing the differences between the oceanic crust and continental crust regarding temperature, density, composition and thickness; associating the plate tectonic movements with their geological consequences; identifying the plate tectonic movements that cause the formation of some geological structures. Results also show that PST considered PhET™ Plate tectonics simulator contributed for their learning about plate tectonics.

## 1 Introduction

This work presents the implementation of the digital educational resource (DER) CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics designed under the CreativeLab\_Sci&Math project (Cavadas, Correia, Mestrinho and Santos, 2019). This resource is a structure guide with several tasks that explores the simulator PhET™ Plate Tectonics from the PhET Interactive Simulations™ of the University of Colorado Boulder. This simulator aims to allow users to explore the plate tectonic dynamics on Earth's surface through the manipulation of different variables, such as temperature and density, and crust types (oceanic crust and continental crust). The tasks available to users within the educational resource were organized according to the following learning outcomes (Lo):

- Lo1: Describe the differences between the oceanic crust and the continental crust regarding temperature, density, composition and thickness;
- Lo2: Associate the tectonic plate movements with their geological consequences;

- Lo3: Identify the tectonic plate movements that cause the formation of certain geological structures.

This educational resource was applied to the Initial Teacher Education (ITE) in two Portuguese Teacher Training Institutions (TTI). The results of its implementation in the academic year of 2019/20 are presented in this work. The main question that guided the work was: “What was the contribution of the educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate tectonics to the **pre-service teachers**’ learning about plate tectonics?”

## 2 Learning and teaching about plate tectonics

In the history of science, explanations for the origins of Earth’s surface evolved from the Contracting Earth hypothesis to the Continental Drift theory (Wegener, 1922) and, later, to the more unified and integrative theory of Plate Tectonics (Frankel 2012a, 2012b, 2012c). The theory of Plate Tectonics is supported by evidences associated to the seafloor spreading, seafloor sediments, magnetic anomalies, and the geological meaning of mid-ocean ridges, rifts, oceanic trenches and transform faults. Studies about convection, the formation of mountain ranges, Benioff zone, the subduction process, the tectonic plate boundaries and their characteristics also contributed for the construction of the Plate Tectonics theory (Wilson, 1966; Frankel, 2012c).

The new knowledge about Earth dynamics suffered didactic transposition into science textbooks (Cavadas, 2019) and promoted reflections about Plate Tectonics teaching. In fact, a few years after the enunciation of Plate Tectonics theory by Morgan, in 1967 (Frankel, 2012c), its didactic transposition for educational contexts was contemplated in studies, such as the work of Glenn (1977). This researcher presents suggestions for teaching elementary school students about Continental Drift and Plate Tectonics. Other works were published in the following decades in Portugal about: the didactic transposition of Continental Drift and Plate Tectonics into textbooks (Cavadas 2019; Cavadas and Franco, 2009; Faustino, et al. 2017; Santos, et al. 2010); the teaching of those subjects in an history of science and epistemological perspective (Almeida, 2000; Praia, 1995; Vasconcelos, et al., 2013); and specific topics related to Plate Tectonics, such as palaeomagnetism (Correia, 2014).

Students’ misconceptions about Plate Tectonics were also comprehensively studied (Borges, 2002; Dolphin and Benoit, 2016; Francek, 2013; Mills et al., 2017). In a global study about 500 hundred misconceptions on geosciences, Francek (2013) concluded that about 19% was related with Plate Tectonics. Regarding this matter, in a sample of 16/17 year old Portuguese students, Marques and Thompson (1997) identified misconceptions about plates and their motions, such as: plates are arranged like a stack of layers (64%), the same tectonic plate mechanism causes continental and oceanic mountain ranges (40%) or magnetic polar wandering causes the motion of plates (34%). In a more recent study, Mills, Tomas and Leuthwaite (2017) concluded that many 14-year-old students (n=95) also had misconceptions about the nature, movement, boundaries of tectonic plates and the occurrence of geological events at tectonic plate boundaries. Many students **thought**, for example, that: tectonic plates were located underground and they were not exposed on the Earth’s surface; Earth’s spin axis causes tectonic plates to move; tectonic plate boundaries are located at the edge of continents or countries; and earthquakes are caused when two

tectonic plates suddenly crash together (Mills, Tomas and Leuthwaite, 2017). The identification of these misconceptions about plate tectonics in different studies is clear evidence of the persistency of those misconceptions among students.

65 According to Marques and Thompson (1997), traditional Earth science teaching methodologies in Portuguese schools do not contribute for the eradication of student' misconceptions about Plate Tectonics. Exploiting the potential of digital resources to teach plate tectonics is an alternative approach. Some studies found that learning about some contents of plate tectonics using digital resources such as Google Earth® (Bitting et al., 2019; Ferreira, 2016) is beneficial for students, for example, in the learning of mountain range and volcano formation and the distribution of earthquakes (Bitting et al., 2019). Mills et al. (2019)  
70 showed that the use of student-constructed animation by 11-14 year old students for explaining the processes that occur in tectonic plate boundaries, contributed to their better performance in a GeoQuiz about those processes. Therefore, Mills et al. (2019) concluded that work proposals based on representations of plate tectonic processes contribute to students' learning about those processes.

### 3 Interactive simulations and science learning

75 Computer simulations have evolved considerably, from the first simple models, in which interactivity was possible with only one or two defined parameters, to current simulations that are more complex, with more realistic visual representations which allow the user to make changes and observe their effects in real time. In addition, the simulations have unique characteristics which are not present in many other learning tools, such as interactivity, animation, dynamic feedback, exploration and discovery (Podolefsky et al., 2010).

80 One of the most effective ways to solve a problem is by simulating reality, replicating one specific situation for better analysis and study (Tan, 2007). The use of digital simulations is very important in Earth sciences since it allows the study of certain processes that cannot be reproduced in the laboratory and, therefore, the exploration of the relations between the theoretical framework and the simulations of the observed geological processes. Simultaneously, it improves the motivation and interest of students in classes (Nafidi et al., 2018; Quintana et al., 2004; Pinto et al., 2014). Digital simulations can be used as  
85 educational resources to promote observation, communication, analysis, hypothesis formulation and critical thinking skills of students (Nafidi et al., 2018). Problem solving and scientific discovery learning in digital simulations allow students to build new and meaningful knowledge about what they are learning (de Jong and Joolingen, 1998) and reflecting about their learning, in a metacognition process (Droui, 2014; Nafidi and Hajjami, 2018).

In the context of teacher education, other studies revealed benefits from the use of simulations (Trundle and Bell, 2010) and  
90 multimodal digital animations, known as Slowmotion, for science learning (Hoban, et al., 2011; Paige, et al. 2016), validating the use of digital education resources in teacher science education. However, additional research to assess the impact of specific simulators on content knowledge is needed (Phuong et al., 2013).

Regarding PhET™ simulations that were used in the present study, some works showed they are very useful for engaging students and improving their interest and knowledge in many scientific fields (Hensberry et al., 2013; Lancaster et al. 2013; 95 McKagan et al., 2008; Perkins et al. 2012; Wieman et al. 2010). PhET™ simulations are created to promote science education, are freely available on the PhET™ website and they are more effective for conceptual understanding (Podolefsky et al., 2010; Perkins et al., 2012). The simulations are designed with little text information, so that students can easily use it in the classroom, in a laboratory or as homework (Podolefsky et al., 2010). Since PhET™ simulations are digital resources available online, they can be used and explored in the distance and online learning modality, as recommended by Commonwealth of Learning, in 100 the statement *Keeping the doors of learning open COVID-19* (COL, 2020).

The current work is innovative because it is focused on the use of a simulator, PhET™ Plate Tectonics, **in the context of teacher training about Plate tectonics**. There is also **novelty** in the creation and the implementation of the DER resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math Plate tectonics, because it resulted from the collaboration between teachers of two different Schools of Education, enabling the exchange of experiences and practices between institutions that are involved in teacher education, 105 including online learning experiences.

## **4 Methods**

We used an exploratory case study research design (Swain, 2017) because our intent was to obtain first insights about the contribution of the educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate tectonics to the **pre-service teachers'** (PST) learning about plate tectonics.

### **110 4.1 Participants**

The participants in this study are 68 PST from two Portuguese TTI's, ranging in aged from 19 to 38 years old. Upon graduation, these PST can teach children from kindergarten to elementary school. In the Portuguese school system, elementary schools are frequented by 11 to 12-year-old students. The majority PST' high school background is linguistics. Only a few of them attended science courses in high school before their higher education studies.

115 The study followed the guidelines and recommendations of the authors' research centres ethical committees. All participants authorized the use of their data and written productions for science education research purpose, through informed voluntary consent. Participants were clearly informed that they could withdraw from the investigation at any time and that their data would be anonymized during data analysis.

### **4.2 Design and implementation of the educational resource**

120 The educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics was implemented during the two preceding academic years before the current implementation, in the context of ITE of one Portuguese TTI. The educational resource was constantly improved concerning its scientific content, didactic sequence, task's approach and the use of the simulator's **capabilities** during

that implementation, following PST' feedback and teacher's reflections. It was also peer-reviewed by another TTI science education teacher. The internal validity (Cohen et al., 2007; Swain, 2007) of the resource was reinforced by its submission to an open scientific educational resources' repository. During peer-review, the resource was carefully evaluated by geology and other science education university teachers. This process improved the content validity (Cohen et al. , 2007; Fraenkel et al. 2012) of the educational resource, refining its format, the accuracy of the scientific content and questions so that they are clearly understood by the participants, as suggested by Swain (2017), which allowed explanations better fitting the data (Cohen et al., 2007).

The final version of the resource was organized in tasks in a GForm®. The GForm® has the advantage of allowing the inclusion of different types of questions (multiple choice, checkboxes, etc.) and resources (text, images, videos, links) and the benefit of giving immediate feedback to the students about their performance in each task and globally. The educational approach used was guided inquiry because it is the didactic approach recommended for the use of PhET™ simulations (PhET, 2014). Accordingly, in the design and implementation of the educational resource, the following instructional recommendations of PhET™ simulations were considered:

- Specific learning outcomes were defined (e.g. Lo1, Lo2 and Lo3);
- Minimal instructions for the use of the simulator were delivered, as it was developed with the aim of allowing free exploration by PST, whose role is to construct a useful meaning from those explorations;
- PST were stimulated to mobilize their previous knowledge about Plate tectonics in the tasks;
- PST were encouraged to use their problem-solving skills to give correct answers to the problems posed in the tasks;
- Tasks were structured to be performed in pairs of PST, encouraging cooperation and discussion of ideas;
- A reflection about the contribution of the educational resource to their learning was proposed to PST. It was also asked if they had any suggestions addressing the improvement of the educational resource.

Table 1 shows the relationship between the tasks and the learning outcomes.

**Table 1: Relationship between the educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics tasks and the learning outcomes.**

Tasks	Learning outcome
Task A1 and A2. Characteristics of crust	Lo1: Describe the differences between the oceanic crust and the continental crust regarding temperature, density, composition and thickness.
Tasks B1 and B2. Plate movements	Lo2: Associate the tectonic plate movements with its geological consequences.
Task C. Inquiry about plate tectonics	Lo3: Identify the tectonic plate movements that cause the formation of some geological structures.

The resource was implemented in classes of two different Portuguese TTI's. The educational resource was implemented in an Earth and Life Sciences curricular unit in one of the TTI's, and in a Geosciences curricular unit in the other TTI. The tasks were performed in pairs of PST. This had the advantage of promoting discussion between them. The timeframe to accomplish the tasks was two hours.

### 4.3 Methods of data collection

**Multiple sources of evidence were used for answering the research question**, a defining feature of case studies (Swain, 2017). The PST' productions about the educational resource collected through a GForm® questionnaire, mainly with multiple choice questions, was one of those sources. The questionnaire was implemented with PST of two Portuguese TTI's in science curricular units in an online teaching context. This digital questionnaire has the advantage of producing an output with the global data of all students' answers. This output was the main instrument of quantitative data collection used.

**Another method of data collection used was a short survey of PST' reflections on the contribution of the educational resource for their learning, which included their suggestions for its improvement.** These reflections were used to collect more qualitative data about PST' learning using the educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics. Furthermore, the PST' reflections were also used to enhance the resource.

Research teachers' course materials were also collected. These materials were used for describing the design and the implementation of the educational resource. Observation of PST' work was also considered, but that method of data collection could not be implemented due to COVID-19 pandemic and the transition to online teaching.

### 4.4 Data analysis

A detailed description of each task is presented in the results and discussion section. PST' performance was analysed for each question of tasks A, B and C. The correct answers for each question were quantified, the relative frequency was calculated and possible justifications for student's achievement were presented.

A sample of students (19 pairs) was asked to give feedback about the contribution of the educational resource to their learning of plate tectonics, and if they had any suggestions to the improvement of the resource, at the end of the tasks. Through a post-categorization of PST' answers, a qualitative analysis of these data was done using coding categories. The researchers followed the instructions of Fraenkel et al. (2012) in the coding process. PST' sentences were the unit of analysis (Fraenkel et al., 2012). From the coding process it emerged two main categories of analysis, "Contributions to learning" and "Improvement suggestions", and three subcategories for each main category. A first analysis done by one of the researchers was followed by a second analysis by the other researcher, to ensure internal validity. When divergences in the categorization process occurred, a discussion was held until a consensus was reached. Extracts of PST' answers were used to better support the analysis.


## 5 Results and discussion

The results of the simulations application for each task and a discussion of PST performance are presented in this section. Figures 1 to 8 represented in this section, are screenshots from PhET™ Interactive Simulations, University of Colorado Boulder.

### 5.1 Task A. Characteristics of crust

In task A1, PST had to explore different crust conditions, such as density, temperature and thickness, and classify three statements (A1.1., A1.2. and A1.3.) as “true” or “false” for the study of crust characteristics. Another purpose of A1 tasks was to proportionate for PST a first approach to the simulator sections and toolbox and engage them in the following tasks.


185 Regarding statement A1.1. “Oceanic crust is denser than continental crust”, PST should use the density meter in the toolbox to compare both crusts’ density, as shown in figure 1. That exercise should allow them to perceive that oceanic crust density is higher than the continental crust.



**Figure 1: Density values of oceanic and continental crust (Caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**

190 Concerning statement A1.2., “The temperature of oceanic crust is higher than continental crust at 5km depth”, PST were expected to use the thermometer and the rule in the toolbox. PST will observe that the temperature of oceanic crust is slightly higher than continental crust by positioning the thermometer at the depth of 5km in oceanic and continental crust, as shown in figure 2.






195

**Figure 2: Oceanic (A) and continental crust (B) temperature at 5 km depth (Caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**

The statement A1.3. was related with crust thickness: “Oceanic crust is thicker than continental crust.” PST should perceive that this statement is false because continental crust is thicker than oceanic crust, using the rule in the toolbox.

In task A2, PST had to analyse vertical movements of the crust by changing some variables. In task A2.1., they were expected to analyse what happens to the crust when its temperature increases, maintaining the same density and thickness in the panel “My crust”. By doing so, they should verify that the crust moves slightly upward. They should observe the opposite phenomenon when decreasing the temperature. After that, they should select one of two possible answers. The following answer is correct one: “As the temperature of crust increases, the density of the materials that compose it decreases. The crust which is less dense rises upward as a result of the denser composition of the mantle.”

205 Task A2.2. is related with the density of the crust. PST should conclude that the following answer is the correct one through changing crust’ silica and iron composition in “My crust”, maintaining the same temperature and thickness (Figure 3): “There is a direct relationship between the percentage of iron in the composition of the crust and its density. The denser crust descends as opposed to the less dense composition of the mantle.”



210 **Figure 3: Comparison between the movements of a crust that is richer in silica (A) and a crust that is richer in iron (B) (Caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**

Table 2 shows PST tasks A1.1. to A2.2 results.

**Table 2. Pre-service teachers' tasks A1.1. to A2.2 results.**

Task	Frequency of correct answers (n=68)
A1.1. Comparison of oceanic and continental crust density. Correct answer: "Oceanic crust is denser than continental crust."	80,9%
A1.2. Comparison of oceanic and continental crust temperature. Correct answer: "The temperature of oceanic crust is higher than continental crust at 5km depth."	76,5%
A1.3. Comparison of oceanic and continental crust thickness. Correct answer: "Oceanic crust is thicker than continental crust"	100%
A2.1. Select the process that occurs when crust's temperature increases. Correct answer: "As the temperature of the crust increases, the density of the materials that compose it decreases. The crust which is less dense rises upward as a result of the denser composition of the mantle."	83,8%
A2.2. Select the process that occurs when crust's density increases. Correct answer: "There is a direct relationship between the percentage of iron in the composition of the crust and its density. The denser crust descends as opposed to the less dense composition of the mantle"	88,2%

215

Results show that, using the simulator, PST performed very well on A1 tasks. The performance results on task A1.2 were relatively lower in comparison with the other A1 tasks. This could be due to the smaller differences on both crusts' temperature

that are difficult to observe on the simulator thermometer's scale, at 5 km depth. On the other hand, a small mistake on the positioning of the thermometer at 5 km depth could also cause an incorrect reading of the temperature.


220 As in task A1, PST score in task A2 was also very high. The observation of the crust movements in the simulator was very helpful to identify the influence of crust's temperature and composition on its movements, in relation to the Earth's mantle. Some incorrect answers on A2.1. may have resulted from the fact that the crust movement, due to temperature variation, is relatively small and sometimes difficult to observe in the simulator. On A2.2. some incorrect answers may have resulted from a poor conceptual knowledge of the meaning of density, since many PST had a poor background on geosciences. However, 225 the good results on tasks 2.1. and 2.2. could contribute to avoid the common misconception that "Vertical forces push up the bottom of the oceans and originate the continents" (Marques and Thompson, 2006, p. 207).

## 5.2 Task B. Plate movements

Task B was designed with the purpose of studying the tectonic plate movements (Figure 4). Initially, PST must select the section "Plate movements" and reproduce the tectonics situations presented through captions of PhET™ Plate Tectonics in each 230 task.

The initial tasks (B1) were designed for the analysis of processes that occur on convergent plate boundaries. In task B1.1., PST should examine the collision between a plate with continental crust and a plate with old oceanic crust (old oceanic plate). It were given four answer' options. PST should notice that the correct one was the answer stating that the oceanic crust suffers subduction under the continental crust, through playing the simulation.

235




240

245 **Figure 4: First image provided to PST that represents a convergent movement with continental and oceanic crust (A). The simulation shows that oceanic crust suffers subduction under continental crust (B) (caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**


Task B1.2. is similar to B1.1., with the difference that the collision is between a plate with continental crust and a plate with recent oceanic crust (new oceanic plate). In the same way, the recent oceanic crust suffers subduction under continental crust.

On task B1.3, PST should simulate a collision between a plate with continental crust and new or old oceanic plates, and compare the subduction angle of the last ones. Using the simulator, they should notice that the angle of subduction on and old oceanic crust is greater than the angle of subduction on a recent oceanic crust (Figure 5)



**Figure 5: Subduction angles resulting from the collision between a plate with continental crust and an old oceanic plate (A) and a new oceanic plate (B) (caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**


The last task concerning convergent plate boundaries (task B1.4.) explores the location of volcanoes that are formed due to the collision between a plate with continental crust and an old or new oceanic plate. Using the simulator, PST should notice that the collision between a plate with continental crust and an old oceanic plate originates volcanoes closer to the continental margins than the volcanoes resulting from the collision with a new oceanic plate (Figure 6).



**Figure 6: Location of volcanoes relative to the continental margin resulting from the collision between a plate with continental crust and an old oceanic plate (A) and a new oceanic plate (B) (caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**


The processes that occur in divergent plate boundaries are analysed in the following tasks. In task B2.1., PST must classify as true or false the following statement: “A rift is only formed due to the divergence of continental crust.” To explore this situation, PST could simulate the divergent movements of: continental crust; continental crust and old oceanic crust; continental crust

265 and new oceanic crust; old oceanic crust; and new oceanic crust. They should notice that the statement is false after those simulations because the simulator shows that a rift could also be formed due to the divergence of old oceanic crust (Figure 7).



**Figure 7: A rift can be formed through the divergence of the continental crust (A), but also through the divergence of old oceanic crust (B) (caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**

270 On task B2.2, the image A represented in figure 8 was provided to PST. After observing the tectonic situation represented, PST should select the correct option to complete this statement: “The type of plate movement that causes the formation of oceanic crust is...”. They should conclude through the manipulation of the different arrows that the correct option is: “... divergent movements (red arrows)” as shown in image B (Figure 8).



275 **Figure 8: First tectonic situation provided to PST (A) and formation of oceanic crust due to divergent movements (red arrows; B) (caption from PhET™ Plate Tectonics).**

Table 3 shows PST’ tasks B1.1. to B2.2 results.

280

**Table 3: Pre-service teachers' results on tasks B1.1. to B2.2.**

Task	Frequency of correct answers (n=68)
B1.1. Select the process that occurs as a result of the convergent movement between a plate with continental crust and an old oceanic plate. Correct answer: "The old oceanic plate suffers subduction under continental crust."	100%
B1.2. Select the process that occurs as a result of the convergent movement between a plate with continental crust and a new oceanic plate. Correct answer: "The new oceanic plate suffers subduction under continental crust."	92,6%
B1.3. Compare what happens to the subduction angle when a plate with continental crust collides with an old or new oceanic plate. Correct answer: "The collision between a plate with continental crust and an old oceanic plate originates a greater subduction angle than its collision with a new oceanic plate."	91,2%
B1.4. Compare what happens to the location of volcanoes regarding the continental margin when a plate with continental crust collides with an old or new oceanic plate. Correct answer: "The collision of a plate with continental crust and an old oceanic plate originates volcanoes closer to the continental margin."	94,1%
B2.1. A rift is only formed due to divergence of continental crust. Correct answer: False	64,7%
B2.2. The type of plate movement that causes the formation of oceanic crust is... Correct answer: "Divergent movements (red arrows)"	92,6%

285 Results show PST performed very well on all B1 tasks. On B1.1., B1.2. and B1.3. they verified using the simulation that an old or new oceanic plate always suffers subduction in a convergent movement with a continental crust. They also could determine that this phenomenon occurs due to different crust densities. By using the simulator, PST noticed that old or new oceanic plate suffers subduction under continental crust because of its higher density. In addition, PST also observed the subduction angle is different on both convergent plate boundaries (B1.3.) and, consequently, the location of volcanoes on continental margins (B1.4.). B1 tasks have the advantage of moving PST away from common misconceptions about what happens when two tectonic plates push together, e.g. "(...), the size, speed, and/or relative position of the plates determines how they interact", "(...) both plates are pushed upward to form volcanoes" or "(...) for millions of years the larger tectonic plate is pushed upward" (Mills et al., 2017, pp. 303-304).

295 Performance of PST on task B2.1. was poorer due to the lack of exploration of all plate movements combinations that could cause a rift formation. Many PST groups just simulated the divergence of continental crust, as shown on image A (Figure 8), erroneously concluding it was the only possibility for rift formation. However, this task also had the advantage of moving PST

away from common misconceptions about the processes that happen when two tectonic plates separate, e.g. “(...) an empty gap forms” or “(...) loose rock fills the gap that forms between them” (Mills et al., 2017, p. 303) since they could observe that when two tectonic plates separate, a rift is formed. Concerning B2.2., PST performance was better because they simulated what happened with all movements: divergent movements (red arrows), convergent movements (green arrows) and transform movements (blues arrows). The simulator shows that divergent movements (red arrows) are the only ones that can cause the formation of oceanic crust. PST’ performance achieved through replicating plate movements it’s an example of Tan (2007)’ idea that simulating reality allows a better analysis and study.

### 5.3 Task C. Inquiry about plate tectonics

PST’ problem-solving skills were mobilized on task C, as they were faced with three challenges:

- Task C1. Inquiry about the plate dynamics which should occur to create a non-volcanic mountain range.
- Task C2. Inquiry about the plate dynamics which should occur to create an insular arc.
- Task C3. Inquiry about the plate dynamics which should occur to create a similar process to the one on San Andreas Fault, California.

Table 4 shows the correct answer for each inquiry and the PST results.

**Table 4: Pre-service teachers’ C1 to C3 inquiry results.**

Task	Frequency of correct answers (n=68)
C1. Inquiry about the plate dynamics which should occur to create a non-volcanic mountain range Correct answer: Convergent plate boundaries between continental crusts.	91,2%
C2. Inquiry about the plate dynamics which should occur to create an insular arc. Correct answer: Convergent plate boundaries between old and new oceanic crust.	88,2%
C3. Inquiry about the plate dynamics which should occur to create a similar process to the one on San Andreas Fault, California. Correct answer: Transform plate boundaries between continental crusts.	97,1%

PST’ performance in the three inquiries was also very good, revealing suitable problem-solving skills which reinforces the importance of problem-based learning pedagogies (Tan, 2007). The C1 inquiry shows PST the process of mountain range formation due to the collision of two plates with continental crust, which turns out to be an advantage to avoid the following misconceptions: “When two continental tectonic plates push together, both plates are pushed upward to form volcanoes” (Mills et al., 2017, p. 303) and “All mountains are volcanoes” (Mills et al., 2017, p. 304).


Concerning C3 inquiry, PST performance was better when comparing with C1 and C2 tasks results. The selected example, which referred to the San Andreas Fault, may have contributed to a better performance by students identifying the correct

320 option, since it is part of the reality that students know. This connection to real-world experiences is an important point to take in account on sims exploration (PhET, 2014).

The previous tasks (tasks A and B) contribute to the comprehension of major tectonic plate movements and to mobilize conceptual knowledge about plate tectonics, to carry out the inquiries C1, C2 and C3. Some PST' groups did not answer correctly to C1 and C2 inquiries due to not exploring all possible combinations of plate movements.

#### 325 5.4 Pre-service teachers' evaluation of the educational resource

The following chart (figure 9) shows the level of satisfaction of a PST sample (19 pairs of PST; P1 to P19) concerning the contribution of the educational resource to their learning, in a scale of 1 (very unsatisfied) to 10 (very satisfied).



330 **Figure 9: Chart showing PST' evaluation concerning the contribution of the educational resource CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics to their learning.**

Results show a high level of satisfaction ( $\geq 7$ ) with the educational resource, which is also confirmed by some of the following comments: “This work proposal is very well structured. “(P19); “(..) we really enjoyed doing this work proposal very much.” (P17). Table 5 shows the categorization of PST responses regarding the evaluation of the educational resource.

335 **Table 5. Categorization of PST' answers regarding the contributions of the educational resource to their learning, and improvement suggestions.**

Category	Subcategory	Frequency (n=19 PST' pairs)
Contributions to learning	Consolidation of learning regarding plate tectonics resulting from the use of PhET™ plate tectonics simulator	15
	Consolidation of learning regarding plate tectonics, without specifically mentioning the use of PhET™ Plate tectonics simulator	3
	PST' collaboration resulting from group work	2
	Absence of improvement aspects	13



Improvement	Instructions for using the simulator	2
suggestions	Other aspects	4

Concerning the contributions to the educational resource to their learning, most of PST' stated PhET™ plate tectonics simulator was a good contribution to “Understanding, through observation, the difference between convergent, divergent and transform boundaries, and the phenomena that happen when plate movements occur.” (P3). This is due to the simulator allowing “(...) observation of the movement and behaviour of different plates, as well as the properties (density, temperature and thickness) of each type of crust (...)” (p15). Some groups emphasized the “(...) interactivity of the simulator (...)” (P11) since it allowed “(...) exploring several hypotheses of transformation according to the data entered.” (P17). These ideas and the results suggest PhET™ Plate Tectonics contributed to the PST' content knowledge about plate tectonics, therefore, adding a contribute to the lack of research about the impact of specific simulators on content knowledge (Phuong et al., 2013). Moreover, these statements are in line with one of the goals of PhET sims, which is to help students to develop and to assess their understanding and reasoning about science topics (PhET, 2014).

Some PST mentioned the educational resource contributed to their learning about Plate Tectonics. However, they did not mention that it was directly due to the use of the simulator. For example, one group considered “It serves to consolidate knowledge and to better understand the [Plate Tectonic] processes.” (P4). Other groups highlighted collaboration between PST as being very important for exchanging ideas about Plate tectonics: “Working in pairs made it easier to understand and allowed the discussion of our ideas.” (G6).

Concerning suggestions for improvement, many groups did not present any suggestion. **The majority of the PST were** very pleased with the educational resource and its tasks, as shown in the following statements: “We consider the activity was very well designed and there are not any aspects to improve.” (P3); “We think the form is very clear and the simulator helps a lot.” (p14). However, two of the groups mentioned difficulties with the use of the simulator, suggesting that “Some questions should bring instructions to facilitate the use of the app.” (P2). Though, this suggestion goes against the didactical guidelines on the use of PhET™ interactive simulations, which recommend minimal instructions for their use and a free exploration of its content. Other aspects concerned circumstantial situations, as, for example, the work group dynamics: “Since we were working at distance, there should be a way for each of us to see the form at the same time.” (P10). This statement is important to reflect on, because PhET's Approach to Guided Inquiry (2014) suggests, in point 6, that students should “share their ideas with their partner, working together to answer questions.” This process could be committed by the situation described by the student.

It was also asked how much time each group spent doing the work. The response range was between 40 and 90 minutes, with an average of approximately 60 minutes.

## 365 6 Conclusions

As in other works about the advantages of using simulators to promote different skills in students and interest in science (Droui, 2014; Hensberry et al., 2013; Lancaster et al. 2013; McKagan et al., 2008; Nafidi et al., 2018; Perkins et al. 2012; Wieman et al. 2010), the present study highlights the benefits of PhET™ Plate Tectonics interactive simulation **to the conceptual knowledge of pre-service teachers (PST) about plate tectonics**, embedded in a structured educational resource with tasks about the characteristics of crust, plate movements, and an inquiry about plate tectonics. PST successfully achieved the learning outcomes that guided the elaboration of this educational resource. In fact, they were capable of: describing the differences between the oceanic crust and continental crust regarding temperature, density, composition and thickness (Lo1); associating the tectonic plate movements with its geological consequences (Lo2); identifying the tectonic plate movements that cause the formation of some geological structures (Lo3).

375 Since plate tectonics processes cannot be observed in real time, by using PhET™ Plate Tectonics the PST could observe those processes through the simulation of different crust movements and crust types. The tectonic processes observed on the simulator could move PST away from misconceptions about plate tectonics as those identified by Marques & Thompson (2006) and Mills, Tomas and Lewthwaite (2017).

Although the simulator has some limitations because it does not show the mechanism that causes tectonic plate movements, 380 nor processes such as back-arc basin formation, we believe it has a high potential to promote conceptual knowledge about plate tectonics in PST.

In future approaches, it would be interesting to analyse the potential of using simulators such as PhET™ radioactive dating game to explore other core ideas such as geological time, which can cause **difficulties of comprehension** for some students (Dodick & Orion, 2003, 2006).

385 The CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics resource allows the improvement of the understanding of some aspects related to tectonic plate movements and encourages the sharing of ideas between PST. The feedback given by PST after completing the tasks was quite positive, highlighting their engagement with the simulator and its associated tasks. This fact demonstrates the importance of using simulators to motivate students to learn geology, as defended by some researchers (Nafidi et al. 2018; Quintana et al., 2004 and Pinto et al., 2014). CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Plate Tectonics has proved to be a useful resource for 390 distance learning, since it can be used autonomously by students in an online context.

## References

Almeida, A.: A controversia aceitação da Teoria da Tectónica de Placas à luz das ideias de Kuhn. Revista de Educação, 9(2), 29-40, 2000.

- 395 Bitting, K., McCartney, M., Denning, K., and Roberts, J.: Conceptual learning outcomes of virtual experiential learning: results of google earth exploration in introductory geoscience courses. *Research in Science Education*, 48(3), 533–548, doi:10.1007/s11165-016-9577-z, 2018.
- Borges, M. C.: *Concepções alternativas dos alunos sobre campo magnético terrestre: implicações na aprendizagem da Teoria da Tectónica de Placas (Dissertação de Mestrado)*. Departamento de Didática e Tecnologia Educativa, Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, 2002.
- 400 Cavadas, B.: From the contracting earth to continental drift: Wegener’s influence on Portuguese and Spanish science textbooks through the twentieth century. *Earth Sciences History* 38(1): 74–93, doi:10.17704/1944-6178-38.1.74, 2019.
- Cavadas, B., Correia, M., Mestrinho, N., and Santos, R.: CreativeLab\_Sci&Math | Work Dynamics and Pedagogical Integration in Science and Mathematics. *Interacções*, 15(50), 6-22, doi:10.25755/int.18786, 2019.
- Cavadas, B., and Franco, D.: A Teoria da Deriva dos Continentes de Alfred Wegener nos manuais escolares de Ciências Naturais Portugueses. *Entretextos*, 12, 1–14, 2009.
- 405 Cohen, L., Lawrence, M., and Morrison, K.: *Research methods in education* (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). Routledge, 2007.
- Commonwealth of Learning: Keeping the doors of learning open COVID-19, <https://www.col.org/resources/keeping-doors-learning-open-covid-19>, 2020.
- Correia, G. M. P.: *Paleomagnetismo e tectónica de placas: Desenvolvimento e avaliação de modelos para o ensino (Tese de Doutoramento)*. Departamento de Ciências da Terra, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia da Universidade de Coimbra, Portugal, 2014.
- 410 de Jong, T., and Joolingen, W. R. Van: Scientific discovery learning with computer simulations of conceptual domains. *Review of Educational Research*, 68(2), 179-201, 1998.
- Dodick, J., and Orion, N.: Building an understanding of geologic time: A cognitive synthesis of the “macro” and “micro” scales of time. *Special Paper of the Geological Society of America*, 413, 77-93, 2006.
- 415 Dodick, J., and Orion, N.: Measuring student comprehension of geologic time. *Science Education*, 87(5), 708-731, 2003.
- Dolphin, G., and Benoit, W.: Students' mental model development during historically contextualized inquiry: How the "Tectonic Plate" metaphor impeded the process. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(2), 276-297, doi:10.1080/09500693.2016.1140247, 2016.
- 420 Droui, M., and El Hajjami, A.: Simulations informatiques en enseignement des sciences: apports et limites. *EpiNet: Revue électronique de l’EPI*, 164, 2014.

- Faustino, P., Gomes, C. R., Abrantes, P. and Rola, A.: Historical evolution of plate tectonics and its cartography until the end of the 1960s, and implications in the teaching of earth sciences in Portugal. *Memorias R. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat.*, 2<sup>a</sup> ép., 14: 245–285, 2017.
- 425 Ferreira, R.: O Google Earth na aprendizagem da “Tectónica de Placas”, numa turma de 7.º ano de escolaridade (Relatório de Projeto do Mestrado em Ciências da Educação – Especialização em Utilização Pedagógica das TIC). Escola Superior de Educação e Ciências Sociais, Instituto Politécnico de Leiria, Portugal, 2016.
- Francek, M.: A compilation and review of over 500 geoscience misconceptions. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(1), 31–64, doi:10.1080/09500693.2012.736644, 2013.
- 430 Frankel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., and Hyun, H. H.: *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8<sup>th</sup> ed.), McGraw Hill, 2012.
- Frankel, H. R.: *The Continental Drift Controversy. Volume I: Wegener and the Early Debate*, Cambridge University Press, 2012a.
- Frankel, H. R.: *The Continental Drift Controversy. Volume II: Paleomagnetism and confirmation of drift*, Cambridge University Press, 2012b.
- 435 Frankel, H. R.: *The Continental Drift Controversy. Volume IV: Evolution into Plate Tectonics*, Cambridge University Press, 2012c.
- Gleen, W. H.: Suggestions for teaching the principles of continental drift in the elementary school. *Journal of Geography*, 76(2), 57-63, doi:10.1080/00221347708980883, 1977.
- Hensberry, K. K. R., Paul, A. J., Moore, E. B., Podolefsky, N. S. and Perkins, K. K.: PhET Interactive Simulations: New tools to achieve common core mathematics standards. In D. Polly (Ed.), *Common Core Mathematics Standards and Implementing Digital Technologies* (147-167). IGI Global, 2013.
- 440 Hoban, G., Loughran, J., and Nielsen, W.: Slowmation: preservice elementary teachers representing science knowledge through creating multimodal digital animations. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 48(9), 985–1009, doi:10.1002/tea.20436, 2011.
- 445 Lancaster, K. V., Moore, E. B., Parson, R., and Perkins, K.: Insights from using PhET’s design principles for interactive chemistry simulations. In J. Suits and M. Sanger, M. (Eds.), *Pedagogic Roles of Animations and Simulations in Chemistry Courses* (pp. 97-126). ACS Symposium Series, 2013.
- Marques, L., and Thompson, D.: Misconceptions and conceptual changes concerning continental drift and plate tectonics among Portuguese students aged 16-17. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 15, 195–222, 450 doi:10.1080/0263514970150206, 1997.

- McKagan, S. B., Perkins, K. K., Dubson, M., Malley, C., Reid, S., LeMaster, R., and Wieman, C. E.: Developing and researching PhET simulations for teaching quantum mechanics. *American Journal of Physics*, 76, 406, doi:10.1119/1.2885199, 2008.
- Mills, R., Tomas, L., and Lewthwaite, B.: Junior secondary school students' conceptions about plate tectonics. *International Research in Geographical and Environmental Education*, 26(4), 297–310, doi:10.1080/10382046.2016.1262511, 2017.
- Mills, R., Tomas, L., and Lewthwaite, B.: The Impact of Student-Constructed Animation on Middle School Students' Learning about Plate Tectonics. *Journal of Science Education & Technology*, 28(2) 165-177, doi:10.1007/s10956-018-9755-z, 2019.
- Nafidi, Y., Alami, A., Zaki, M., El Batri, B., and Afkar, H.: Impacts of the use of a digital simulation in learning Earth sciences (The case of relative dating in High School). *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15, 89-108, doi:10.12973/tused.10223a, 2018.
- Paige, K., Bentley, B., and Dobson, S.: Slowmation: an innovative twenty-first century learning tool for science and mathematics pre-service teachers. *The Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 41(2), 1–15, doi:10.14221/ajte.2016v41n2.1, 2016.
- Perkins, K., Moore, E., Podolefsky, N., Lancaster, K., and Denison, C.: Towards research-based strategies for using PhET simulations in middle schools physical science class. *PERC Proceedings*, AIP Press 1413(1), 295-298, doi:10.1063/1.3680053, 2012.
- Perkins, K., Podolefsky, N., Lancaster, K., and Moore, E.: Creating Effective Interactive Tools for Learning: Insights from the PhET Interactive Simulations Project, in: *Proceedings of EdMedia 2012-World Conference on Educational Media and Technology*, edited by: Amiel, T., and Wilson, B., Denver, Colorado, USA: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE), 436-441, <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/40781/>, 2012.
- PhET Interactive Simulations. PhET Professional Development Team: *Creating PhET Interactive Simulations Activities, PhET's Approach to Guided Inquiry*. <https://phet.colorado.edu/en/teaching-resources/activity-guide>, (last access: 9 June 2020), 2014.
- Phong, T. D., Moreland, J. R., Delgado, C., Wilson, K., Wang, X., Zhou, C., and Ice, P.: Effects of 3D virtual simulators in the introductory wind energy course: A tool for teaching engineering concepts. *Innovative Teaching*, 2(7), doi: 10.2466/04.07.IT.2.7, 2013.
- Pinto, A., Barbot, A., Viegas, C., Silva, A. A., Santos, C. A., and Lopes, J. B.: Teaching Science with Experimental Work and Computer Simulations in a Primary Teacher Education Course: What Challenges to Promote Epistemic Practices? *Procedia Technology*, 13, 86-96, doi:10.1016/j.protcy.2014.02.012, 2014.

- Podolefsky, N.S., Perkins, K.K. and Adams, W.K.: Factors Promoting Engaged Exploration with Computer Simulations. *Physical Review Special Topics - Physics Education Research*, 6(2), 2017, doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTPER.6.020117, 2010.
- 485 Praia, J.: Formação de professores no ensino da Geologia: contributos para uma didáctica fundamentada na epistemologia das ciências. O caso da Deriva Continental (Tese de Doutoramento). Universidade de Aveiro, Aveiro, Portugal, 1995.
- Quintana, C., Reiser, B. J., Davis, E. A., Krajcik, J., Fretz, E., Duncan, R. G., ... and Soloway, E.: A scaffolding design framework for software to support science inquiry. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 13(3), 337-386, doi:10.1207/s15327809jls1303\_4, 2004.
- 490 Santos, M., Sant'Ovaia, H., Moreira, J., and Ribeiro, M.A.: Evolution of the approach of Plate Tectonics in the school textbooks of secondary education - from the sixties to the present. *e-Terra*, 15(9), 1(4)–4(4), 2010.
- Swain, J.: *Designing research education. Concepts and methodologies*, SAGE Publications, 2017.
- Tan, O.: Problem-based learning pedagogies: psychological processes and enhancement of intelligences. *Educational Research for Policy and Practice*, 6, 101–114, doi:10.1007/s10671-007-9014-1, 2007.
- 495 Trundle, K., and Bell, R.: The use of a computer simulation to promote conceptual change: A quasi-experimental study. *Computers and Education*, 54(4), 1078–1088, doi:10.1016/j.compedu.2009.10.012, 2010.
- Vasconcelos, C., Almeida, A., and Barros, J. A.: Teaching continental drift: Epistemological and historical contributions. *Journal of Science Education*, 1(4), 32–35, 2013.
- Wegener, A.: Die Entstehung der Kontinente und Ozeane [The Origin of Continents and Oceans], Friedrich Vieweg & Sohn Akt. Ges., 1922.**
- 500 Wieman, C., Adams, W., Loeblein, P. and Perkins, K: Teaching Physics using PhET simulations. *The Physics Teacher*, 48, 225, doi:10.1119/1.3361987, 2010.
- Wilson, J. T. (Editor): *Continents Adrift and Continents Aground. Readings from Scientific American*, W. H. Freeman and Company, 1976.