

Interactive comment on ““Focus on glaciers”: a geo-photo exposition on the vanishing beauty” by Giuliana Rossi et al.

Mariele Neudecker (Referee)

m.neudecker@bathspa.ac.uk

Received and published: 10 May 2020

Overall, I really appreciate the writing of a thesis about the subject. This is written from the perspective of a visual artist, not a scientist.

I would imagine that this text would include some more detail and comparison of the subject and also of the urgently needed steps for us all to take. It is one side of the issue to document “so-called: vanishing beauty”, it is really key to also to show the other side and document the wrong-doings of humanity, for example the way food gets produced, handled, shipped and distributed; also the way we deal with waste of our products etc. transport and travel, etc.

I have learned to be very sceptical of the word “beauty” and it does need definition.

C1

Equally I have learned to use the word “wilderness” very carefully and again, clarify what it meant, especially with this discussion.

I would not use the word “picture” and replace it with “document, photograph or image”.

I would look at for example at the organisation Project Pressure and make various international comparisons as there are various groups doing this kind of documentation. Compare notes?! E.G.: <https://www.project-pressure.org/> (quote from website: Since 2008 Project Pressure has been commissioning world-renowned artists to conduct expeditions around the world for the purpose of creating an exhibition visualizing the climate crisis.) It would be good to show awareness of this and see the bigger picture in the thesis and investigate that in a critical way.

The loss of mass with glaciers would be important to visualise, hence to show some in comparison would be essential? Somehow the last sentence is asking for more: we need to see the climate crisis, we need to understand the problems and we all need to be aware what to do, what is it to do first? I am saying this provocatively I hope, as a lot of us do know, and still don’t do it.

Is anyone with English as first language proof-reading this? – as I am finding quite a few spelling or choice of word mistakes. (otherwise I could do some with this, but English is not my 1st language either).

(nothing attached for now)

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-3>, 2020.

C2