Geosci. Commun. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-25-RC1, 2020 © Author(s) 2020. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## **GCD**

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Impact of an educational program on earthquake awareness and preparedness in Nepal" by Shiba Subedi et al.

Michelle Salmon (Referee)

michelle.salmon@anu.edu.au

Received and published: 25 May 2020

I found it refreshing to see an evaluation of this program after it had been running for over a year. Many such programs do not publish such results and it is good to see that there is an impact on the community. I think this provides valuable insight for others that may embark on this kind of program and it certainly shows it's worth. I think the distinction between risk and hazard could perhaps help risk perceptions. I am not sure how much help the statistical calculations are as although they are discussed in the method they are ignored after that. The way in which the percentages are reported can be a little confusing for example on line 161 it says 65% of students believed they could survive in 2020 but then compares this to 57% could NOT survive in 2018. Would this not be better as 43% thought they could survive in 2018 and 65% in 2020.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Despite this the results look clear to me and show that while there is more work to do in preparedness the program is definitely having an impact. It would be good to see a complete questionnaire in the supplementary materials as this could help other programs evaluate their effectiveness in the future (I can't see the question about the seismometer there)

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2020-25, 2020.

## **GCD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

