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Dear Ian Stewart,

First of all, we would like to thank you for your rigorous, thoughtful and constructive review. It was a pleasure to work at a response.

We prepared a point by point answer below. You can consult the details of the changes in the document entitled Devesetal_GC2019_revised_withtrackchanges. The final version of the revised manuscript, entitled Devesetal_GC2019_revised, has been compiled by accepting all changes. It will be uploaded on the website.

We believe that the suggested changes have significantly improved the paper and we hope you will find it even more ready than before for publication. We remain at your
disposal for any further improvements you might find necessary.

Sincerely,

Maud Devès on the behalf of all co-authors

— Point by point response

IS: "I really enjoyed reading this paper, which is a valuable analysis of the media responses to earthquake events and a considered appraisal of the media framing and key messaging that accompanies such seismic crises. It is fairly well written and concise, brings a strong interdisciplinary team to address the problem and sets the context well with a range of interesting background literature. The data collected is soundly analysed and well presented (I especially like Figure 4, a diagram which will probably be much used by risk communicators). To be honest, the paper is broadly fit for publication as is, but I would suggest that the authors might like to make revisions around the following considerations: Point 1: The thrust of the initial set up, not surprisingly, is the expectations of the media in disaster events. But the corollary is the expectations of the role and responsibility of seismologists and scientists in those crisis moments. In this regard, I am thinking of Michelle Wood’s work on actionable risk messaging. In regard, I wondered how much of the media responses analysed by the team incorporated expert comment and did that substantially change the messaging. This is important because it challenges the value and urgency of scientific expert comment during disasters, an aspect which the paper seems to omit. It may be beyond the scope of this study, but thoughts on this from the authors would be welcome."

Authors answer: What should be the role and responsibilities of scientists in the face of disasters is a fundamental question. In this paper, we settle for exploring the media coverage of seismic events with the idea that it might help scientists to, at least, communicate more efficiently. News do not actually refer to scientists, specialists or to scientific explanation as much as what we expected before to undertake the study: only 5.4% of the news refer to the category we called ‘experts’, (Figure 5, table 2). And
the content of these references is, scientifically speaking, quite disappointing. Most of the time, it is just about mentioning the magnitude, in the best case, mentioning that earthquakes occur at plate boundaries. It is also very important to realize that these messages are mainly found in the initial phase of coverage i.e. immediately after main shocks or big aftershocks when journalists lack information to really build a story. As soon as more information comes about the level of impact, the first political declarations, etc., scientific considerations disappear. But a temporary lack of information is a void to be filled up... why not considering filling it up with a bit of scientific culture? The fact that there is only a very short time window (few hours in most cases) to communicate is an important result to that respect. We observed that the most cited expert institution was the USGS. One of us has recently had the chance to visit the news room of the French newspaper Le Figaro. He observed that, regarding earthquakes, journalists were using the information forwarded by press agencies (AFP-Reuters), the latter publishing automatically the communicates emitted by the USGS. Why not making, not just the USGS, but all scientific centers communicates more consistent from time to time?

IS: "Point 2: Your identification of an exponential decay of media interest seems to me an obvious but important point. It made me wonder if you could tie it to the predictable exponential decay in aftershock activity. I don’t mean to suggest they are the same, or related, but conceptually or metaphorically it suggests the waning energy of the earthquake disaster. Just something to consider."

Authors answer: The referee makes a very interesting point. It is true that aftershocks big enough to be covered in the medias are less likely to occur as time passes by. We would be surprised however to find more in this statistical correlation. We tend to believe that exploring it is out of the scope of the current paper.

IS: "Point 3: One issue that does not seem to emerge from the media narratives documented in this study is ‘where next?’. If true (and I suspect it is), this seems to me to be an important omission because coulomb stress triggering theory highlights the likeli-
hood of transient dynamic stress being transferred to neighbouring faults and therefore increasing the probability (in the short term) of a triggered quake nearby. Although not without risks in terms of public panic, conveying the dynamic nature of earthquakes as evolving threat events would seem to be a media narrative that earthquake scientists could develop with the news media."

Authors answer: This is a very good point. We added a paragraph in the discussion as follows: “Another topic that is absent of media narratives is that of the location of the next event. Coulomb stress triggering theory can help answering that question, at least probabilistically speaking. It could thus be interesting to communicate on the dynamics of the seismic phenomenon, notably to help designing adequate prevention measures (it might shake elsewhere the next time!).”

IS: "Point 4: I think it would help to clearly state why an appreciation of 'earthquake intensity' is better than an appreciation of 'earthquake magnitude'. Beyond the academic distinction, what is the utility for the public in those moments of crisis? Are we just being pedants about terminology, or is there a tangible public benefit in being explicit about using terms conveying energy and shaking?"

Authors answer: We completed the paragraph accordingly: “As discussed in a previous paper (Le Texier et al., 2016), the term of magnitude is commonly used as a synonym of intensity by the media. But the notion of intensity is the one that allows introducing the idea of differential damages paving the way to discuss mitigation and preparedness (earthquake-resistant construction, site effects, etc.)."

IS: "Point 5: I’d love it if the paper could conclude with some recommendations to scientists about the key actionable risk messages that they ought to be conveying the media in the various time windows as an earthquake disaster unfolds, i.e. minutes-hours; hours-days; days-weeks (perhaps tied into a modified reprise of Figure 4. Recognising the likely changing media environment, how can scientists take more control over the narrative, particularly in the aftermath of the search and rescue operations where
interest is dying down but seismic risk is potentially still high on neighbouring seismic sources?"

Authors answer: This is a difficult question. Our analysis can provide a solid ground to design better scientific communication (notably by emphasizing the constraints linked to the large-scale dynamics of media coverage), but we do not have “the good recipe” to build the content of this communication and we tend to believe that this is an issue that falls out of the scope of our paper.

IS: "Finally, some very minor points: You refer to 'the media' but essentially it is the 'news media' and possibly even just the 'broadcast news media’ that you are considering (e.g. not long-form documentaries etc.) I'm not sure I know what you mean by ‘the concept of the seismic crisis’.

Authors answer: We agree with the referee on these two points. We modified occurrences of ‘the media’ in ‘the news media’ whenever appropriate. About the point on seismic crisis: we observed that the ‘news media’ tend to treat each earthquake as an autonomous event (sometimes not referring to it as an aftershock). This might contribute to the representation of the seismic phenomenon as being a powerful, but unique, shock. We know that aftershocks are particularly dangerous, and it is important that exposed population understand that: 1) it might shake elsewhere the next time (issue of the next location) and 2) that it might be shaking again after the main shock (issue of the temporal distribution).

IS: "Figure 5 – the caption ought to explain the percentages. Some readers will no doubt be expecting the columns not add up to 100% and will be confused. None of these points are especially substantive - they probably reflect my personal perspectives on this topic – and should not hamper publication of the very nice paper."

Authors answer: We thank again the referee for his very useful comments. We modified the caption accordingly. It now reads: “Percentage of news mentioning a theme or topic. NB: One news item can include several themes and topics.”
Please also note the supplement to this comment:
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Dear Lisa Matthias,

First of all, we would like to thank you for your rigorous and thoughtful and constructive review. We answer point by point below. You can consult the details of the changes in the document entitled Devesetal_GC2019_revised_withtrackchanges. The final version of the revised manuscript, titled Devesetal_GC2019_revised, has been compiled by accepting all changes. It will be uploaded on the website.

We believe that the suggested changes have significantly improved the paper and we hope you will find it even more ready for publication, but we remain at your disposal for any further improvements you might find necessary. Thanks again for your help in
dealing with this manuscript.

Sincerely,

Maud Devès on the behalf of all co-authors

— point by point response —

LM: "Dear Editors, Herein is my response to the manuscript entitled “Seismic Risk: The Biases of Earthquake Media Coverage”, by Maud H. Devès and colleagues to Geoscience Communication. The authors present an interesting piece about international news outlets’ reporting on earthquakes. I really like the figures as they nicely complement the paper – especially Figure 4, which visualizes and summarizes parts of the findings. However, I would suggest the authors describe in more detail the theoretical background of their paper, as well as their conceptual framework, and methodology. My relevant expertise for reviewing this paper is in framing research. Lines 72 – 77: The first paragraph could do with some more clarity and explanations: a) I would use “media coverage” when first mentioning the term, variations are fine afterward; b) Public opinion about what? Some references would be great too here to support your arguments; c) Social media and online press are two very distinct things. I am not sure why the authors are mentioning “social media” at all since the news outlets they examine later on are traditional online news outlets, not social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, or Reddit; d) “One would expect”, who would expect, why would they expect this? The authors could draw on literature about the media’s role in society, for example. See, for example: Lasswell (1948), Wright (1960)."

Authors answer: now lines 72-79. a) We agreed and modified the text accordingly. b) c) and d) We modified the text to include the referee’ comments. Many substantial works have been published on the issue of the media’s role in society. We added a reference to a recent work by Harcup and O’Neill (2017). For the rest, we prefer mentioning studies more directly related to disaster risk reduction. We added two more references: one about the role of the media in influencing everyone representations
about disasters; and another one, more operational, about the role of media in disaster risk reduction according to risk managers (Cottle, 2014 and Thanthathep et al., 2016). We still think it is important to mention social media and we hope the sentence placed at the very beginning of the paragraph will help clarify the focus of the paper from the outset. Newspapers are more and more influenced by the fact that the news they publish are further disseminated or shared on Twitter or Facebook but they remain the major gatekeepers in the process of news selection and dissemination (Harcup & O’Neill, 2017).

LM: "Lines 79 – 80: “Scientists often blame journalists,” making such a strong and generalized claim, I would add at least (!) two more references. And perhaps consider a less aggressive turn of phrase for balance. Line 86: Please add a short explanation what is meant by “media filter.” Lines 79-95: I like that this paragraph zooms in on geoscience research in the media, but it would be good to contextualize these findings within the wider media sphere and its practices. Since the authors focus on “international” media, it would be enough to focus on general characteristics of media, such as news values (while cautioning that taxonomies of news values cannot explain everything). See, for example: Harcup and O’Neill (2001), Harcup and O’Neill (2017), Wu (2000). When citing Harris’ research, I am missing the explicit connection to framing research. For example, simplifying complex arguments is one of the very goals of framing, and so is the suggestion of a particular interpretation of events. Moreover, in this context, it is important to note that, depending on the country, science journalism is declining (Bauer et al., 2013), and that non-specialist reporters are now covering science-related news, and that this of course contributes to the kind of coverage (e.g., how detailed the report will explain the research) the reader gets. Line 93: Uncertainty about what?"

Authors answer: Lines 93-108. We reworked the paragraph in order to take into account the referee’ comments.

We rephrased the first sentence and added one more reference to a paper about the
l’Aquila trial (Cocco et al., 2015), an event that transformed deeply the relationship of the geoscience community to the press. We also added an explicit mention to the other papers discussed in the paragraph (we forgot to list them in the first sentence in the earlier version of the paper). Our idea in this paragraph is to show that media analysis is an important issue for geoscientists.

About the concept of ‘media filter’, we rephrased the sentence in order to make it clearer.

The referee is right when she says that the geoscientists we cite do not pay enough attention to the media rules and habits. But we did not want to add that discussion at the beginning of the paper as it might seem to technical to non-specialists. Again our idea here was really to show that media analysis (i.e. also understanding how the media work) is an important issue for geoscientists. We cut bits and pieces about Harris’ work. Hopefully, it will make the argument clearer. The criteria of news selection formalized by Galtung & Ruge (1965) and further completed by Harcup & O’Neill (2001, 2017) indicates a clear preference of journalists for “bad news”, “conflicts”, “surprise” or “drama” and a clear rejection of “complex” stories, especially in the case of daily newspapers. In the case of online newspapers, the need to get clicks and shares has undoubtedly reinforced the influence of these factors in decisions about what news to select, as well as news treatment (Harcup & O’Neill, 2017). This might also explain why science journalism is declining (Bauer et al., 2013).

We meant scientific uncertainties and modified the sentence to make it more explicit.

LM: "Lines 97 – 107: I think this paragraph would actually fit much better right after the first (after line 77), if “Things, however, have proven to be more complex.” was deleted, the text would also flow much more nicely. How the authors structure their text is of course up to them, but as a reader I was hoping for an explanation and/or some examples regarding the media’s influence on public opinion and action in this context. I would find it easier to follow the manuscript if related paragraphs would be grouped
together, and my mind would not have to jump between topics and then back. Line 105 – 106: Direct implications for what? What agencies?"

Authors answer: Lines 81-91. We agree with the referee’s suggestion. We moved the paragraph and reworked the text accordingly, deleting notably the unhappy sentence: “Things, however, have proven to be more complex”.

About agencies, we meant any agencies involved in disaster risk reduction. We modified the sentence as follows: “how [the] agencies [involved in disaster risk reduction] could reduce fatalism and facilitate preventive action by the way they present information about earthquakes and other disasters.”

LM: "Lines 109 – 125: The relevance of this paragraph for the current paper should be highlighted."

Authors answer: We removed the paragraph.

LM: "Lines 127 – 161: Personally, I find this section difficult to follow. It is titled “This study,” yet only 17 lines are about this study. I am missing explicit research questions and hypotheses, something that explains how the authors are approaching their overarching research question “in a globalized world, can we find systematic trends in how the international press covers earthquake events?”"

Authors answer: Lines 110-179. We have modified the title of the section 1.2. to be more consistent with its content and attempted to formulate more clearly our research question. In a previous paper (Le Texier et al. 2016), we showed that the coverage of earthquakes in international news published by daily newspapers concentrated on a limited number of events due to differences in the geophysical, geographical and political contexts of the different earthquakes and demonstrated a strong homogeneity in the editorial selection process. This study really aims to look into the temporal dynamics of the coverage (duration, trends) and into the potential existence of a typical framing of ‘earthquake news’ (i.e. by comparing news content between events and
between newspapers from various countries and languages).

LM: "Lines 147 – 148: a) The authors write “the different laws postulated by Galtung,” but then cite Koopmans & Vliegenthart, 2010: Why not cite the original article? b) However, I am unsure why this is mentioned as the authors do not analyze, nor connect their findings to, the level of newsworthiness according to Galtung’s taxonomy c) If I have missed the connection between Galtung and the authors’ findings, there have been, at least, two notable “updates” on Galtung’s work, which might be worth considering, Harcup and O’Neill (2001) and Harcup and O’Neill (2017)."

Authors answer: Lines 160-163. We modified the sentences as follow: “It is thus possible to analyze the level of newsworthiness according to the general laws postulated by Galtung and its followers (Galtung & Ruge, 1965; Harcup & O’Neill, 2001, 2017; Wu, 2000) and their specific application to earthquake media coverage (Koopmans & Vliegenthart, 2010)."

LM: "Line 168: Could the authors please define “the international press” in the context of their paper, and also give a more detailed explanation for the selection of news outlets? In particular, I would argue that some news sources chosen for this paper do not necessarily constitute as international news outlets, depending on how the term is defined: Vancouver Sun (looking at the circulation, it even becomes difficult to say this is a national newspaper), The Star, LA Times, El Informador. Likewise, it would be helpful to explain why these news sources were chosen over others that are arguably more relevant “international” news outlets (e.g., CNN, the BBC, Al Jazeera). Moreover, I would be interested to know why the authors included the Financial Times. While this is certainly an international news source, its focus is on business and economics. Line 173: How did the authors assess “media quality?” What were the inclusion and exclusion criteria for RSS feed regularity, the geographical location of the news outlet, and volume? Why did the authors not include website traffic/news circulation in their source selection criteria? Line 175: It would be good if the authors could elaborate on “sufficiently homogeneous:” What are the similarities, and where do the selected
Sources differ?"

Authors answer: Lines 184-198. We added an explicit definition of what we call “international news” at the very beginning of section 1.2 (lines 143-145). It states: “The current paper focusses on ‘international news’. By ‘international news’, we mean news published by daily newspapers about foreign countries or, in practical terms, news published by newspapers through a specific RSS flows entitled “international” or “world”.” We have systematically replaced “international press” by “international news published by daily newspapers” or, in abbreviate form, by “international news”.

The details of the criteria used to build the media corpus are discussed in the supplementary of Grasland (2019). We added the reference that we forgot in the earlier version in the presentation of the datasets. It can be consulted here: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/suppl/10.1177/1748048518825091/suppl_file/Supplemental_Material.pdf.

The term of “media quality” has been replaced by “national or international status of newspapers (broadsheet newspapers)”. We removed “sufficiently homogeneous” from the sentence. The newspapers entering the database are newspapers with important audience in their home country that play an important role in the importation of foreign news from the rest of the world. Some broadsheet newspapers have also been selected for their global audience (e.g. Financial Times).

LM: "Lines 168 – 169: Please briefly explain what data the geophysical set contains and how it was selected."

Authors answer: Lines 184-198. For the geophysical database, we use the seismic catalogue provided by the USGS. The USGS collects and analyses data recorded by several networks of seismographs throughout the world. It maintains an online catalogue of archives called ANSS (Advanced National Seismic System) Comprehensive Catalog. This is, to date, the most exhaustive database freely accessible to the general public. The catalog is accessible here: https://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/. It is well-known by geoscientists and we were not sure it would be necessary to develop
much further in the main text but we added a sentence for the sake of clarity: “The geophysical dataset is built from the online seismic catalogue provided by the United States Geological Survey (ANSS). For each earthquake, we collect the following parameters: hypocenter, magnitude and label.”

The ANSS catalogue offers access to the main parameters that allow geophysicists to characterize earthquakes. Among these, the parameters we have retained for comparison with the media database are the following: â€¢ The hypocenter localizes the seismic source in terms of latitude, longitude, and depth. â€¢ The magnitude measures the energy liberated by the earthquake. In other terms, it is the measure of its objective “physical importance”. However, there are different ways to estimate the magnitude of an event and different types of magnitude can follow each other over time. The USGS catalog prefers the moment magnitude (Mw). For recent periods, such as the one used in this study, the magnitude indicated in the database is therefore in theory a moment magnitude. Should the USGS send press releases immediately after receiving the preliminary data for seismic events of magnitudes over 6, the event’s magnitude is generally re-evaluated with the arrival of additional data. Therefore, the press mentions different magnitudes for the same event. â€¢ The label offers the geographic localization of the event with two different parameters. One is a political (country, region) or geographic (continent, sea, ocean) variable. The other is a spatial variable of distance and orientation in terms of the nearest population center with over 1,000 inhabitants within a radius of 300 kilometers. Though they may seem redundant with the precise geographic coordinates of latitude and longitude, these variables are extremely important in terms of the media since they offer the earthquake not only a position but also a nationality and a location allowing the public to name and memorize it. We will see that there is confusion as several earthquakes (e.g. main shock and aftershocks) are defined as different events by Earth scientists but are often conflated from a political and media standpoint.

LM: "Line 169: The acronym “USGS” should be spelled out when used the first time."
Authors answer: It has been done. See line 187.

LM: "Line 183: Please add (n=X) for items that were excluded because they were void of relevant information? The authors should also state how many items they started out with, before the cleaning and tagging. Lines 187 – 188: Please add how many duplicates were removed."

Authors answer: All numbers are indicated in Figure 2. We do not find necessary to repeat the information but we can if the referee finds it necessary.

LM: "Line 190: What software did the authors use for the tagging process?"

Authors answer: The software R was used for all the analyses. We used notably the package tm for text analysis. We added a sentence at the end of section 2.1 (lines 197-198).

LM: "Line 193: Is the dictionary available somewhere?"

Authors answer: We tagged news related to earthquakes using the following dictionary. Error rates are given in the paper lines (217-218). They are reasonably small (4% for false positives, 2 to 3% for false negatives).

word type TAG language aftershock disaster_name Earthquake en aftershocks disaster_name Earthquake en temblor disaster_name Earthquake en temblors disaster_name Earthquake en seismic disaster_name Earthquake en seismicity disaster_name Earthquake en seism disaster_name Earthquake en seisms disaster_name Earthquake en tremor disaster_name Earthquake en tremors disaster_name Earthquake en tsunami disaster_name Earthquake en tsunamis disaster_name Earthquake en quake disaster_name Earthquake en quakes disaster_name Earthquake en earthquakes disaster_name Earthquake en terremoto disaster_name Earthquake en terremotos disaster_name Earthquake es temblor de tierra disaster_name Earthquake es temblores de tierra disaster_name Earthquake es sismo disaster_name Earthquake es sismos disaster_name Earthquake es..."
We believe that as the response to referees will be available online, there is no need to add this table in the paper (it is a bit long and boring to read). But we will follow the reviewers’ advices on the subject.

The country dictionary used in the paper is available on request at claude.grasland@parisgeo.cnrs.fr. It is specific to the year 2015 (names of state representatives, etc.) and limited to three languages (FR, EN, SP). A less precise but more polyvalent dictionary can also be found in the R package newsmap by Kohei Watanabe: https://github.com/koheiw/newsmap.

LM: "Line 230-232: Critical discourse analysis does not just analyze texts but relates the content and its meaning to underlying structures of the sociopolitical context. This is also being done in Cox et al. (2008), which the authors say “inspired” their methodology. For the context of this paper, it might be better to not use the term as this is not what is done."

Authors answer: Line 252. We removed the reference to “critical discourse analysis”.

LM: "Line 235: “As we are dealing with hundreds of thousands of items”: In line 198,
the authors write “4411,” so this seems like a slight exaggeration. (see comment to line 544)

Authors answer: Line 254. By Âń hundreds of thousands items Âž we refered to the total EQmedia database. But we agree with the referee that this can introduce some misunderstanding as the detection of “textual silences” and key words has only been done on the 4441 items mentioning earthquakes. We modified the text accordingly.

LM: "Lines 244 – 256: I like that the authors briefly and clearly describe the individual categories."

Authors answer: Thanks iĄŁ

LM: "Line 270: Please briefly explain those limitations."

Authors answer: Lines 292-293. We added explanations in section 2.3. There are limitations to the keyword approach: the meaning of isolated words is often ambiguous and related to the context and the position before or after other words (Church & Hanks, 1990). But the independent classification of the items by the coauthors indicates a good consistency in the coding of themes and subthemes and the identification of topics (we reach a maximum of 12% of differences for the emergency response category). More details on how to improve our “bags of words” approach are provided in the final discussion of the paper.

LM: "Line 390 – 391: Since the authors write “centers,” which other regional centers have been referred to?"

Authors answer: Line 413. The sentence was unfortunate. We reformulated it as follows: national meteorological agencies and emergency operations centers, etc.

LM: "Line 419: What do the authors mean by “romanticized?”"

Authors answer: We deleted Âń the event starts to be romanticized Âž as we were not sure of the translation from French to English. . . We meant: “being embedded into a
story (often partly imaginary).

LM: "Lines 476 – 477: This is really interesting, and it would be great if the authors could add a few quotes."

Authors answer: Lines 499-505. We added two quotes for illustration.

LM: "Line 484: Could the authors either explain further, or delete, “that one could call topoi?”" Authors answer: Agreed and done.

LM: "Lines 490 – 492: This is really interesting! Is this the same across all news outlets (i.e., do all, or a great proportion of, news outlets cover these topics for the Nepal earthquake?)? Why do the authors think this is?"

Authors answer: The most important difference in terms of news content seems to be linked to the duration of coverage. The coverage of the Nepal quake lasts longer, and news are richer.

LM: "Lines 521 – 522: Do the authors think that this might have been different if they had looked at news outlets from the countries the earthquakes were located in?"

Authors answer: We focused on international news and cannot answer directly to the question. But we know proximity does matter. This is suggested by the case of the Times of India which has the largest and longer coverage of the Nepal quake.

LM: "Line 544 (Figure 5): This might relate to my confusion in line 235, what does “items” refer to here? It seems that the authors are using it for different purposes (i.e., news items and ?)?!

Authors answer: The referee is right. We use sometimes “news items”, “items” or “news”. All these versions actually mean the same. We have corrected the text and the figures accordingly.

LM: "Lines 553 – 554: Do the authors have any idea why? Since these are foreign news outlets, referring to celebrities could increase the newsworthiness of the reports?!!"
Authors answer: Lines 580-582. We agree with the referee. It confirms classical rules of news value about elite people and celebrities formulated by Galtung and Rudge (1965) and Harcup and O’Neill (2001, 2017). We added a sentence spelling out that point.

LM: "Lines 574 – 576: Again, I wonder if this might be different with local news outlets (i.e., the country affected by the earthquake) because “issues of recovery, restoration, reconstruction, adaptation, mitigation and preparedness” might seem somewhat more relevant to those countries than to faraway places, especially those that do not experience earthquakes."

Authors answer: A very good point, but out of the scope of the current paper.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

Seismic Risk: The Biases of Earthquake Media Coverage

Maud H. Devès1,2*, Marion Le Texier3, Hugues Pécoul4 and Claude Grasland4,5

1 Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, CNRS UMR 7154, 75238 Paris Cedex 5, France – Université de Paris.
2 Université Paris-Diderot, Centre de Recherche Psychanalyse Médecine et Société, CNRS EA 3522 – Université de Paris.
3 Université de Rouen Normandie – UMR CNRS 6266 IDEES, 76781 Mont-Saint-Aignan Cedex, France.

*Corresponding author: Maud H. Devès (deves@ipgp.fr)

Abstract

The capacity of individuals to cope with threatening situations depends directly on their capacity to anticipate what will come next. The media should play a key role in that respect, but an extensive analysis of earthquake media coverage by the international news reveals systematic biases. Exploring a corpus of 320 888 news articles published by 32 worldwide newspapers in 2015 in English, Spanish or French, we found that the press covers a very small number of events: 71% of the news about seismic events was dedicated to only 3 earthquakes (among the 1559 of magnitude 5+). A combination of frequency and content analysis reveals a typical framing of the ‘earthquake news’. Except for the ‘Nepal quake’, the duration of the coverage is usually very short. The news thus tends to focus on short-term issues: the event magnitude, tsunami alerts, human losses, material damage, and rescue operations. Longer-term issues linked to the recovery, restoration, reconstruction, mitigation and prevention are barely addressed. Preventive safety measures are almost never mentioned. The news on impacts show a peculiar appetency for death counts, material damage estimates and sensationalism. News on the response tends to emphasize the role played by the international community in helping the ‘poor and vulnerable’. The scientific content of the coverage is often restricted to mentions of the magnitude, with the concept of the seismic intensity being largely ignored. The notion of the ‘seismic crisis’ also seems unclear, with aftershocks sometimes being treated as isolated events. Secondary hazards are barely mentioned, except in the case of tsunami alerts. Together, these biases contribute to fatalistic judgments that damage cannot be prevented. If scientific messages are to be communicated, they should be broadcast a few hours after an event. Why not taking that opportunity to familiarize people with the real timeline of seismic disasters?
**Keywords**
earthquake, media coverage, seismic risk, risk perception, international news flow theory

**Key Points**

- Analysis of earthquake media coverage by the international news reveals systematic biases in the coverage of seismic crises.
- News focuses on a small number of events: in 2015, 3 earthquakes attracted 71% of the news (among 1559 earthquakes of magnitude over 5).
- The duration of the coverage is very short with respect to the issues at stake: from a few hours to a few days, rarely more.
- The 2015 Nepal quake was exceptionally well covered both in terms of duration and number of news items.
- There is a typical framing of ‘earthquake news’ in the international news.
- News content focuses on short-term issues: the event magnitude, tsunami alerts, human losses, material damage, and rescue operations.
- Longer-term issues linked to recovery, restoration, reconstruction, mitigation and prevention measures are barely addressed.
- To reach the public, scientific messages should be released within hours of big events. Why not taking that opportunity to familiarize people with the real timeline of seismic disasters?
1 Introduction

1.1 Newspapers play a key role in times of disaster

Despite the increasing influence of social media, newspapers remain major gatekeepers in the process of selection and dissemination of the news proposed by press agencies to national and local audiences (Harcup & O'Neill, 2017). For risk managers, they remain an important tool for reaching a wide range of people (Cottle, 2014). To this respect, one can expect the press not only to inform, but also to provide the public with the knowledge to reduce disaster risks (see for instance the Media kit created by the Asian Disaster Preparedness Center and the Department of Disaster Management and Climate Change of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment of Lao PDR, Thanthathep et al., 2016).

Numerous studies have explored the ability of the news media to influence public perception. According to McClure et al. (2001) and Mc Clure and Velluppillai (2013), public education programs and news reports often describe disasters “in ways that accentuate the extent and severity of damage”, thus contributing to “fatalistic attributions and judgments that the damage cannot be prevented”. Improper attribution can hinder peoples’ preparedness: “When people attribute damage to an earthquake’s magnitude, they invoke an uncontrollable cause, but when they attribute damage to human design, they invoke a relatively controllable cause”. For authors such as Gaddy & Tanjong (1987) or Hiroi, Mikami, & Miyata (1985), understanding how the media report on disaster situations has direct implications as it shows “how [the] agencies [involved in disaster risk reduction] could reduce fatalism and facilitate preventive action by the way they present information about earthquakes and other disasters.”

It is not uncommon to hear scientists criticizing the press for conveying distorted messages (e.g. Smith, 1996; Cocco et al., 2015; Harris, 2015a and b). Journalists have even been accused of playing the role of “crisis catalyst” (Boin et al., 2008). Comparing the news treatment of a real earthquake with that of a false quake prediction, Smith (1996) concludes that “the interest in drama at the expense of public affairs interferes with good scientific reporting.” In general, scientists denounce the tendency of the press to search for “culprits” and “accountability” and for “stirring up old rivalry and exaggerating conflicts” (Harris, 2015a and b). Harris (2015a) shows how the placement of the information in the frame of the pages, selection of stories, use of sources, selection of data, exaggeration, omissions and preferences for certain sources or pieces of information contribute to the oversimplification of scientifically complex arguments and an orientation toward information interpretations forcing inclination or prejudice for, or against, an argument, person or group, putting a particular emphasis on some aspects of the situation. Harris (2015b) concludes that, what he calls the ‘media filter’, can influence the public understanding of scientific uncertainties and argues that a careful study of the media coverage would help scientists to communicate in a manner that reduces the chance of misunderstanding.

1.2 Earthquake media coverage and international news flow theory

Supreme: 5 From the social science and humanities perspectives, media do not just introduce biases into the perception of ‘real’ events, they also construct part of the reality (Searle & Willis, 1995). Media are primarily seen as being a cultural tool helping people to make sense of what happen to them, collectively. Among the few psychological studies focusing on the impacts of media coverage in a post-disaster context, Yoshida et al. (2016) suggest that watching the news may even help people to recover from their traumatic experiences, as it provides a good opportunity for deliberate rumination over disaster-related memories. Studying two Canadian rural communities following a forest fire in 2003, Cox et al. (2008) show that the newspaper coverage acts as “a local as well as a broader cultural resource for affected individuals and communities in determining the ‘correct’ way of responding to and recovering from the disaster”. Their analysis emphasizes the power of media “to convey and normalize dominant cultural assumptions” and influence social attitudes and health-related behavior (Gaddy & Tanjong, 1987). It points out the effect of the neoliberal discursive framing of recovery, emphasizing the economical-material aspects of the process and a reliance on experts. Cox and Perry (2011) shows that the dominant discursive constructions of disasters have drawn on and reinforced a hierarchy of credibility in which local voices are marginalized in favor of experts.
This study, led by a pluri-disciplinary team of researchers coming from geophysics, psychology and geography, builds on previous results (Devès, 2015; Grasland et al., 2016; Le Texier & al., 2016) to address the following question: in a globalized world, can we find systematic trends in how the international news published by daily newspapers covers earthquake events?

By ‘international news’, we mean news published by daily newspapers about foreign countries or, in practical terms, news published by newspapers through specific RSS flows entitled “international” or “world”. Many hypotheses about the rules governing the international news flow were formulated more than 50 years ago (Galtung & Ruge, 1965; Östgaard, 1965) and verified by empirical studies concerning the unequal salience of countries in the media and the effects of size, proximity and the preference for elite countries or negative news (Peterson, 1981; Kim & Barnett, 1996; Wu, 2000). The development of new forms of electronic communication has not modified the rules previously observed, and recent works confirmed that the circulation of international news is still very influenced by cultural factors such as language and physical factors such as the distance between the location of the media and the location of events (Segev, 2016; Grasland et al., 2016). However, the salience of countries is generally manifested over a mixture of heterogeneous events, and some authors have focused on subsets of events that are either mentioned or ignored by the media. The event-oriented approach is based on a selection of foreign news related to a specific topic for which it is possible to define a finite and possibly objective list of events occurring in the “real” world.

One of the most interesting areas of research from this perspective is the study of the media coverage of earthquakes, for which objective measures of the magnitude or victims are regularly published. It is thus possible to analyze the level of newsworthiness according to the general laws postulated by Galtung and its followers (Galtung & Ruge, 1965; Harcup & O’Neill, 2001, 2017; Wu, 2000) and their specific application to earthquake media coverage (Koopmans & Vliegenthart, 2010). Examining the news media coverage of more than 900 earthquakes, Le Texier et al. (2016) showed that the event severity (reported in the press as a moment magnitude) affected the volume of media coverage following a power law. Studying the dynamics of public interest in major earthquakes using Google Trends, Tan & Maharjan (2018) find that the duration and search peak vary with the death toll and damage but not with the earthquake magnitude. Earle et al. (2010) found the same pattern for the 2009 Mw 4.3 Morgan Hill (California) earthquake using Twitter data, in a period of only a dozen minutes.

This paper goes further in questioning the existence of systematic trends in how earthquakes are covered by international news. More specifically, we look into the temporal dynamics of the coverage (duration, trends) and into the potential existence of a typical framing of ‘earthquake news’ (i.e. by comparing news content between events and between newspapers from various countries and languages). Section 2 presents the datasets we use and the main steps we follow for data analysis. First, we analyze the intensity, time distribution and content of a large corpus of approximately 320,888 news items published by 32 international news media RSS feeds in 2015. Second, we associate a statistical analysis of the news frequency with a textual analysis of the content of the news. Section 3 describes the main results. Those are discussed in Section 4. Section 5 concludes.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Presentation of the datasets

The datasets run from January 1, 2015 at 00:00:01 to December 31, 2015 at 23:59:59. 2015 is particularly interesting as it is the year of the Nepal earthquake, a major event well covered by the international news published by daily newspapers. The geophysical dataset is built from the online seismic catalogue provided by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). For each earthquake, we collect the following parameters: hypocenter, magnitude and label. The media dataset is built from the ANR corpus GEOMEDIA, which contains information published by more than 330 news RSS feeds from 180 media, localized in 61 countries and written in 10 languages over three years (ANR-12-CORP-0009, Grasland et al., 2012-2015). We selected international news media RSS feeds based on several criteria: national or international status of newspapers (broadsheet newspapers), RSS feed regularity, media localization, and the volume of transmitted information (see the supplementary information of Grasland, 2019). The final corpus consists of 32 RSS feeds related to international news in three languages (English, French and Spanish) that are equitably geographically distributed, according to the possibilities offered by the initial database (Figure 1). Analysis have been completed using the software R, and notably the package tm for text mining.

2.2 Data cleaning and selection through tagging

Before starting the data analysis, three processing steps were required (Figure 2). First, some of the selected RSS news items were not worth analyzing because they were totally devoid of information, simply advertising or summarizing a heterogeneous set of news of the day. These items were deleted from the corpus. Second, the initial database continuously collects RSS items on newspaper websites, and a similar item can be published several times without changes. Therefore, we had to delete all the duplicate items (items with the same title and text). During these two processing steps, more than 60 000 news items were deleted. After the cleaning, the dataset contains 320 888 news items. To build the joint corpus (called EQ-MEDIA in the following), we then enriched the news media dataset with a tagging process in two steps: 1) the geographical tagging of all mentioned countries using word dictionaries and 2) the thematic tagging of all news mentioning a seismic event using an ‘earthquake dictionary’. The first dictionary was tested and validated in previous research (Grasland et al., 2016). The latter has been tested manually on 1% of the total number of news items to determine the number of false positives (i.e., items containing metaphoric references to earthquakes such as a ‘political earthquake’). We found a reasonable error rate of approximately 4%. The rate of false negatives (i.e., missed items) was even smaller (approximately 2 to 3%). The final number of news items dedicated to earthquakes over the year 2015 is 4411, which represents 1.37% of the total number of news items published during that time period by all the RSS feeds of the corpus. (insert Figure 2)

2.2 Two levels of analysis: the year 2015 and 3 major events
An analysis of the intensity and duration of coverage is undertaken on the whole EQMEDIA corpus. The analysis of the news content, which requires coupled qualitative and quantitative approaches, is undertaken on a selection of earthquakes. As shown in Figure 3, the ‘earthquake news’ is not evenly distributed over time. Three earthquakes garnered the most attention:

- **the Gorkha earthquake**: Nepal and neighboring countries witnessed a 7.8 magnitude earthquake on the 25th of April 2015. It was followed by many aftershocks, among which one on May 12th had a magnitude of 7.3. These earthquakes killed more than 9,000 people and affected at least 8 million, affecting the main economic and political center of the country (Katmandu) and causing massive economic losses (half of the GDP of the country) (CRED, 2017). The first quake (April 25th) was the most devastating. It also triggered landslides and avalanches in the mountains, killing hundreds of people, among whom were foreign tourists whose fates most interested the news media. The magnitude of the main shock was similar to that of the 1934 earthquake.

- **the Iapael earthquake**: An earthquake of magnitude 8.3 hit the area of Iapael, Chile, on September 9th, 2015, killing at least 15 persons and affecting thousands. Chilean authorities ordered the immediate evacuation of the coast due to a tsunami threat. Pacific-wide tsunami warnings were issued, and the evacuation affected approximately 1 million people.

- **the Hindu Kush earthquake**: An earthquake of magnitude 7.5 hit the Hindu Kush region between Afghanistan and Pakistan on October 26th, 2015. The earthquake and its aftershocks killed approximately 400 people and affected thousands in Afghanistan, Pakistan and the neighboring countries (including India and Tajikistan).

(Insert Figure 3)

### 2.3 Analyzing the news content

To more closely examine our dataset, we adopted a method inspired by Cox et al. (2008) who analyzed the print-news media coverage of the recovery process following a forest fire. The first step is to conduct a careful analysis of the content of the news itself to identify thematic patterns but also possible "textual silences", defined by Huckin (2002) as "the omission of some piece of information that is pertinent to the topic at hand". As we are dealing with thousands of news items, this qualitative approach is complemented by a quantitative analysis based on keywords.

It was possible but ultimately not relevant to proceed to a classification of the content of our thousands of news items with inductive exploratory methods such as cluster analysis (Wilks, 2011) or latent Dirichlet allocation (Blei & al., 2003). Thus, we chose a deductive approach where we tried to extract from the news media coverage the categories or concepts defined by experts on disasters. Following Hass, Kates and Bowden (1977) and Kates et al. (2006), we define six expected categories of content: hazards, impacts, response, restoration, reconstruction and preparedness. The category of hazards refers to the seismic phenomenon itself or to any hazardous event it can trigger such as tsunamis or landslides. The category of impacts refers to the immediate effects of these hazards: human loss, injuries, and damage to buildings and infrastructures. The category of emergency response refers to the actions taken...
during or immediately after the earthquake to save lives, reduce health impacts, ensure public safety and meet the basic subsistence needs of the people affected. The category of rehabilitation includes recovery and restoration, i.e., actions taken to restore basic services and facilities and improve the livelihoods and health, as well as economic, physical, social, cultural and environmental assets, systems and activities, of the earthquake-affected community. By reconstruction, we mean the medium- and long-term rebuilding and restoration of the critical infrastructures, services, housing, facilities and livelihoods. Preparedness refers to actions carried out to build the capacities needed to efficiently manage future emergencies. News may refer to one or several of these categories of content.

We classify the most frequently used words of the ‘earthquake news’ into one of these categories of content and build two keyword dictionaries: a discourse content dictionary corresponding to the above categories (table 1) and an identity matrix dedicated to actors (table 2). For this work to be manageable in a reasonable time, we adopt a threshold of a minimum of 4 occurrences in French and Spanish and 8 in English (there are, respectively, 619 and 478 news items in Spanish and French, so the threshold remains very low, as it corresponds to words occurring in at least 0.36% of the news items. There are 2097 items in English, and thus the threshold remains sensibly the same: it corresponds to words occurring in at least 0.38% of the news items). Conjunctions and adverbs are not considered, and words with common roots are treated together. We use words that are representative of one and only one of our categories of discourse (principle of exclusivity) and that do not introduce too many false positives. Tagging the database using these two keyword dictionaries allows us to quantify the presence/absence and evolution of each theme/subtheme/topic. There are limitations to this keyword approach (the meaning of isolated words is often ambiguous and related to the context and the position before or after other words, e.g. Church & Hanks, 1990) but the independent classification of the news items by the coauthors indicates a good consistency in the coding of themes and subthemes and the identification of topics (we reach a maximum of 12% of differences for the emergency response category).

(insert table 1 and table 2)

3 Results

3.1. ‘Earthquake news’ analysis of temporality

News concentrates on a very small number of earthquakes. 71.4% of the news items about seismic events were dedicated to only three earthquakes (Figure 3). The ‘Nepal Quake’ was exceptionally well-covered, representing 59.7% of the news, and the earthquakes in Chile (Ilapel) and Afghanistan (Hindu Kush) collected, respectively, 6.1% and 5.8% of the news. The other events of the year (some of which are visible as small peaks in the brown curve of Figure 3) share the remaining 28.6% of the coverage.

The curves of coverage intensity exhibit a similar trend for all earthquakes: the initial peak is followed by an exponential decrease. This signature has been proved as typical of the media
coverage of dramatic events, characterized by an initial shock to public opinion (Boomgaard, H. G. & de Vreese, 2007). The amplitude of the initial peak is higher in the case of the ‘Nepal Quake’ than in the other cases. The duration of the coverage is also much longer with a second peak, corresponding to the aftershock of May 12th, triggering a new round of coverage. This may be explained by various factors, including a death toll an order of magnitude higher and that it affected the economic and political center of a touristic country (Koopmans & Vliegenthart, 2010). However, despite these differences in intensity and duration, the overall signature of the ‘Nepal quake’ is similar to the signature of the Hindu Kush earthquake, likely because both events occurred in similar geodynamical settings (i.e., intracontinental faulting) and both caused massive impacts (i.e., huge death tolls and vast material damage). The real question is why the Chilean earthquake, which only caused moderate impacts, was so well covered. Occurring in a different geodynamical setting (i.e., subduction faulting), the earthquake triggered tsunami waves threatening many countries on the ocean rim. The release of the tsunami alert explains the level of the international coverage in remote countries. All together, these observations support earlier works showing that the death toll in itself is not sufficient to predict the volume of media coverage, as other factors – such as the physical, political, or economic distance to the place of publication – also influence the newsworthiness of disasters (i.e., Adams (1986), Simon (1997), and Van Bell (2000), among others).

Eventually, the main peaks of intensity are not significantly different among the English, Spanish and French newspapers. Only small differences are observed, essentially on the extent of the main peaks or on the secondary peaks. The similarity of the results obtained in the three different languages confirms the robustness of our methodology. It also suggests the existence of a typical and global framing of the ‘earthquake news’, inviting us to dive deeper into the analysis of content.

3.2. ‘Earthquake news’ analysis of content

3.2.1 News reproduces the categories of content expected from Disaster Risk Management (DRM) models

The ‘earthquake news’ content broadly reproduces the sequence expected from DRM models but with an important bias: the duration of coverage is too short (hours to days) for mid- to long-term issues (weeks to months or years) to be well-covered (Figure 4). The themes of Hazards, Impacts and Emergency Response are overrepresented compared with those of Recovery, Restoration, Reconstruction and Preparedness (Figure 5).

• 77% of the news items contain a general description of the Impacts of the event, either simply to outline its level of destructivity or to count fatalities.

• 46% of the news items refer to the Hazards, often to communicate the magnitude of the earthquake but sometimes to inform about secondary hazards such as tsunamis, aftershocks and, more rarely, avalanches, mud slides or floods.

• 45% of the news items refer to Emergency response describing either aid, search and rescue operations (in the case of the Nepal and Hindu Kush earthquakes) or the release and lifting of tsunami warnings (in the case of the Ilapel earthquake).

• Only 5.6% of the news items refer unambiguously to Recovery, Restoration and Reconstruction, and none refer directly to issues of Preparedness. These low
percentages are partially due to the small numbers of keywords identified for each
of these themes, but it is the low frequency of these themes in the database that
prevented us from identifying more keywords.

It is interesting to note that the big aftershock of May 12th in Nepal triggered a new cycle
of information. Although characterized by a peak of smaller intensity, the news content
followed a similar sequence to the one triggered by the main shock.

Figure 6 shows the temporal distributions of these themes. The Nepali and the Afghani
earthquakes have similar signatures: content on hazards comes first, very soon followed by
content on impacts; content on response comes next, and content on recovery, rehabilitation
and reconstruction comes later on – when it comes. The Chilean earthquake has a significantly
different signature, which is due to its tsunamigenic character. The news focuses first on the
hazards including tsunamis, which makes the content on the response (tsunami warnings)
appear much earlier.

(insert Figures 4, 5 and 6)

3.2.2 The typical ‘earthquake news’

To give a sense of the framing of ‘earthquake news’, in the following, we build an (artificial
but well-informed) example of the evolution of the news content over time after an event. Of
course, there are to be variations due to elements of context, but our guess is that the main trends
would remain comparable.

Imagine that an important earthquake occurs…

• Within a few hours

The news focuses on the description of the seismic hazard and, when relevant, passes on
information about tsunami warnings. The news first reports that an earthquake has been felt,
providing an approximate location of the impacted area (often a country, sometimes a region
or a city). Many recall the magnitude of the event.

e.g., ‘USGS: Magnitude 7.5 earthquake strikes Afghanistan’ (USA today, October 26th, item
10366718), ‘Un terremoto de 7,9 grados sacude el centro de Nepal’ (Faro de Vigo, April 25th, item
6369528), ‘Un séisme de magnitude 7,5 a secoué lundi le massif de l’Hindu Kush’ (Le Monde,
October 26th, item 10368842)

It quickly becomes clear that the event is worth mentioning because it had noticeable impacts.

e.g., ‘La ONU advierte dramático impacto tras nuevo temblor en Nepal’ (El informador, May 13th,
item 6774985), ’Scores of people were killed when a 7.5-magnitude earthquake centered in
Afghanistan rocked neighboring Pakistan and rattled buildings as far away as India.’ (USA Today,
October 26th, item 10371195)

The combination of the location and magnitude is often use to ‘label’ the event and
distinguish it from other ones. After a few days, ‘big’ events are known by their ‘nicknames’,
and the magnitude is less often mentioned. A few hours after the main shock, journalists named
the earthquake the ‘Nepal earthquake’, and it soon became the ‘Nepal Quake’.
However, only a few earthquakes become famous enough to be called by nicknames; the Chinese and Afghani earthquakes of 2015 did not, and the news settled for recalling the country and magnitude of the main shocks.

Interestingly, that initial phase of coverage is also the phase with most scientific content.

The extensive use of the notion of magnitude, although often made at the expense of the notion of seismic intensity, testifies to the successful transfer of a geophysical notion to the lay public.

We should also outline here that aftershocks are sometimes treated as singular events by the press, with the notion of a seismic crisis remaining unclear to many. Among the most cited expert bodies, the USGS is the most visible internationally, as it provides immediate information about the earthquakes. Regionally important centers such as national meteorological agencies and emergency operations centers, etc., can also be cited.

Secondary hazards are barely mentioned in the news, except for tsunamis. In Chile, the news passed on very well the information about tsunami warnings, mentioning at the same time the primary and the secondary hazards and the authorities’ response to it:

- ‘Tsunami warnings in Chile and Peru as 8.3 quake hits’ (Daily Telegraph, September 17th, item 9501990), ‘The tsunami warning from New Zealand’s Ministry of Civil Defence & Emergency Management after a big quake off Chile will affect a night surfing event.’ (The Age, September 17th, item 9504366).

- Few hours to few days after the event

The peak of coverage is reached within a few hours to a day after the event, with many updates of the same news including more and more precision or detail. Earthquake events become ‘breaking news’ or ‘top stories’ and are disseminated simultaneously on different RSS feeds. Most news talk about impacts, especially human losses. The description of the impacts is the theme that attracts the most coverage. 76.7% of the news of our corpus focuses on the description of the impacts (81% for the three considered earthquakes). 34.3% focus on human losses, and only 17.3% on material damage. Messages about human impacts adopt a factual tone and evolve following a rather systematic pattern.

For illustration, we provide an example of the treatment by The Guardian of the ‘Nepal Quake’. The news starts by mentioning the occurrence of an event with fatalities:

e.g., ‘Fatalities as earthquake hits Nepal’ (The Daily Telegraph, April 25th, 09:19, item 6371294)

Within a few hours, the regular update of the human losses starts:


As the hours go by and the numbers continue to rise, concurrent topics start emerging.

Stories become more personalized and the news starts referring to distinct categories of victims (famous people, nationals, vulnerable ones, etc.):
Aid and rescue operations and life conditions start attracting interest:

e.g., 'Nepal earthquake: rescue continues as death toll exceeds 2,500' (The Guardian, April 26th, 18:18, item 6397229), 'Nepal earthquake: thousands seek shelter as death toll exceeds 2,500' (The Guardian, April 27th, 2:04, item 6402976)

As the days go by, the death toll appears less frequently, with the news reporting official numbers only when those are updated:

e.g., 'Nepal earthquake death toll exceeds 4,000 with many still missing. More than 4,000 are confirmed dead and 6,500 injured...' (The Guardian, April 28th, item 6430398)

Proportionally, there is a lack of interest in injuries and general health issues (with psychological issues even more ignored).

During the phase of coverage dedicated to impacts, we observe a tendency to sensationalism. Almost half of the news items use superlatives such as ‘devastating’, ‘powerful’, ‘catastrophic’, ‘enormous’, ‘dramatic’, ‘monster’, or ‘violent’, etc., emphasizing the extent of the devastation. Surprisingly, terms referring directly to emotions (such as ‘fear’, ‘desperation’, ‘panic’, ‘courage’, etc.) remain rare.

- **Within a few days after the event**

  The focus slides from impacts to response operations. 45.2% of the news of our corpus refer to that category (Figure 5). In the case of a tsunami alert, the theme of response operations appears earlier in the coverage, as the news passes on information about warnings and, if relevant, mass evacuations. In the absence of a tsunami threat, the news focuses on aid, search and rescue operations. In that case, evacuation and displacement are generally underestimated.

  e.g. ‘Rescue teams dig for Nepal quake survivors’ (USA Today, April 27th, 6401498); ‘Rescuers were struggling to reach quake-stricken regions in Pakistan and Afghanistan on Tuesday as officials said the combined death toll from the previous day’s earthquake rose to 339.’ (The Times of India, October 27th, item 10393016), ‘FRANTIC rescue efforts to save people trapped under rubble are taking place after a 7.9 magnitude earthquake hit near Nepal’s capital, Kathmandu.’ (Daily Telegraph, April 25th, item 6372184)

  First, the messages adopt a general tone, becoming more specific when the international community starts sending help:

  e.g., ‘China’s rescue team pulls first survivor out of debris after Nepal quake’ [China Daily, April 27th, item 6409656], ‘The burly Californian and fellow members of a disaster response team deployed by the U.S. Agency for International Development were looking, against all odds, for
We note a tendency of the international news to glorify the contribution of the international community to help the ‘poor and vulnerable’.

e.g. ‘As world leaders and global charities tried to grasp the scope of an earthquake that devastated Nepal, they offered condolences for the nearly 1,400 people killed and readied emergency aid for the survivors. Mountaineering groups struggled to check on climbers, and Nepalese abroad did their best to reach families in the stricken area.’ (The Times of India, April 26th, item 6382872), ‘With the help of Los Angeles firefighters, rescuers Thursday pulled a teenage boy from the wreckage of a nine-story Kathmandu hotel that collapsed around him five days ago when an enormous earthquake shook Nepal.’ (The Los Angeles Times, April 30th, item 6494627)

Rescue operations are also an occasion for relating personal stories, if not miraculous ones.

e.g., ‘Google executive Dan Fredinburg filmed at Everest base camp before death’ (The Guardian, April 26th, item 6396313), ‘Boy found alive 5 days after Nepal quake’ (The Age, April 30th, item 6481498)

Such stories can take different forms depending on context. In Nepal, one finds several stories about ‘children saved from the rubble’ (The Guardian, April 30th, item 6480552). In Afghanistan, stories focus on ‘twelve girls caught in a stampede while trying to escape from their school’ (Daily Telegraph, October 26th, item 10367166).

At that stage, the duration of coverage plays an important role in the richness of the content of the news. The coverage of the ‘Nepal Quake’ is longer and richer: the living conditions, internal displacement, epidemic risk, and mass cremation are all issues that are not at all addressed in the coverage of the other earthquakes.

- Few days to few months after the event

The coverage intensity has faded out, impeding the proper coverage of long-term issues (Figure 4). Few news items refer to recovery, which tends to cover distinct temporalities, from a few days to several months (Figure 5).

e.g., ‘Nepalese villagers clean up four days after a monster earthquake killed more than 5,000 people in the Himalayan nation’ (USA today, April 29th, item 6462063), ‘The International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies warned on Friday that longer-term support is needed to help shattered communities recover six months after a magnitude 7.8 earthquake struck Nepal.’ (China Daily, October 10th, item 10361489)

The theme of reconstruction is dedicated to more permanent repairs and rebuilding. There are enough news items referring to that theme for us to identify a few keywords, but the coverage remains poor (Figure 5). There are again different temporalities. In the short term, the news reports that people are rebuilding their homes. In the longer term, the news reports the reopening of public infrastructures such as schools, hospitals and historical buildings as a sign of returning to normal life.

e.g., ‘Survivors in quake-hit Pakistan seek help to rebuild homes’ (Times of Malta, October 28th, item 10408082), ‘Hundreds of thousands of Nepalese children have returned to school in Nepal'
A window of communication for scientists

According to Haas et al. (1977), the second and longer phase of reconstruction corresponds to the continuing assessment of hazards and risks and structural and nonstructural improvements to reduce the impact of future events (i.e., mitigation and adaptation measures, prevention). This phase lasts many years, during which attempts are made not only to recover but to improve the state of living, and society devotes some attention to the construction of memorials or the institutionalization of a narrative memory of the event. We could not find enough news items referring to mitigation, adaptation and prevention to identify keywords. There are, however, a few items referring to a narrative dimension: the ones that place the event in a country’s history.

By doing so, the press contributes to maintaining a form of knowledge about existing risks. That contribution to the collective memory often happen just after the main shock (or after large aftershocks). It is also a time when the press listens to experts, and so it might be a good window for communication. People are looking for elements to make sense of what has just been going on. This time window could be used to reinforce preparedness in the general population, as news about a disastrous earthquake – even located far away – may momentarily alter the feeling of safety among readers (Wood et al. 2012).

3.2.3 The figures of ‘earthquake news’

The identity matrix allows the identification of the categories of actors that are the most present in the news. 44.2% of the news mentions the people affected by the earthquake. The exact terminology varies with time. ‘Those affected’ start as ‘victims’ to become ‘rescued’, ‘survivors’ and then ‘locals’ or ‘villagers’. 6% of the news refers explicitly to vulnerable persons.

27.7% of the news mentions state representatives who are responsible for organizing the public response, but regional and local public services are absent (Figure 5). Surprisingly, only 8% of the news refers to civil and military security services and 7.7% to rescuers in general. 3.8% of the news mentions UN agencies, and 2.5% international aid. Only 5.4% of the news refers to experts, specialists or scientists, mostly during the initial phase of coverage after the main shock and after the big aftershock in the case of the Nepal Quake. The private sector is rarely mentioned, except Google and Facebook for their people finder tools. Other figures emerging from the ‘earthquake news’ are ‘famous unknowns’ whose stories serve to exemplify the experience of the affected people. The news sometimes refers to famous personalities, either because they are among the victims or because of their generous donations. It is interesting to observe that local communities and their representatives are almost absent from the news. That
4 Discussion

Studying earthquake coverage at the global scale, we reach different conclusions from authors such as Rovai and Christine (1998). Among the 7 136 earthquakes of magnitude 4.5+ occurring in 2015, we indeed observe significant differences in coverage: most events are not reported by the news media, except a few that are particularly well-covered. However, once events are covered, we observe an astonishing homogeneity in the news content. There are, of course, variations in the way journalists treat the information - editorial choices and cultural proximity with the impacted countries are both parameters influencing the duration and content of the coverage - but these variations remain small. Our results suggest that there is a typical framing of earthquake in the international news.

This framing seems to introduce major biases in the representation of the seismic risk. A first bias is linked to the short duration of the coverage. Analyzing Googling trends, Tan et al. (2018) confirm our empirical observation that the peak of public interest after destructive earthquakes follows an exponential temporal decay. The same tendency was observed for smaller events by Earle et al., 2010. Our results complement these findings in showing that the international online journals follow the same tendency. However, we go further than previous studies in exploring the consequences of that exponential decay on the news content. It focuses the information on short-term issues such as the description of the hazard and of its impacts and emergency operations. The mid-term and long-term issues of recovery, restoration, reconstruction, adaptation, mitigation and preparedness are largely undercovered.

This finding outlines the necessity for scientists to communicate, whenever possible, within a few hours after the occurrence of an earthquake, especially the big ones that are the most capable of catching a large audience. Of course, the need for reactive communication should not result in unpreparedness. Having a knowledge of the content and the evolution of typical earthquake news can help design typical communication tools that could be quickly adapted on a case by case basis once the event has occurred. Designing scientific messages, one should pay particular attention to counterbalance the known biases.

Communicating about the hazards, for instance, it would be important not to insist on including information about the magnitude but to find simple words to pass on the notions of seismic intensity and seismic crisis. As discussed in a previous paper (Le Texier et al., 2016), the term of magnitude is commonly used as a synonym of intensity by the news media. But the notion of intensity is the only one allowing to introduce the notion of differential damages, which is required to understanding the importance of mitigation and preparedness (earthquake-resistant construction, site effects, etc.). Another topic that is absent of news media narratives is that of the location of the next event. Coulomb stress triggering theory can help answering that question, at least probabilistically speaking. It could thus be interesting to communicate on the dynamics of the seismic phenomenon, notably to help designing adequate prevention measures (it might shake elsewhere the next time! it might shake again several times after the main shock!). About impacts, our analysis supports the statement of McClure et al. (2001): the representation of the seismic risk that is built by the press emphasizes the immediateness and hyperdestructivity of the event, occulting the real timing of such disasters: a time to anticipate...
and get prepared, a time to protect and a time to recover and reconstruct. We agree with authors such Lamontagne et al. (2016) and Wood et al. (2009): scientific messages should encourage people to take preparedness actions and get them prepared for potential losses, describe to them the timeline of the disaster cycle and teach them ways to diminish losses.

Although unprecedented, we are aware that our study also has some caveats. The use of keywords to quantify themes and topics provides robust conclusions but is not completely satisfactory. We tried to get around its limitations by preselecting words from a list of the most frequently used terms. A further step is to engage with more complete techniques of text analysis combining inductive and deductive approaches. We could, for example, use machine learning methods such as word2vec (Le & Mikolov, 2014) for the simplification of the collection of keywords and the quantification of the different steps of the news coverage. However, this tool would complement but not replace the qualitative analysis of the content we undertook in this study.

One of our working hypotheses was to demonstrate the existence of a global framing of earthquake news and, to reach that goal, we chose to work on the international news, but it would be important to undertake a similar analysis on the national and regional press as well as social media. A recent work by Jamieson and Van Belle (2019) suggests for instance that the level of development of the disaster-stricken community influences the nature of news coverage in other at-risk communities: “if an earthquake occurs in a community with a high level of development, the news coverage is much more likely to draw lessons for their community, and less likely to emphasize differences that prevent policy learning”.

Another interesting lead to explore would be to study the evolution of the public state of mind as they read the news. This could allow choosing more carefully which information to provide and at which time (see Wein et al., 2016, for an example).

5 Conclusion

“Most people do not experience disasters first-hand, but rely on mediated depictions of distant events.” (Jamieson and Van Belle, 2019). This is why it is of utmost importance to study the narratives built by the news media in reporting about distant disasters. In this paper, we explore the news media coverage of seismic events in the international news during the year 2015, analyzing 320 888 news items published in English, Spanish or French by 32 RSS feeds distributed worldwide. Among the 7 136 earthquakes of magnitude 4.5+ occurring that year, three were predominantly covered: the sadly famous ‘Nepal quake’ that hit the valley of Kathmandu in April, an earthquake in Chile that shook the area of Ilapel in September, and an earthquake in Afghanistan that struck the Hindu Kush in October. We compare the duration and content of the news media coverage of these three major earthquakes with classical models of Disaster Risk Management.

Doing so, we demonstrate that: 1) there is a typical framing of the news about earthquakes in the international news, 2) this framing introduces major biases in representation, impeding the proper appropriation of the seismic risk by the public. The news content faithfully follows the succession of phases predicted by the DRM scheme, describing the hazard before reporting on its effects and the response of the impacted communities. However, an important bias is introduced by the very short duration of coverage: only the first phases of the DRM scheme are
covered, while the issues of recovery, restoration, reconstruction, adaptation, mitigation and preparedness remain largely ignored. We also observed the following biases: i) The news tends to concentrate on the description of impacts and, among them, more specifically on human losses. That focus is associated with the pervasive use of sensationalistic terms describing a landscape of devastation, which may contribute to fatalistic judgments that the damage cannot be prevented. ii) The second theme of interest – the second in terms of coverage intensity but the first one in terms of timing - is that of hazards. The communication is centered on the notion of magnitude, with the concept of seismic intensity being ignored. Aftershocks can be occasionally treated as isolated events, testifying to a lack of understanding of the concept of the seismic crisis and, except for tsunamis, secondary hazards are barely mentioned. iii) The third theme of interest is that of the emergency response. The focus is made on alert and evacuations in case of tsunami warnings and on aid, search and rescue otherwise. Other issues such as safety measures, temporary housing, water or electricity cuts, etc., and longer-term issues are barely mentioned.

On the basis of that analysis, we discussed leads to improve the scientific communication on earthquakes. Taking the opportunity of the short window of interest that follows big earthquakes, scientists should familiarize people with the real timeline of a seismic disaster cycle… which tends to last longer than the interest of the news media.
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Table 1 (next page). Discourse content dictionary. Contains the keywords used to classify news items into categories of discourse corresponding to the main phases and topics of disaster risk management. Keywords were identified from a list of most frequent words using different thresholds for English, Spanish and French to balance differences in the RSS feed numbers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENT CATEGORIES</th>
<th>KEYWORDS BY THEMES AND TOPICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAZARDS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude</td>
<td>EN: magnitude, Richter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: grados, Richter, magnitud(es)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: magnitude, Richter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsunami</td>
<td>EN: tsunami(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: tsunami(s), maremoto(s), olas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: tsunami(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aftershocks</td>
<td>EN: aftershock(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: aftershock(s), réplica(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: aftershock(s), réplique(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other secondary hazards</td>
<td>EN: avalanche(s), landslide(s), flood(s)/flooding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: avalanche(s), deslizamiento(s), alud, inundacion(es)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: glissement(s) de terrain, avalanche(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IMPACTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts – general</td>
<td>EN: hit(s), struck, felt, shook, shak(e)(ing)(en), rocked, jolt(s)(ed), rattled, shattered, sway(ed), battered, suffered, toppling, crushed, strike, stricken, impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: impacto, estimacion(es), afectación, sacudid(o)(as)(o)(s)(ierons), golpeó(ron), golpeado(ron), golpead(o)(os)(a)(es), azotó, azotado, sentido, se sintió, afectó, sufrieron, arrasó, temblar, asoló, castigado(ron)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: frappé(e), touché(s), ressent(e), ébranlé, secoué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human impact</td>
<td><strong>Human impact – general</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: fatalities, casualty(y)(ies), victim(s), affected, stranded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: balance, victimo(s), afectados, damnificados, recuento(s), saldo, contabilizado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: bilan, victime(s), sinistres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human impact</td>
<td><strong>Human impact – death toll</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: death(s), kill(s)(ed)(ing), dead, bodies, died, deadly, claimed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: muerto(s), mueren, murieron, mortal(es), fallecido(s), fallecieron, cuerpos, cadavers, decenas, mató</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: mort(s), tua(e)(s), corps, meurtier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human impact</td>
<td><strong>Human impact – injured</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: injured, wounded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: heridos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: blesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material damage</td>
<td><strong>Material damage – general</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: rubble, damage(d), collaps(e)(on)(ed), devastat(ed)(ion), destroy(ed)(ing), destruction, wreckage, debris, ravaged, ruins/ruined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: daños, escombros, dañad(o)(os)(as), destruid(o)(os)(as), perdidas, destrucción, ruinas, caído(ron), destruyó, destruidas, colapso, devastó, devastadas, destroz(é)(ron)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: dévast(é)(ée), décombres, dégâts, détruit/détruits, effondré(é)(és), destructions, gravats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Examples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material damage - on buildings</td>
<td>EN: homes, building(s), houses, structure(s), property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: edificio(s), vivienda(s), edificaciones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: maisons, bâtiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material damage - on infrastructures</td>
<td>EN, FR: no recurrent keywords were found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: eléctricas, infraestructuras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMERGENCY RESPONSE</td>
<td>Tsunami warning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: tsunami warning(s), alert(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: alerta de tsunami, alarma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: alerte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evacuation</td>
<td>EN: evacuant(e)(ed)(ion)(ions), evacuees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: evacuados(as), evacuar, evacuación</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: evacu(ees)(er)(ation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid, Search &amp; Rescue</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: effort(s), response, respond, operation(s), deployed, aid, rescuer(s), relief, help(ing), assistance, helicopter(s), chopper, aircraft, support, send(e)(ing), saved, distribute(ing)(ion), airlifted, dug(ging), dug, missing, search(ing), alive, pulled, trapped, recovered + table 2/rescuers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: operación/operaciones, gestión, respuesta, solidaridad, crisis, apoyo(o)(ar), orden(a), responder, envio, enviado(s), reacción, ayuda, ayudar, ayudas, ayudando, rescatar, rescatar, rescatado, helicóptero(s), asistencia, socorro, attendant, ofrece, aeronave, busqued(a)(as) + table 2/rescuers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: operation(s), répondre, secours, aide, sauver, assistance, dispatch, chiens, recherchés, sans nouvelles + table 2/rescuers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vital needs and supplies</td>
<td>EN: food, hungry, sanitation, water, drink(ing), fuel, blankets, gasoline, supply(y)(ies), resources, basic, vital, lack of, goods, need, needed, material, equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: agua, alimentos, alimentaria, necesidad(es), comida, suministro(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: de materiel, besoins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical care</td>
<td>EN: hospital(s), medical, medicin(s), disease(s), health, outbreak, epidemic(s), treatment, patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: hospital(es), médico(s), salud, medicinas, sanitarios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: no recurrent keywords were found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement &amp; Temporary shelter</td>
<td>EN: shelter(s), outdoors, sleep, sleeping, homeless, refuge, fled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: noche al raso, albergues, tiendas de campaña, desplazados, refugio(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: campos, fui, debors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cremation</td>
<td>EN, FR: no recurrent keywords were found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: funerarias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECOVERY REHABILITATION RECONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>Recovery/Reconstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: recover(y)(ing), return to, returned, reconstruction, rebuild(ing), reopen(s)(ed), normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Identity matrix. Contains the keywords used to quantify the presence/absence of different categories of stakeholders. Keywords were identified from a list of most frequent words using different thresholds for English, Spanish and French to balance differences in the RSS feed numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTENT CATEGORIES</th>
<th>KEYWORDS BY THEMES AND TOPICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STATES</td>
<td>EN: nation, state(s), government(s), authorities, minister(s), ministry, foreign secretary, foreign office, president, parliament, royal rulers, embassy, European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: país, nación, gobierno, autoridades, ministerio, ministro, president(a)(e), exteriores, funcionarios, gabinete, ispr, fata, europea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: pays, gouvernement, affaires étrangères, autorités, ministère, ministre, Quai d’orsay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN AGENCIES</td>
<td>EN: United Nations, UNICEF, UNESCO, World Food Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: onu, naciones unidas, Programa Mundial de Alimentos, unesco, unicef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: nations unies, onu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNATIONAL AID</td>
<td>EN: international aid, international agencies, aid agencies, humanitarian aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: ayuda internacional, comunidad internacional, organización no gubernamental, ong, cruz roja</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: aide internationale, croix rouge, humanitaire(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIVIL SECURITY &amp; DEFENSE</td>
<td>EN: police, army, military, marine(s), air force, soldiers, troops, firefighters, Gurkhas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: ejército, policía, militares, armada, marina, soldados, Oficina Nacional de Emergencia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESCUERS</td>
<td>EN: rescuers, rescue team(s), aid workers, rescue workers, relief workers, volunteer(s), personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: equipo de rescate, equipos de rescate, servicios de emergencia, rescatistas, socorristas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: équipe, secouristes, sauveteurs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFFECTED PEOPLE</td>
<td>Directly affected ones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN: people, rescued, survivor(s), victims, those affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP: persona(s), victimas(s), los afectados, damnificados, desaparecido(s), supervivientes, sobreviviente(s), rescatado(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FR: victimes, survivant(s), sinistrés, rescapés, personnes Localis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No recurrent keywords were found that unambiguously refer to Risk assessment, development and land use planning / Adaptation and mitigation measures / Education and information / Preparedness, contingency planning, consolidate preparations for next disasters.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EN: residents, locals, villagers, sherpa(s), guides, Famous locals: Ang Tshering, Bajracharya</th>
<th>SP: población, habitantes, guías</th>
<th>FR: habitants, villageois, population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN: children, child, boy, girl(s), wo(man)(men), family(ies), teenag(e)(er), teen, bab(y)(ies)</td>
<td>SP: niños, familia(a)(es), muj(er)(eres), jóven, bebe, anciano</td>
<td>FR: familles, adolescent, enfants, orphelins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vulnerable ones</strong></td>
<td><strong>‘EXPERTS’</strong></td>
<td><strong>PRIVATE COMPANIES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN: expert(s), US Geological Survey, specialists, scientists</td>
<td>SP: ugs, Centro Sismológico Nacional, especialistas, Servicio Hidrográfico y Oceanográfico de la Armada</td>
<td>FR: ugs, institute américain de géophysique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EN: Google, Facebook, company(y)(ies)</td>
<td>SP: google, Facebook</td>
<td>FR: no recurrent keywords were found</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Temporal distribution of the media coverage for the three well-covered earthquakes of the year 2015. The color scale allows comparing the duration of the media coverage with the expected duration of the different phases of disaster risk management models.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discourse content</th>
<th>% of earthquake news</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Themes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazard</td>
<td>45,8</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsunami Aftershocks</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary hazards</td>
<td>7,8</td>
<td>324</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude estimation</td>
<td>23,5</td>
<td>952</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impacts</strong></td>
<td>76,7</td>
<td>3384</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General impact</td>
<td>40,9</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human impact</td>
<td>49,6</td>
<td>2189</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material damage</td>
<td>30,8</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response</strong></td>
<td>45,3</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evacuation</td>
<td>4,3</td>
<td>194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid Search Rescue</td>
<td>34,0</td>
<td>1561</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical care</td>
<td>2,2</td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary shelter</td>
<td>2,7</td>
<td>117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reconstruction</strong></td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>216</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Identity Matrix   | % | Number of items |   |                |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|---|                |
| States            | 27,7 | 1220           |   |                |
| Un agencies       | 3,8  | 168            |   |                |
| International Aid | 2,5  | 111            |   |                |
| Civil Security    | 8,0  | 353            |   |                |
| Defence           | 8,0  | 353            |   |                |
| Rescuers          | 7,7  | 341            |   |                |
| **Affected People**| 44,2 | 1951           |   |                |
| Directly affected ones | 33,4 | 1475       |   |                |
| Locals            | 4,8  | 211            |   |                |
| Vulnerables       | 6,0  | 265            |   |                |
| **Expert**        | 5,4  | 239            |   |                |
| Private Companies | 1,6  | 72             |   |                |

Corpus = 320 888 news items, including 4 411 news items about earthquake (1.37%)

**Figure 5.** Percentage of news items mentioning a theme or topic. NB: One news item can include several themes and topics.
Figure 6. Temporal distribution of the DRM categories in the media coverage of three main earthquakes in 2015. The height of the boxes is proportional to the number of news items (for each earthquake). Box starts and ends corresponds to the first and third quartiles. The white line inside corresponds to the median.