Authors Response

Dear Editors,

Dear Reviewers,

thank you for your time an enthusiasm. I revised the document in respect to your comments. Details can be found below.

With kind regards,

Simon Schneider

**Reviewer comment:** technical corrections to p.4, line 22

**Authors response:** Thank you for this comment. While I have answered your concerns about this statement in an earlier response letter, I have not clarified the issue in the article to full satisfaction. This have corrected this by rephrasing the sentence to better communicate the point, that external driving forces for science journalism have to be taken into account while discussing the state of science journalism from a science perspective. This has been done by various authors - Murcott and Williams as mentioned here as examples.

**Reviewer comment:** detailed proofreading of the paper

**Authors response:** Thank you for this recommendation. I have revised the article in numerous places to create a more reader-friendly text body. All revisions can be looked at within the marked-up file.