

***Interactive comment on* “The Met Office Weather Game: investigating how different methods for presenting probabilistic weather forecasts influence decision-making” by Elisabeth M. Stephens et al.**

Elisabeth M. Stephens et al.

elisabeth.stephens@reading.ac.uk

Received and published: 25 March 2019

Thank you, we note that your paper is relevant and have updated the following sentence to include the citation:

"Morss et al. (2008), testing only non-graphical formats of presentation, found that the majority of people in a survey of the US public (n=1520) prefer a percentage (e.g. 10%) or non-numerical text over relative frequency (e.g. 1 in 10) or odds. For a smaller study of students within the UK (n=90) 90% of participants liked the probability format,

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



compared to only 33% for the relative frequency (Peachey et al., 2013). However, as noted by Morss et al. (2008), user preference does not necessarily equate with understanding.”

Interactive comment on Geosci. Commun. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/gc-2018-17>, 2018.

GCD

Interactive
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

